#### Episkopé and Episcopacy and the Quest

Two Consultations

Edited by Peter C. Bouteneff and Alan D. Falconer

© 1999 WCC Publications, World Council of Churches, 150 route de Ferney, 1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland Preface Reports from the Strasbourg Consultation, 2-9 April 1997 Introduction, by William G. Rusch Reports from the Crêt-Bérard Consultation Papers from the Strasbourg consultation Preliminary Theological Reflections New Experiences of Episkopé and Episcopacy Towards Communion in Episkopé and Episcopacy Report of Group II ..... 2. Episkopé and Episcopacy: Orthodox Perspectives, by John H. Erickson Report of Group III . . . . . . . . Apostolicity, Continuity and Sign Report of Group II ..... Episkopé, Episcopacy and Apostolic Continuity Communal, Collegial, Persona 4. Episkopé and Episcopacy in Some Recent Bilateral Dialogues 3. The Post-Denominationalism of the Chinese Church, by Gao Ying . . . . . 1. Episcopacy: A Gift of the Spirit, by J.M.R. Tillard, O.P. 7. Three Games in a Long Ecumenical Set: Leuenberg, Meissen and The Distaff of God: Some Reflections on a New Episcopate 5. The Experience of Shared Oversight, by Hugh Cross ...... Porvoo on Ministry and Episkopé, by Martin H. Cressey ..... vii

54 ×

57

80 65

99 93 48

40

14

34

Printed in Switzerland ISBN 2-8254-1311-9

8050462

127 122 113 106

#### PREFACE

In the search for the visible unity of the church, one of the most difficult issues to be resolved is that of the reconciliation of ministries and the reconciliation of churches which follow different patterns in the exercise of oversight. Many church union schemes have been attenuated or have failed entirely because of inability to reach consensus on these issues.

Not surprisingly, therefore, the question of the exercise of episkopel has appeared increasingly over the past thirty years on the agenda of both multilatenel and bilateral dialogues and of individual Christian World Communions. The Faith and Order Commission itself undertook a study of the topic and published a report. Episkopel and Episcopate in Eumentool Perspective (Faith and Order Paper No. 102, Genova, and Episcopate in Eumentool Perspective (Faith and Order Paper No. 101, Genova, 1980). This study played an important role in formulating the relevant sections in 1980). This study played an important role in formulating the relevant sections in 1980). Subsequently, many of the churches' responses to DEM raised questions around the exercise of oversight (of. Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry 1982-1990). Faith and Order Paper No. 149, Genova, 1990).

Faith and Order Paper No. 149, Genova, 1990).

churches were considering new forms of the exercise of epislopé and implementing new ways of exercising oversight. They were also entering new relationships on the basis of convergences already achieved. With the ordination of women to the episcopate, a new dimension of the issue appeared on the agenda of the comenical conversations. The need to develop comenical structures of corresipt has also resulted in suggestions for new shared structures, especially where there are a considerable number of "cumeratical parisibes".

In the light of attempts to reade consensus and to learn from developing patterns of the exercise of oversight, the Faith and Order world conference in Santiago de

Compostela (1993) called for a new study of this subject in the loope of moving beyond existing convergences. This recommendation was reinforced by two further requests for a study on this theme - from the meeting of United and Uniting Churches in Ocho Rios, Jamaica (1996), and from the meeting in Liebfrauenberg, France

(1996), of the churches involved in the Leuenberg, Meissen and Porvoo agreements

VIII Episkope and Episcopacy

In response, the Faith and Order Commission organized a consultation on in response, the Faith and Order Commission organized a consultation of the Apostolic Mission of the Church' in Strasbourg, France, from 2-9 April 1997. That meeting featured presentations on the convergence already achieved, on the new relationships developed in the light of this convergence, on remaining questions which require resolution and on new developments in the exercises of prisitizable which require the world. On the basis of the discussions of these papers the participants worked in three sections: to review new developments: to explore groundwork for theological issues; and to discuss a framework in which churches could strengthen their tellowship while still holding different positions on the issue could strengthen their tellowship while still holding different positions on the issue.

It was agreed to hold a further small meeting to continue the work; and this took place in Cfel-Berard (near Lausame, Switzerland) from 5-11 September 1997. At this second meeting a number of presentations on issues identified at the Strasbourg consultation were discussed: the ministry of oversight; what it means to be a representative person; apostolicity and succession; and the nature and meaning of the language of "sigh" concerning the ordained episcopate.

In both consultations the discussion groups produced reports. These constitute the first portion of the present volume. Editorial work after the meetings involved slight emendations for clarity and consistency of style, as well as the addition of short introductory passages for a few subsections. But no attempt was made to produce a

single, harmonized report or an "agreed text".

The report of the first group at the Strasbourg meeting sets out the experience of churches wrestling with the issues for the development of their own polity, the insights of churches engaged in seeking unity in a variety of contexts as they address issues of episcopacy and episkopk, the proposals of churches seeking to implement structures of oversight for particular ecumentical situations, and reflections on how women exercise oversight, particularly in churches where women exercise a personal ministry of episkopy.

The second group report focuses on historical and practical factors leading to current perceptions and practices of *episloph*, opportunities for advance in agreement through renewal of church life and the concept of power and its application.

The third group report reflects on the context or "space" in which ecumenical agreement is sought and provides some suggestions for strengthening the fellowship of the churches as they seek to address continuing church-dividing issues.

The three reports from the consultation at Crét-Bérard delve further into the theological issues involved in the theory and practice of epishople. They offer suggestions for addressing the issues of communal, collegial and personal exercise of coversight, continuity and episkople, and the relationship between apostolicity continuity and equit.

The second major portion of this book consists of seven papers presented ir Strasbourg. As noted, these papers and the discussions of them contributes significantly to the process of composing the group reports. Representing regional and confessional perspectives, and describing particular situations and approaches to the exercise of epislope; they shed further light on the issue in general and on the Strasbourg group reports in particular:

The reports of these two consultations and the papers presented to the consultations are discussion. We have that

their publication will make a helpful contribution to the discussion of the churches as they seek to move towards the recognition and reconciliation of ministries, the implementation of structures of oversight and mutual accountability, and the goal of visible unity.

Special thanks are due to Mary Tanner, Moderator of the Faith and Order Commission from 1991 to 1998, and to Bill Rusch and Neville Callam, commoderators of Faith and Order's study on ecclesiology, all of whom lent their particular gifts of oversight to the present work on episkopé. The work of Remate Sbeghen in administering the Strasboug and Crét-Berard consultations and coordinating their documentation was also invaluable.

Peter C. Bouteneff Alan D. Falconer

#### NOTE

<sup>1</sup> Here and elsewhere we have taken the approach of using the term epistope in a way that includes episcopacy.

#### INTRODUCTION William G. Rusch

participants in the Strasbourg consultation (2-9 April 1997). Before addressing the purposes of that meeting itself, it offers a short overview of previous work by Faith Note: What follows is based on an introductory orientation presented to the ecclesiology (BEM) and responses to it, and a review of initial steps towards a study of and Order on episkopé and episcopacy, a survey of Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry

### Episkopé and Episcopacy

subject - something that is not without its own significance in determining the mind topic of ministry prior to BEM, although there have been periods of silence on this episcopacy apart from its work on ministry. Faith and Order by no means ignored the of Faith and Order on this issue. It is not possible to consider the earlier work of Faith and Order on episkopé and

been a focal point of discussion. The inability of some communions to recognize the cpiscopacy, a view that finds Orthodox affirmation. The Lambeth Quadrilateral of ministerial orders of other communions has been a principal obstacle to efforts to The Council of Trent in the 16th century had taught the divine origin of the tchieve visible unity. Since the origins of the modern ecumenical movement, ministry in the church has

unification, but without resolving the question of the doctrinal foundation of the mity of the church. Anglican views have insisted on the historic episcopate for church 1888 stated the need for the historic episcopate, locally adapted in the method of its to one ministry of word and sacrament. Here, practical as well as theological reasons Lutherans and most Reformed have rejected episcopacy because of their commitment opposition have all claimed the authority of the Lutheran confessions! Some administration to the varying needs of the nations and peoples called by God into the Among Lutherans, views ranging from mild support to indifference to passionate

may have been operative. Free church traditions have rejected the possibility of the historic episcopate in their life.

In view of this disagreement about ministy in general and episcopacy in particular, it is not supprising that the first World Conference on Faith and Order (Lausame 1927) took up the topic of ministry. What is stuking is the report of Section V, "The Ministry of Church", which notes substantial accord on five propositions:

1. Ministry is a gift of God through Christ to the church and essential to the church.

Ministry is perpetually authorized and made effective through Christ and the Spirit.
 The purpose of ministry is to impart the benefit of Christ through pastoral service, resolving the occurrence of the occurrence.

preaching the gospel and administration of the sacraments.

Ministry is entrusted with the government and discipline of the church in whole or

in pair.

5. Entrance into the work of ministry is by an act of ordination by prayer and the laying on of hands to those gifted for the work, called by the Spirit and accepted by the church!

The Lausanne conference recognized that in the course of history various forms of ministry have grown up, and that discussion has thus continued on the nature of ministry and on the mature of ordination and of the grace conferred. Both the function and authority of bishops and the nature of apostolic succession have been part of this discussion.

unceassion.

The first step towards overcoming these difficulties is the frank recognition that they exist and that they require clear definition. The Lausanne conference did not have the time to consider all the points of difference, let alone find complete agreement. In indicated that all these matters applied equally to proposals for the constitution of a united church. Thus at Lausanne there was already a perceived link between ecolesiology and ministry.

Noting that episcopal, presbyteral and congregational systems were believed by many to be essential to the good order of the church, Lausame stated that "these several elements must all have appropriate place in the order of life of a reunited church." While acknowledging unfinished work, Lausame declared it is still possible for churches to unite in activities of service which Christ has committed to possible for churches to this report, the view of the Orthodox churches on ministry was formulated and differences among Western churches also were achowledged, for example, the various grades of ministry and the one office, as well as various writes of apostolic succession."

The discussion on ministry contamount at the second World Conference on Faith and Order (Edinbugh 1937). He report features comments from a number of participants who reflected on their own traditions. The general state of affairs was perhaps best summed up by the Bisiknop of Gloucester, Dr Headlam, who said that "the most fundamental difficulty which the conference has to face is how to reconcile the authoritative ministry, historical episcopate and apostolic succession with orders and secraments of those churches who do not possess these."

Edinburgh's Commission III on ministry and sacraments identified a number of items as providing a broad foundation for a common understanding of the nature and function of ministry. These were accepted by the conference. They were in fact quite similar to those of Lausanne: (1) ministry is instituted by Christ; (2) ministry

presupposes the "royal priestthood"; (3) ordination is by prayer and the laying on of hands; (4) a ministry universally recognized is essential on united church.

Edinburgh acknowledged that different interpretations had to be taken into consideration, particularly regarding the doctrine of apostolic succession and whether or not it was the run and only guarantee of sucramental grace and right doctrine. It quoted the statement of the Orthodox at Lausanne and described the views of Anglicans, Presbyterians and Reformed. It also stated that "in every case churches treasure the apostolic succession in which they believe".

treasure the apostotic succession in winds they occave to the fail section of the chapter on ministry and searments in the Edinburgh report linked the form of ministry with the form of the united church of the future, thus once again placing the ministry discussion in the countext of ecclesiology. Edinburgh peaced the Lausanne commitment to spiscopal, prestyteral and congegutional repeated the Lausanne commitment to spiscopal, prestyteral and congegutional systems in a reunited church, affirming that these systems would have to recognize each other's place in the church of God. Thus "the doctrine of apostolic succession would, upon a common basis of faith, attain to the fullness which belongs to it, by referring at once to the Word, to the unitiety and the secarments, and to the life of the Christian community." While recognizing that these suggestions may be unacceptable Christian community. "While recognizing that these suggestions may be unacceptable Christian community."

to some churches, Edinburgh was confident that where there was the will to unite, the Holy Spirit would enable the churches in coming years to improve and develop them. The report to the churches of the third World Conference on Faith and Order (Lund 1952), staned in its section on "unsolved problems" that most of the churches believe "our Lord has called forth in his church a stated ministry. To this ministry alone the leadership of certain acts of worship is restricted." The basis for this restriction is not universally agreed upon in the commental movement. Questions about the character of ministry, priesty and prophetic, continue to be grave obstacles to unity, for bothard of ministry, priesty and prophetic, continue to be grave obstacles to unity, for bothard of ministry, priesty and prophetic, continue to be grave obstacles to unity, for bothard them are fundamental questions about grace and about the person and work of

Later in speaking about admission to the Lord's table, the report describes the view of some "that fellowship in the sacrament rightly exists only where there is fuller agreement in doctrine, in a mutually acceptable ministry, or organic unity of church life." If goes on to ask that churches which require episcopial ordination as the test of a valid sacrament carefully re-examine their practice in the light of exceptions made

in limited open communion or communion by economy. If In the report of the third section, on the church, the treatment of "unity and

diversity" includes several paragraphs on apostolic order. This passages states that all communious possess forms of ministry and order, and that all these find sanction in the New Teatment. Those who represent the catholic tradition acknowledge only the episcopal church order going back to apostolic days as wholly meeting the requirements of a unified ministry and a united duruch. They would regard common acceptance of a ministry in this succession as essential for full unity, although they would disagree on the kind or degree of docrimal interpretation required. Lausame's demand for a ministry acknowledged by every part of the church of Christ as demand for a ministry acknowledged by every part of the church of Christ and the authority of the whole body, is cited. The report acknowledges both the New Testament evidence and its various interpretations.

the basic priesthood of the church.13 worship". He insisted that the real point is not validity of orders, but the existence of The voice of Fr Georges Florovsky was heard in the discussion of "ways of

the church. It again affirms the linkage with ecclesiology and calls for establishment the light of a profound christological and eschatological approach to the doctrine of The text suggests that ministry should be seen not as an isolated phenomenon, but in are repeated with an appeal for a fresh start in the theological discussions on ministry. a sub-section on the nature of continuity. The ideas of the third section, cited above, In the report of Lund to the churches, the chapter on "Continuity and Unity" has

of Churches" adopted by the WCC Central Committee at its meeting in Toronto in Referring to the statement on "The Church, the Churches and the World Council

1950, W.A. Visser 't Hooft told the Lund conference: ecumenical movement. That question can only be answered as we do a great deal of fresh of ecclesiologies which do not take any account of the possibility of such a thing as the which exists in the ecumenical movement? And that question cannot be answered in terms more difficult question: how can we give adequate expression to the spiritual reality necessary to ask and to answer that question. But now we have to ask the next and even in terms of the traditional ecclesiological convictions of the different confessions? It was the question: how can a church justify its membership in the World Council of Churches World Council to the existing ecclesiologies of the various churches. We sought to answer What we did in Toronto (and what we had to do at that time) was to relate the fact of the

a sense of ministry in the context of mission. Together this pointed to a need for a and Order (Montreal 1963), David Paton acknowledged that ministry had not been discussion of ministry.10 positive, creative understanding of the laity. At the same time there was a recovery of the doctrine of the whole church as people of God had been recovered, as well as a prominent in Faith and Order discussions for some 25 years. Yet during this period In his extended introduction to the report of the fourth World Conference on Faith

character of each?"17 churches which have the pattern 'pastor, elder, deacon' ... preserve the ministerial character of each of these orders of ministry as taught in their formularies?" and "Do which follow the pattern of 'bishop, priest, deacon' in fact preserved the specific our churches do justice to the variety of gifts of the Spirit?", "Have the churches poses a number of questions, including "Does the traditional pattern of ministry in the unity we seek is based on a ministry accepted and acknowledged by all. The report the Church" affirmed the statement of the third assembly of the World Council that The report of the section on "The Redemptive Work of Christ and the Ministry of

of the nature of the church. The service character of all ministry is stressed, as is the controversial issues like ordination and apostolic succession was very moderate, and need for a renewal of the forms and functions of ministry. Yet progress on of all believers and developed within a christological and pneumatological framework topic is acknowledged.18 specific treatment of episkopé and episcopacy is lacking, though the difficulty of this The "special" or ordained ministry is set in the framework of the general ministry

> produced in 1968 and revised at a consultation in 1970. It dealt with the source, focus it a text entitled "The Ordained Ministry". 19 This text grew out of a working paper embracing, the apostolic succession of the whole church.20 of apostolic succession", episcopal succession cannot be seen as identical with, and and more churches are expressing willingness to see episcopacy as a pre-eminent sign it does not diminish the importance of the historic episcopate to say this. While "more church order cannot be regarded as an adequate justification for division, adding that the historic episcopate and states that an episcopal (as over against a presbyteral) acceptance of ministry. Section VII, "The Mutual Acceptance of Ministry", refers to and function of ordained ministry, tradition and change, authentication, and mutual The meeting of the Faith and Order Commission in Louvain in 1971 had before

was before the Faith and Order Commission in Accra in 1974, and after more revision the full participation of Roman Catholic members. A revised form of the Louvain text became a part of "One Baptism, One Eucharist and a Mutually Recognized Ministry" What gave added significance to the Louvain text was that it was produced with

of the Accra text. The memorandum of that consultation offers an important summary of the discussion of the main issue. It links the topic of the consultation with on "episkopė and episcopate" in 1979 to provide clarifying suggestions for a revision the precursor to BEM. Following the publication of the Accra text, Faith and Order held a consultation

consideration of the nature and calling of the church.21 The memorandum identified seven questions of special importance

1. What is the relation of episcopal ministry to the church founded by Christ?

2. What is the relation of apostles to bishops, and in what sense are bishops in apostolic succession?

3. How is episkopé to be exercised in the church?

 What are the functions of the bishop in exercising episkopé over several churches 4. What is the relation between bishops in the local church and bishops exercising episkopé over several churches?

6. How can the past help us shape the kind of episkopé we need today?

7. How can mutual recognition among the churches be achieved?

ministry between 1974 and 1982. All the work, drafting and discussion on ministry Commission in Lima in 1982. between 1927 and 1980 had their influence on the text of BEM presented to the 1979 consultation. Clarity and movement had entered the work of Faith and Order on Even a quick comparison of the Accra text with BEM shows the influence of the

## Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry, the responses and initial steps towards a study of ecclesiology

allow it to be transmitted to the churches. It was an historic moment. Few at the time Commission unanimously agreed that the text was at a stage of maturity that would realized that BEM would become the most widely translated, discussed and Lima in January 1982. After considerable discussion and further revision, the A revised draft of BEM was presented to the Commission on Faith and Order in

commented text in the history of the ecumenical movement.22 diamentary and to reflect a widely discussed position, even if not to the satisfaction BEM on ministry may be said to represent the theoretical foundation of earlier

essential to the church. It admits the possibility of diversity in the forms which the gives priority to the apostolic succession of churches over the position of the of all. It gives the issue of one ministry precedence over the issue of its structure, and apostolic ministry as it emerged in post-apostolic times is an authentic and canonical development of Roman Catholic Church.23 The view in BEM is that the eventual form of episcopacy episcopate could take - a view which did not win the approval of Orthodox or of the rather than as something which dates from the apostles or which is absolutely phenomenon of quite early origins and of some significance to the life of the church, episcopacy of the church as its sign. BEM presents episcopacy as an historical

decisive steps on the way to a study of ecclesiology.25 on BEM, asked several of its commissioners to reflect on BEM and took some took up BEM at its meeting in Budapest in 1989, it made a statement to the churches were also collated and analyzed; and when the Faith and Order Plenary Commission gathered these responses, eventually publishing them in six volumes  $^{24}$  The responses official response. Over the next several years the Faith and Order Commission Later in 1982, the WCC Central Committee sent BEM to the churches for their

all the baptized. Virtually all the responses agreed that Christ's church requires a confessional controversies are far from dead. Differences between East and West both a promise and a challenge, Hopko noted that the responses reveal that the reported on the BEM process and the churches' responses. Acknowledging BEM as special ministry of leadership. All the responses affirmed the ministry of women in for rooting ordained ministry in the ministry of Christ and in the common ministry of understanding have taken place. context now is new. A certain growing together has occurred. Mutual influence and remain, Reformation and Counter-Reformation controversies continue. Yet the At the Budapest meeting Thomas Hopko of the Orthodox Church in America Regarding ministry, Hopko noted that virtually every response commended BEM

Budapest meeting.<sup>27</sup> It noted that while the ministry section was the longest and most The Commission issued a report of the process and responses one year after the

the church, whatever the given church's position on ordination and apostolic

difficult, it had nevertheless elicited a surprisingly large number of positive remarks These included such observations as the following:

- even on forms of ordained ministry there is a general affirmation;
- the New Testament does not describe a single pattern for all future ministry; churches with the traditional pattern of episcopal structure welcome the plea for the restoration of the threefold pattern for the sake of unity;
- in a number of churches there is an openness to the threefold pattern if it is kep some responses oppose the normative character of the threefold ministry; reforms regarding collegiality, integration, and participation of the laity, while some churches are open to considering the threefold pattern and propose several

of episkopé to episcopacy. Many responses accepted the distinction but not separation relation to the function of bishops, with some asking for clarification of the relatior on the level of human design and order, and not iure divino. The report noted that many of the responses took up the concept of episkopé in

> ministry cannot be isolated from its ecclesiological context". The recognition of the this ministry is exercised as a true church confessing the faith of the apostles". Thus in an ecumenical recognition of ministry, but in recognition of the church in which not ready to move to recognition of ministries in non-episcopal churches. In the towards mutual recognition (para. 53). Roman Catholic and Orthodox churches are mainly from Reformation churches, criticized a perceived imbalance in the steps section of BEM on the mutual recognition of ordained ministries. Some responses, ordained ministry and the ecclesial character of a Christian community are for the Roman Catholic Church, "it must be clear that recognition of the ordained Roman Catholic Church, "the fundamental ecclesiological problem of unity lies not The Commission acknowledged that there were not many specific reactions to the

several other churches asked that ecclesiology be an immediate priority of Faith and ordination of women and episcopal succession. Thus the Ecumenical Patriarchate and around the mutual recognition of ministries, and that this is related to issues of the indissolubly and mutually related. The report concluded that the deepest differences between churches are those

churches doubt whether an episkopé without bishops would meet the full criteria of communal way. This function of episkopé is not linked to episcopacy, although many church. It may, according to circumstances, be exercised in a personal, collegial or distinguished from episcopacy. BEM should perhaps have expressed more clearly that the function of episkopé is exercised in various forms at all levels of the life of the description of the exact theological function and structure of episkopé as The clarification section of the report mentioned specifically the need for a

some churches would demand. Thus the issue of episcopal succession remains a in the apostolic tradition, let alone as a condition for recognition of ministries, as unpersuaded by arguments in favour of episcopal succession as an important element forward. A number of responses from the Reformed/Free Church Union were between the apostolic tradition and episcopal succession as an important step episcopal succession, despite the fact that most responses welcomed a distinction silence on the topic of universal primacy. Specifically, this silence centred on apostolic tradition.28 church in God's saving purpose, koinonia, the church as creatura verbi, the pilgrim ecclesiology in Faith and Order.20 This study would need to involve such issues as the tackled only in the framework of a broader, more intensified discussion on difficult problem. Behind it lie significant ecclesiological questions, which can be ecclesiology as implicit and not explicit. Thus a broadly based study on ecclesiology all the work done by Faith and Order on this topic. The Commission saw  $BEM^c$ Such a study should not only build on the results of BEM, but should try to integrate people of God, the church as servant and prophetic sign of God's coming kingdom Collegiality and synodality were raised as issues; and several responses noted the

was seen to be required. Ecumenical Perspectives on Ecclesiology". It gave several reasons why Faith and forward a proposal for a study on "The Nature and Mission of the Church At the 1989 meeting, Günther Gassmann, then director of Faith and Order, put

churches have their roots in different understandings of the church; work on BEM was

Order should focus on this topic: many of the still-controversial issues between the

for renewal and enrichment of the ecclesiologies of different Christian traditions. reflection on the church with all its major implications and could serve as an impetus ecclesiology, such a study programme could provide a comprehensive ecumenical focusing on understanding the church. Stressing basic ecumenical perspectives on lacking a comprehensive ecclesiological framework; bilaterals were increasingly be a key concept. The final outcome could be a longer study document or a shorter Gassmann pointed out the rich materials available, suggesting that koinonia could

being, and the church in the light of the idea of communion or koinonia. expression of God's purpose for creation, the church as a reflection of God's way of "identity" of the church, the church in its relation to God, including the church as attempting a systematic ecclesiology. Among these were the questions of the He underscored the importance of working on basic perspectives rather than shall concentrate on only one of these, by Metropolitan John Zizioulas of Pergamon consensus text.30 Several Commission members made valuable responses to this proposal. Here I

of episkopé. "If the unity of the church in the world is understood as 'communion of ministries, the authority of ministry, the laity, and the understanding of the ministry communion. This would have direct bearing on the understanding of local church, all the need to study the ministry of episkopé in the context of an ecclesiology of such as primacy, conciliarity, etc., which are still dividing the churches."31 churches'," he declared, "a perspective may be offered in which to place problems

Metropolitan John went on to speak of the structure and ministry of the church and

example, the ecclesial implications of this cannot be avoided. Similarly, the world other's ordinations; (3) how churches authorize ministry and presidency at the of the ordination of women; (4) the ministry of oversight; and (5) the primatial office. ministry; (2) the nature and function of ordained ministry; (3) the question (for some) conference pointed to the ecclesial dimensions of a number of key issues on ministry BEM.32 It noted that when questions are raised about baptismal recognition, for made clear its view that further work was required on the ecclesiology underlying eucharist; and (4) the role and significance of the diaconate baptism relate to the functions of ministry; (2) participation of the churches in each that needed additional work, including (1) the baptismal basis of all Christian The conference specifically requested work on such topics as (1) how the gifts of The fifth World Conference on Faith and Order (Santiago de Compostela 1993)

broader study of ministry in general. Here the report identified some possible topics the exercise of episkopé. Such a study would be enhanced if carried out within the particular with the historic episcopate was seen as problematic for some churches.33 However, a tendency to identify this with the personal ministry of bishops and in the need for a ministry of oversight (episkopé) at all levels in the life of the church - among them (though only briefly and in passing) the question of a primatial office Thus the churches would benefit from joint theological and historical research into The Santiago report noted a growing convergence among the churches regarding

and aire reasons for this conclusion. The evidence and the achievement of suggests that the notion and reality of koinonia is a suitable category for this deeper and surrounds the understanding and practice of baptism, eucharist and ministry. It responses to BEM perceived the need for further work on this topic, which underlies With regard to ecclesiology, the Santiago report is more specific. It notes that the

> churches truly united" and "conciliar fellowship".34 mutual accountability, common decision-making and actions, forms of "local fuller koinonia require taking up several other issues, among them structures of

consultation in Strasbourg is at least a partial response to that recommendation from particularly noting that the topic of episkopé should be studied. This present process of making decisions about fuller expressions of unity which clearly have ecclesiology, and when a number of WCC member churches have made or are in the 1993. It comes at a time when Faith and Order is in the midst of a study of by Faith and Order and in the churches on the doctrine and practice of ministry, Among the specific recommendations of Santiago was one calling for further worl

a general agreement that the inability of some communions to recognize the ministerial orders of others remains one of the principal obstacles on the road to full challenges of episkopé and episcopacy were largely left for another time. There was could certainly be made that Faith and Order's attention to ministry was slight. The always a willingness to take it up. Up to Accra 1974, if not Lima 1982, the case evidence of a clear recognition of the importance of the topic of ministry, though no ecclesiological implications. Even a brief overview of the history from Lausanne 1927 to Santiago 1993 gives

transmission of power. They acknowledge a more nuanced view of the historica will necessarily be very different from an affirmation of the mediaeval pattern. If settle today's questions. Ecumenical work has shown that a renewed threefold pattern sympathetic view of the significance of episcopacy for the consensus of the church origins of episcopacy. Some churches of the Reformation have come to a more Catholics and Orthodox tend now to view apostolic succession as more than linear bilateral dialogues. Progress can certainly be seen over these decades. Roman greater understanding among the churches. agreement on episcopacy is not an ecumenical reality at this time, there is nevertheless There is an awareness ecumenically that New Testament patterns in themselves do not By the early 1980s, the situation had changed, as a result of both BEM and the

## The purpose and aim of the Strasbourg consultation The immediate context for ecumenical reflection on this topic at the time of the

 The existence of BEM and the responses to it from the churches, which represents decades earlier: Strasbourg consultation includes several features which were not present even a few

- The reflection within Faith and Order on BEM and the churches' responses, from an invaluable ecumenical resource.
- both the Standing Commission and the fifth World Conference.
- The ongoing work of both multilateral and bilateral dialogues. In many of these the by the churches. There are hints of the beginnings of reception and recognition influence of BEM is obvious. Some of this work has now led to official responses
- 4. The ongoing Faith and Order study of ecclesiology. Within this study there is acknowledgment that the issues of episkopé and episcopacy, and indeed of with new formal relations starting to be lived out. character only in the context of an ecumenical convergence - if not consensus ministry in general, will be resolved as ecumenical issues of a church-dividing

in Budapest, "ministries exercising authority over others must also be conceived about the church. As Metropolitan John of Pergamon reminded Faith and Order

to see in each other the one, holy, catholic and apostolic church? especially its study of ecclesiology, so that these efforts can challenge the churches episkopé and episcopacy can benefit the continuing work of Faith and Order, within that body which is the church - and from the teachings of the churches on gleanings from the ecumenical movement – its discoveries in theology, its experiences properly understood may be of help in answering these questions."35 equally from such a perspective... How can specificity of ministry be reconciled Thus the central question before the Strasbourg consultation is: what new with unity? How can unity and diversity co-exist? The notion of communion in the same spirit of communion. The ministry of episkopé will have to be studied

- H.N. Bate, ed., Faith and Order: Proceedings of the World Conference, Lausanne, August 3-21, 1927, London, SCM, 1927, pp.424-25.
- Ibid., pp.467-71, esp. p.469
- Ibid., pp.470-72 1938, p.187 Leonard Hodgson, ed., The Second World Conference on Faith and Order, London, SCM,
- Ibid., pp.246-48 Ibid., p.245.

- Ibid., p.248.

Ibid., p.249.

- Oliver S. Tomkins, ed., The Third World Conference on Faith and Order, London, SCM, 1953, pp.41-42.
- 10 Ibid., p.55.
- 12 Ibid., pp.253-57. 11 Ibid., pp.56-57.
- 13 Ibid., p.274.
- 15 Ibid., p.135. 14 Ibid., pp.25-27
- 18 Ibid., pp.61-69 17 Ibid., p.66. 16 P.C. Rodger and Lukas Vischer, eds, The Fourth World Conference on Faith and Order, New York, Association, 1964, pp.26-28
- Faith and Order, Louvain 1971: Study Reports and Documents, Geneva, WCC, 1971, pp.78-101; reprinted in G. Gassmann, ed., Documentary History of Faith and Order 1963-1993,

<sup>21</sup> Episkopé and Episcopate in Ecumenical Perspective, Geneva, WCC, 1980, pp.1-13

<sup>23</sup> Cf. e.g. Max Thurian, ed., Churches Respond to BEM, Vol. IV, Geneva, WCC, 1987, pp.1-6 22 Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry, Geneva, WCC, 1982, esp. pp.20-32

introduction 11

- Max Thurian, ed., Churches Respond to BEM: Official Responses to the "Baptism, Eucharist Geneva, WCC, 1988, pp.1-40, esp. pp.25-36. Worcester, MA, 1985, pp.162-63; Max Thurian, ed., Churches Respond to BEM, Vol. VI G. Limouris and M. Vaporis, eds, Orthodox Perspectives on Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry,
- <sup>25</sup> Cf. T.F. Best, ed., Faith and Order 1985-1989: The Commission Meeting at Budapest 1989 Geneva, WCC, 1990, esp. pp.73-103, 201-19. and Ministry" Text, 6 vols., Geneva, WCC, 1986-1988.
- 26 Ibid., pp.77-78
- 27 Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry 1982-1990: Report on the Process and Responses, Geneva
- WCC, 1990.
- 28 Ibid., pp.120-30
- 29 Ibid., pp.74-88.
- 30 Ibid., pp.202-204
- 32 Cf. T.F. Best and G. Gassmann, eds, On the Way to Fuller Koinonia: Official Report of the Fifth 31 Ibid., pp.209-15, esp. pp.212f
- World Conference on Faith and Order, Geneva, WCC, 1994, esp. p.245.

35 Faith and Order 1985-1989, p.213 34 Ibid., pp.249-52.

- 33 Ibid., pp.249-50.

Geneva, WCC, 1993, pp.116-36.

REPORTS
FROM THE
STRASBOURG CONSULTATION

2-9 APRIL 1997

### **NEW EXPERIENCES OF** EPISKOPÉ AND EPISCOPACY

Report of Group I

internal exercise of episkopé had taken place since the consultation on Episkopé and visible unity of the church. We were concerned to claim together what we can all reflect together on the implications of these developments for the move towards the reconciliation of ministries of oversight. We were grateful for the opportunity to Nordic and Baltic Lutheran Churches - there has been a coming together, a Porvoo Agreement between the Anglican Churches of Britain and Ireland and certain in the sharing of oversight, some formal, others informal. And, in one case - the Episcopate in Ecumenical Perspective (1979). There have also been developments Introduction affirm in these developments and also to try to understand more clearly the areas of difference that remain between some of our churches in the understanding and practice In all the churches represented at the April 1997 consultation, developments in the

compromising internal Lutheran unity. We also noted that the question of coherence reconciliation of Anglican and Roman Catholic ministries. Others see it as developments with other partners. For example, some have argued that the Porvoo move between two partners appears to foreclose, at least in the immediate future, towards shared oversight. At the same time we note the difficulty that arises when a of a ministry of oversight. communion. This makes us realize the importance of continuing the search for between movements to unity at a regional level and the internal unity of a world Lutheran relations in Europe with those in the USA. This may lead to a tension communion. For example, some have questioned the compatibility of Anglicanand consistency may be raised by regional developments within a single world Agreement between Lutherans and Anglicans makes it more difficult to envisage the We all welcome the desire for greater visible unity reflected in part by the moves

> significance of the different agreements for the whole ecumenical movement. It may ordinating the theological agreements of bilateral dialogues and for reviewing the service and witness. It also raises the question of where responsibility lies for codialogue at a world level, for the sake of visible koinonia and effective common be that the WCC Faith and Order Commission has a greater role to play in this area

church's pattern of oversight seems to have been developed in contradistinction to geographical and political contexts influence - sometimes consciously, sometimes political system. For example: secular models in a deliberate attempt not to appropriate a particular secular or unconsciously - a church's expression of episkopé. In other places and times a As we reviewed various developments in the churches, we noted that particular

- where women's role in society has undergone a change, the place and role of in some countries which have moved to more democratic forms of government the question of lay participation in the episkopé of the church has been raised,
- church, is increasingly questioned, particularly where the "democratic way" is in some countries the influence of democratic systems, slavishly copied in a women in the ministry of oversight has become an issue;
- discovering consensus, under the Spirit's guidance, is increasingly recognized as equated simplistically with the discovery of consensus;
- not being a matter of majority vote;
- of society for the sake of survival, so that the church is rooted in the context and there are some places where the polity of a church needs to "fit" with the structures not isolated or withdrawn from it,
- the demands of mission to the secular world in a particular place sometimes for the sake of a strong and credible witness to the gospel. provide the impetus to churches of different traditions to share oversight ministries

### Three areas of development

In what follows, we reflect on three areas of recent development to see what can

- be learned from each: Developments in episkopé and episcopacy within churches
- Local experiences of shared oversight
- Ecumenical developments and proposals

oversight. Below are set out a series of snapshots of current developments in the Our reflections are necessarily selective and await a more comprehensive review. "historical" communions (the Roman Catholic and Eastern Orthodox), as well as post-denominational churches (in China), a Baptist context (in Great Britain), two ministry of episkopé from several contexts. Examined briefly are situations in newer, experiencing developments in the ways in which they conceive of and practice 1. Developments in episkopé and episcopacy within churches Across a variety of contexts and histories, the churches are found to be

in which women are exercising oversight

recent developments (transcending contextual and denominational lines) in the ways

situation described we could recognize: Christians needed to support each other and speak with one voice. In the formative oversight took place in relation to a powerful single-party state system where Christian Council, we were aware that developments in the exercise of a ministry of In the story of Protestant and Anglican churches in China represented in the China

- a personal ministry of oversight as exercised in the ministry of Bishop Ting of unity" for the community. In this sense their ministry was both "functional" and oversight were recognized leaders of all the member churches of the Christian by ministers of the China Christian Council. These "personal" ministers of (former Anglican bishop) and the two "ecumenical bishops" who were consecrated Council, leaders in faith and worship and teachers of the faith. They acted as "foci
- particularly Bishop Ting acted as the link with other Christian churches, through his participation in the WCC, the Lambeth Conference, the World Alliance of
- after the death of the two "ecumenical bishops", personal oversight has passed to two lay members of the China Christian Council. The community has "recognized" Reformed Churches and other bodies; ordinations or consecrations; sacramental presidency of the community and to the sign of continuity in cucharist or ordain. This raises questions of the relation of oversight to the functions traditionally assigned to "bishops", however, they do not preside at the them as persons to lead because of their individual gifts. They exercise some of the
- structures have also emerged of a "communal oversight" at the congregational together men and women, those with oversight, pastors and lay people. local, regional and national levels of the church. The "communal" forms bring

# Baptist Union of Great Britain: General Superintendency

communities, by the mid-17th century each had given form to a sense of espoused. Although the picture sometimes painted is one of independently gathered interdependence as truly Christian and truly Baptist. recognized as "General" or "Particular", according to which doctrine of election they Baptist congregations emerged in England early in the 17th century. They were

may be described as "trans-local" (giving a focus to episkopé between churches rather In some cases these were recognized as having a distinct and separate ministry which tasks included missionary preaching, representing the churches and settling disputes not only in agreeing Confessions of Faith, but also in appointing Messengers, whose than over them). Associations of churches formed, which gave expression to communal episkopé;

centuries. Still, association life remained important, in the sense of belonging together church) led to a decline in the appointment of Messengers during the 18th and 19th as gathered representatives and through the accepted personal episkopé of gifted However, certain factors (including tension between local and wider forms of The 19th century saw the joining of General and Particular Baptists and the

and ministry which included schemes for the settlement, movement and support of formation of a Baptist Union. Attempts were made to equip the church for mission

> do justice to the whole. Questions of power, perceived power and the nature of Actual practice could vary, as the workload remained too heavy for any individual to Superintendents and each would have the support of one Area Pastoral Committee. ministers were to be in relationship with the other members of the Board of settlements and acting as representatives and advocates of the Baptist Union. These leadership to encourage Christian witness and education, facilitating ministerial charge of oversight among ministers and their families and the churches, providing amended at intervals, eventually led to entrusting eleven ministers with the pastoral ministers. The resulting system of General Superintendents, established in 1916 and

both articulating and reforming. Among many specific recommendations, it is the fact remains that a ministry of oversight is exercised among Baptists which needs authority have surfaced regularly. important to note the requirement that the churches look closely at patterns of For largely historical reasons, Baptists are reluctant to use "episcopal" language, yet 1994 to 1996. Its report was significantly entitled Transforming Superintendency. Such questions led most recently to a formal review of the Superintendency from

in connection with the Associations through the Area Pastoral Committee, the is the pastoral care of ministers as partners in God's mission through the churches -The primary (though not sole) area of the General Superintendents' responsibilities

review has been the attempt to articulate the impetus this brings towards recognizing the theological affirmation of faith in the Triune God of constant relationship, whose theological colleges and the Ministry Department of the Baptist Union. and implementing appropriate forms of episkopé. mission of love is to go out to all creation. Perhaps the deepest significance of this All this, Baptists believe, stems from reflection in their denominational context on

### c. Roman Catholic Church

exercise of oversight can also be found to vary. vary, even according to the provisions of Canon Law. Collegial and communal manner in which individual bishops exercise this episkopé in their local churches may Strictly speaking, collegiality in the Roman Catholic Church is an activity While the Roman Catholic Church has always been episcopally ordered, the

a particular form of episkopé as head of the college of bishops. of bishops there is an exercise of personal primacy by the Bishop of Rome, who has together or gathering together in a council. Within this collegiality of the whole body involving the whole body of bishops, either spread throughout the world but teaching

episkopé in various regions or areas of responsibility. dioceses the bishop may be assisted by a number of episcopal vicars who share hi exercise his episkopé in a more collegial way in the local church. Within such example, a bishop (or archbishop) may have a number of auxiliary bishops and hence emerged which are increasingly collegial and communal. In larger dioceses, for Since the Second Vatican Council some new experiences of episkopé have

bishops consult with clergy and lay people, enabling them to have some communal dimension to their episkopé. At the regional level, conferences of bishops exercise a In many dioceses, there are structures such as pastoral councils or synods in which

form of collegiality, although they exist more for common counsel than for direcepiskopé over the local churches.

The various experiences of episkopé thus give evidence of both new structures and new ways of using existing structures.

#### d. Orthodox Church

The Eastern Orthodox Church is a communion of local autocophilous churches, each headed by a rimmate. This person, whether patienth, metropolitan or archisishop, is an epishopos in a primus inter pares primacy of honour among the other epishopol of his church, who also oversee regional diocoses. The primus inter pares of all the autocophilous churches is the Parimeth of Constrainthople.

This structure results in a large degree of independence on the level of regional discusses and especially of local autocophalous churches. But independence is not self-sufficiency, and the bonds of communion which unite all the local Orthodox churches are experienced sacramentally as well as through the collegiality of episcopal oversight. The practice of collegial oversight is evident on the global level in the historical commercial councils and in the contemporary pan-Orthodox meetings. On the local level, each autocephalous church has its synod of bishops.

The communal nature and exercise of episcopal oversight is evident in two ways: first, the election of each epislopos arises from and occurs within the local eucharistic community. Moreover, some dioceses and autoephalous churches feature as a part of their governing structures assemblies which involve clergy and laity.

in certain areas, particularly among the so-called "diaspora" churches where inparallel jurisdictions exist the unity of the church on the administrative, synchronic level is not evident. But these situations, which are universally regarded as anomalous, do not impinge upon the diachronic unity of the church. Thus the epistopos guarantees unity notably through continuity of faith and church life, as expressed (and grounded) in the teachings of councils throughout history, in the function is monastic and parish life—all areas that are in relationship in the new areas neveronal and collectia forms of existence.

In many greas, personal and collegial forms of epislopé have developed in new ways as a response to the ecclesiological and ecclesiastical problem of parallel jurisdictions. One such provisional structure is the Assembly of Orthodox Bishops of France (Assemblée des Evêques orthodoxes de France), whose membership comprises the bishops from every canonical Orthodox church body in France. This and similar structures in the United States, Germany and elsewhere make it evident that even within situations where the "one bishop in each place" norm has not been consistently uphed, provision is made for collegiality and conciliarity.

Concern for cominned opiscopal collegiality on the global level is seen today in the greater frequency of informal meetings of the heads of the autocephalous churches. It is also manifested in the character of the pan-Orthodox process which is preparing a general synod of the Orthodox church.

### e. Women in the ministry of oversight

In no church are women excluded from every form of episkopé, although the degree of involvement in decision-making structures varies considerably. There have always

been women who by charismatic and moral authority have exercised some form of

In the Orthodox and Roman Catholic churches this *spiskopė* is exercised in a formal way only by leaders of Religious Congregations, in which women have jurisdiction according to the constitutions of these Congregations, usually worked out in a collegial manner. However, there are also instances of women participating formally in the process of discernment required to elect a bishop, or functioning consultatively and/or critically as the bishop engages in his work. Some Roman Catholic dioceses have appointed Women's Advisors, and in some cases women act as consultants to a Bishops' Conference. In many Orthodox churches the electroal colleges responsible for the election of bishops are composed of both clerical and lay representation, including abbasses and the women directors of monastic estimataries. The radiation of lay thologians within the Orthodox church contributes to broader oversight on the part of lay men and women as theologians and teachers.

Within other churches, both episcopal and non-episcopal, there is an increasing involvement of women in the exercise of personal episcopae, there is an increasing roles or the decision-making structures. This is unevenly expressed in different points of each world communion. Since the 1979 Fish and Order consultation on episcope, women bishops have been conservated in a number of communion; in the Methodist church, some Lutheran churches and some churches of the Anglican Communion. In non-episcopal churches, increasing numbers of women have been appointed to oversight roles.

This brief overview may serve as a reminder that this discussion is not about whether there is a "place" for women in the church – this is not in question. Nevertheless, real differences do remain in the interpretation of the common theoretheless, real differences do remain in the interpretation of the common understanding of the church as the Body of Christ, of the representative nature of the bishop as icon of Christ (*imago Christ*) and the role of the bishop as focus of unity. The decision of some episcopal churches to ordain women has sharpened the question in ecumenical discussion – moving it from a theoretical issue to a perceived anomaly or problem and, for some, an ecumenical possibility, Frustrainon is sometimes expressed by non-episcopal churches (among which there is still diversity on the nature of oversight and the acceptability of its exercise by women) when the very discussion in which they participate its sensed as calling into question the validity of discussion in which they participate its sensed as calling into question the validity of their stance in continuing the tradition of an all-male episcopale. In the Protestant church in China, women have been ordained during a period of

rapid growth in response to the need to preserve the continuity of faith. In the lamakean church in times of slavery, authoratic Christian witness, without a formally recognized ministry, was maintained by both women and men. Recognition of faces like these may help to keep the doors open for discussion in an area threatened by deadlock.

It is clear that the matter of women in the personal ministry of oversight ternams a disputed question both within and between churches. We believe that it is important for churches to continue evaluating together the theological and ecclesiological issues as well as reviewing together the experience of women in ministries of personal oversight. As was said in BEM in relation to the ordination of women to the

speak to one church through the insights of another priesthood: "openness to each other holds the possibility that the Spirit may wel

exercised at the local and regional levels: recognizing some commonalities between churches of very different polities. The different churches, we found in Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry a useful "key" to BEM text talks of the "personal, collegial and communal" dimensions of oversight In reflecting on these examples of the development of the exercise of oversight in

Guiding Principles for the Exercise of the Ordained Ministry in the Church

ministry is rooted in the life of the community and requires the community's effective participation in the discovery of God's will and the guidance of the Spirit. should find expression in a communal dimension where the exercise of the ordained Finally, the intimate relationship between the ordained ministry and the community ministers sharing in the common task of representing the concerns of the community life and witness. It should also be collegial, for there is need for a college of ordained ordained to proclaim the gospel and to call the community to serve the Lord in unity of presence of Christ among his people can most effectively be pointed to by the person exercised in a personal, collegial and communal way. It should be personal because the Three considerations are important in this respect. The ordained ministry should be

synodal gatherings (Ministry, paras 26-27). expression. At the level of the local eucharistic community there is need for an ordained regional level there is again need for an ordained minister exercising a service of unity participation of all members in the life and the decision-making of the community. At the minister acting within a collegial body. Strong emphasis should be placed on the active in the church in such a way that each of these three dimensions can find adequate The ordained ministry needs to be constitutionally or canonically ordered and exercises The collegial and communal dimensions will find expression in regular representative

given ecclesial significance. Reformed Churches, the Lutheran World Federation, etc. - but these are not generally structures at a world level - the Baptist World Alliance, the World Alliance of clergy and laity at the world level. Other world communions also have communa communal in the Anglican Consultative Council, which brings together bishops Vatican Council, the proposed Pan-Orthodox Synod and the Lambeth Conference; the and the Archbishop of Canterbury; the collegial in a council such as the Second level: the personal in the ministry of the Bishop of Rome, the Ecumenical Patriarch oversight are exercised not only at the local and regional levels, but also at the world In some churches we noted that the personal, collegial and communal forms of

In light of the above, we noted several points:

 All our churches exercise a ministry of oversight. The expressions of the exercise of personal, collegial and communal oversight

differ between the churches.

The different exercise of personal, collegial and communal oversight reflects ways in which the churches are variously nurtured in the faith, pastored, guided and

- connectedness" and instruments of communion, solidarity and mutual These forms of oversight serve the unity of churches and are "bonds of accountability
- with the nurturing and maintenance of the community's fidelity to the teaching and The ministry of oversight in all churches, in its various dimensions, is concerned mission of the apostles.
- churches in the act of ordination by those who were themselves ordained in In churches where the ministry of oversight entails the historic episcopal succession, the diachronic unity of the churches is emphasized in personal succession - as well as in the exercise of oversight by corporate bodies such as succession. Care for faithful continuity through the ages is shown in non-episcopal
- of the gospel and the authentic celebration of the sacraments. In all the churches the ministry of oversight is connected to the faithful preaching
- apostolic faith and mission on the one hand and ministerial continuity on the other The way in which the churches express the relationship between continuity in the relationship, and still others make no attempt to explicate the relationship. differs. Some use the language of "guarantee", others "effective sign" to describe
- describes those who exercise personal oversight in more than functional terms. There is a difference between churches which place emphasis upon the "iconic" or example, the Baptist Union of Great Britain in its recent report on superintendency is primarily functional. However, we noted some convergence even here. For "symbolic" nature of personal oversight and churches for which personal oversight
- The several developments in the exercise of oversight which we have noted above church was welcomed. claims of universal and immediate jurisdiction in the ministry of the Bishop of at the world level. Some also cite negative aspects, particularly in relation to the There is a recognition of the positive aspects in a personal ministry of oversigh engage with him in an exploration of his ministry in the service of the unity of the upon. Nevertheless, the invitation by the Bishop of Rome in Ut Unum Sint to Rome and of papal infallibility, at least as this is sometimes interpreted and acted
- Whether intentionally or not, ecumenical statements have sometimes given the testify to a common concern to make the ministry of oversight relevant to the mission of the church in the contemporary world.
- churches to examine their practice of episkopé. Non-episcopal churches need to for renewal and mutual enrichment will do much to remove negative perceptions presbyteral and corporate oversight. A frank acceptance by all parties of the need taking into account the positive contributions which can be made by systems or ordered are challenged to seek the renewal of their practice of episcopal ministry, consider the merits of a personal episcopate; churches which are episcopally fashion need to embrace personal episcopacy. In fact, the process requires all namely, that churches in which episkopé is exercised in a presbyteral or corporate impression that convergence in the practice of episkopé is a one-way process: and to advance koinonia and the process of convergence

## Local experiences of shared oversight

stage on the way to the visible unity of the whole church. each place" to "all in every place". Local partnerships need to be understood as a vita move beyond the present situation to the visible unity of the church - from the "all in "Areas of Ecumenical Experiment". For some, the more recently adopted title "Local nature of these partnerships was reflected in the title used in England in the 1970s place" envisaged at the WCC's third assembly (New Delhi 1961). The experimental different traditions are beginning to develop new ways of becoming the "all in each sense only within an overall commitment of the participating churches themselves to Ecumenical Partnerships" tends to obscure the fact that these new partnerships make In some parts of the world, Christians in the same town or local area but from

constituted formally by the participating traditions. The participation of the Church congregations of a number of traditions with a covenanted shared life of mission, of shared life differs from LEP to LEP according to the partners involved and of England in LEPs is governed by Ecumenical Canons which set out the degree of shared chaplaincies. The 800 or more LEPs, which differ from one another, are of ecumenical life: a united congregation, a shared building, the grouping together of there is not an interchangeable ministry. Catholics), a degree of shared ministry, though for Anglicans and Roman Catholics confirmations, eucharistic hospitality (though not when a partnership involves Roman regular celebration of common worship, including the celebration of baptism, joint the concern for the unity and mission of the church. The shared life may include the according to what is set out in the formal sharing agreement. LEPs are motivated by United Reformed, Moravian, Roman Catholic and some House Churches. The degree The churches involved in these partnerships include Anglican, Baptist, Methodist shared worship and shared ministry which a bishop may permit in any particular LEP In England, "Local Ecumenical Partnership" (LEP) is used for a variety of patterns

of congregations in covenant. Ireland has a number of shared buildings, while in ministries". In Australia there are a number of developing covenants. there are united congregations; the Caribbean countries and Canada speak of "shared Zealand has "Co-operative Ventures" and "Co-operating Parishes"; in South Africa Scotland there is a mix of united congregations and shared buildings. Aotearoa-New In Wales, "Local Ecumenical Projects" are local united congregations or groups

which regularly review the life, including the liturgical life, of the LEP. The certain of their functions of oversight to be carried out by the Sponsoring Bodies leaders of the participating churches (Chairmen of Districts, Bishops, etc.) delegate churches and having an ongoing responsibility for the care of one or more LEPs. The LEP is overseen by a Sponsoring Body made up of members of the participating take responsibility for Co-operative Ventures. In England every formally constituted forms of joint oversight. In New Zealand there are Joint Regional Councils which A more recent development emerging in New Zealand, Wales and England is new

currently a proposal before the Welsh churches to establish an Ecumenical Bishop partnerships, and an Ecumenical Moderator was appointed. In Wales there is Keynes, England, the need arose for a person to oversee these ecumenical Sponsoring Body is accountable to the parent churches Out of the grouping together of a number of LEPs in the new town of Milton

> examples of emerging patterns of personal forms of ecumenical oversight. who would give oversight to the Local Ecumenical Projects. Both of these are The shared oversight exercised by the Sponsoring Bodies is closely related to the

way by which those who exercise a ministry of oversight in one tradition learn wha sharing together of those who have a ministry of oversight in a locality - the United ecumenical councils are an additional form of shared oversight that ministry entails in another tradition. In two places in England regiona accountability. This might be described as a form of ecumenical collegiality. It is a describe the tasks and extent of shared oversight and the degree of mutual Superintendent and the Anglican and Roman Catholic Bishops. Formal covenants Reformed Church Moderator, the Methodist Chairman of District, the Baptist

of the church's life - a ministry which keeps the local community connected to the community to be served by a ministry of oversight which represents the wider reality described as personal, collegial and communal. They testify to a desire on the part of Is there a danger that churches within an LEP might experience a weakened sense "wider fellowship of the church". However, they also raise questions and concerns local Christians to live a united life and the recognized need for the local Christian These new and developing forms of shared oversight show characteristics that can be

tend towards isolation, or even towards forming a new denomination? of belonging to a Christian World Communion? Is it possible that an LEP might

Does the sharing of the eucharist in LEPs, and the different interpretations which It is not always clear in an LEP who ensures both functionally and symbolically between the eucharist and the ministry? the participating churches place on this sharing, obscure the integral relation

The integral relation between the totality of the life of the church, held in the the LEP needs to be balanced by a sense of continuity. the continuity of the faith of the church through the ages. The very "localness" of

continuity of faith, sacraments, ministry and conciliar life, might be called into continuing relationship to the wider life of all the participating churches. question by these new forms of local Christian life unless they are conscious of the

There is an added burden on the Ecumenical Moderator (and will be on the in all of the participating churches. Ecumenical Bishop), who must remain in contact with the structures of oversight

regional levels points to the bringing together of ordained and lay, with those who are values of their different traditions. And the emergence of ecumenical councils at episcopal and non-episcopal churches the opportunity to experience directly the sharing of those with a ministry of oversight in the participating churches points to exercise of oversight in the local Christian community. Moreover, the covenanted represent a recognition by all the participating churches of the need for a persona setting up of an Ecumenical Moderator and the proposal for an Ecumenical Bishop effective mission that motivates these new developments. We also recognize that the churches involved in LEPs - we all recognize the desire for greater unity and more the ministry of collegiality in the exercise of oversight. This sharing gives those from While these issues are indeed problematic for some of us - as for some of the

experience of the local churches. ways the theological convergence noted in BEM is being affirmed in the lived entrusted with personal oversight, for a "communal" experience of oversight. In these

Ecumenical developments and proposals, national and regional

questions for further consideration. oversight in its own way, and several in particular have elicited reflections and process of negotiation. Each agreement process has needed to address the issue of union agreements which have been achieved or were, at the time of the meeting, in the of first-hand experience to describe the history and current status of several church various stages of development. Participants in this consultation were able on the basis In many parts of the world, certain churches are involved in union agreements a

## a. The Church Unity Commission (CUC), South Africa

profession of faith. communicant members of the other churches who had been baptized and made (Anglican) agreed to accept through transfer, without further sacramental rite, other member churches. In 1989 the Church of the Province of Southern Africa and agreed to admit to holy communion the baptized and communicant members of and effective, accepted the sacraments of baptism and holy communion in each church common allegiance to Jesus Christ. They recognized each other's ministries as real God and was linked with the church of apostolic times by a continuity of faith and Union" in which they indicated that each of them belonged to the one local church of 1968. In 1974 its member churches accepted a "Declaration of Intention to Seek Anglican, Congregational, Methodist and Presbyterian traditions. It was formed in The Church Unity Commission in South Africa comprises six churches in the

and churches tended to lapse into denominational isolation. On the other hand, it was Church union concerns were not considered important during the struggle against apartheid after 1976. The situation changed in the 1990s. On the one hand, the recognized that the church could not credibly call for reconciliation when it was no removal of the common enemy undermined the ecumenical solidarity of the struggle,

a two-stage process. ordained ministries of its member churches. In response the Commission proposed Commission to prepare proposals for the recognition and reconciliation of the of the Congregational and Presbyterian churches instructed the Church Unity In March 1993, a meeting of Anglican and Methodist bishops with the executives

church "when duly authorized or appointed so to do". Ministers who wished to ministers to officiate in the other churches while remaining ministers within their own particular church to which they belong". They were further asked to permit these called and ordained by God in Christ through his church and exercise a sacramental by the receiving church. In the case of the Church of the Province of Southern Africa transfer from one church to another would have to follow the procedures laid down preaching, teaching and pastoral ministry in the church of God and not simply in the ministers of Word and Sacrament in the member churches of the CUC have been In the first stage member churches were asked to accept "that the ordained mandd no socitate eniconnal ordination. The second stage would involve a search

> reconciliation of the ordained ministries. for consensus on the ministry of oversight which would open the way to full

upon the ordination of such ministers. The limited acceptance involved in the first Anglicans to take an important step forward This disappointed some in the non-episcopal churches but made it possible for the the ministry of oversight to be discussed in a less emotionally charged atmosphere stage made it clear that this was not the case. It was believed that this would allow episcopal ministries depended upon the acceptance of episcopacy, thus casting doubts reconciliation in one step had failed, due to the perception that the acceptance of non-The two-stage process was proposed because previous attempts to effect

proceed at different paces in different places. congregations has been regularized. Reception will obviously take time and will yet been any cross-denominational appointments, the position of ministers in united closer co-operation in ministry and mission at the local level. While there have not Stage one was accepted by all member churches during 1995, opening the way to

objective, it will not be necessary for the pattern of oversight to be identical. If relationship of conciliarity as a further step on the way to visible unity reconciliation can be achieved, the churches will be asked to move forward into a reconciliation of the ordained ministries. As organic union is not an immediate to recognize in the others those factors of episkopé which it considers needful for full exercise of episkopé to see whether changes can be made that will enable each church before the Commission. The member churches will be asked to examine their own Local consultations have been held in several areas, and their reports will be laid The CUC is now engaged in a process of consultation on the ministry of oversight

### Reflections and Questions

- Fundamental to the understanding of this agreement are the notion of gradual steps the particular context of Southern Africa. and stages on the way to visible unity and the demand of mission and witness in
- imperative to unity? What is this agreement saying to other churches in other places about the
- Is there a common understanding of the sort of united life of the church, the visible koinonia, to which this is leading? Or will this emerge as the process continues?
- of episkopé will develop as these churches grow together? as a result of the shared life of the participating churches? And what form or forms What changes and renewal in the exercise of a ministry of oversight will happen
- exercise of episkopé. Already changes are taking place internally in the life of the The new situation provides a renewed context for facing issues of the personal

# b. The Meissen Agreement between the Church of England and the Evangelical

Church in Germany (EKD) On a visit to Germany in 1993, Archbishop of Canterbury Robert Runcie proposed

the difficulties entailed by the division of the German churches on the two sides of the of what was at that time a divided Germany. Conversations began in 1985 - with all that closer relations be established between the Church of England and the churches

partners are committed. This portrait owes much to the description offered at the sets out a common understanding of the visible unity of the church to which the the service of a reconciled common ministry. faith in word and life, the sharing of one baptism, the celebration of one eucharist and WCC's sixth-assembly (Vancouver 1983): a common confession of the apostolic Published in 1988, the report of the conversations, On the Way to Visible Unity,

eucharistic fellowship are drawn into the fellowship of the Triune God. Here the visible signs of the communion between persons, who through their baptism and will take personal, collegial and communal forms. At every level they are outward and to share goods and to bear effective witness in the world. These bonds of communior to guard and interpret the apostolic faith, to take decisions, to teach authoritatively together will entail bonds of communion which will enable the church at every level church entails a ministry of oversight at every level of the church's life. A united life Report is influenced by the convergence of the BEM document. Integral to the Meissen Agreement is a consensus that the full visible unity of the

communions of the participating churches.) The report is honest about where consequence of the use of international theological documents is that a consistency rather than repeating the theological work so recently done by the churches. (One difference still remains: Lutherans, Reformed and United churches, though is maintained between regional developments and the position of the world already share, harvesting the work of international bilateral and multilateral dialogues the full interchangeability of ministers (para. 16). become a necessary condition for full visible unity". The Anglican understanding of the life of the whole church, hold that this particular form of episkopé should not increasingly prepared to appreciate episcopal succession "as a sign of apostolicity of full visible unity, on the other hand, includes the historic episcopal succession with The Meissen Common Statement lists the agreements in faith which the churches

this Declaration the churches made mutual acknowledgments: in faith recorded and to the close relationship which had existed over many years. In themselves to a greater degree of shared living appropriate to the extent of agreement succession, the churches involved signed a formal Declaration in 1992 committing and an honest recognition of the outstanding differences on episkopé, apostolicity and On the basis of the agreed portrait of full visible unity, stated agreements in faith

- of one another's churches as churches belonging to the one holy, catholic and apostolic church of Jesus Christ and truly participating in the apostolic mission; of each other as churches in which the Word of God is authentically preached and
- of each other's ordained ministries as given by God and instruments of God's the sacraments are duly administered;

other words, the reconciliation of ministries as an integral part of the reconciliation separated ministries within the bringing together of the lives of communities - in recognition of authentic ministries in other churches lies the bringing together of Anglicans would describe as the "reconciliation of ministries". Beyond the to visible unity. It does not however imply an interchangeability of ministries or what ministry of the Evangelical Church in Germany marks a significant stage on the way For Anglicans the official acknowledgment (or recognition) of the ordained

> episcopal succession, within a single collegial and conciliar life of the churches. of churches. This greater unity is to be signified in a single ministry in the historic Even so, the churches do make binding commitments to one another in the formal

exchanges and twinnings, and to receive the eucharist in each other's churches. Clergy new forms of joint oversight which will maintain the new relationships, to encourage the churches and beckons them to move towards a single interchangeable ministry and one another nor concelebration - but in a way that visibly testifies to the closeness of are encouraged to share together in the celebration of the eucharist - not in place of Declaration. These commitments are to work at outstanding differences to establish

"recognition" and "reconciliation" as these are used in relation to the ministry. need to discuss further the understandings (and misunderstandings) of the terms episkopé and episcopacy, apostolicity and succession. They have also identified the Theological discussions have begun to explore the remaining outstanding issues of in holding the churches accountable to the commitments they made in 1992. relationship between the partner churches, has been instrumental in the last five years The Meissen Commission, set up to give joint oversight to the developing

- Reflections It is necessary to clarify what is meant by "recognition" and "reconciliation" in the Meissen Common Statement and how this relates to the different stages of proposals (USA), as well as to "acceptance" as used in the Southern African relationship envisaged in the Lutheran-Episcopal Concordat (USA) and the COCU
- How far have the structures of oversight established as a result of Meisser Is there a consistency between what is agreed about a ministry of episkopé and encouraged the development of a closer fellowship? a consistency between Meissen and the Lutheran-Reformed dialogue in the USA? episcopacy in the Meissen Agreement and in the Concordat (cf. below)? Is there
- What progress has been made on the outstanding issues of episkopé and episcopacy, apostolicity and succession as a result of this agreement? Are the experience and theological dialogue? participating churches any nearer to reaching agreement as a result of their

### c. The Porvoo Agreement between the Anglican churches of Britain and Ireland and Nordic and Baltic Lutheran churches

was a kairos moment for the unity of churches in northern Europe. The missionary in 1989, momentous changes were taking place in Europe, giving the sense that this churches in Europe could move to greater visible unity. When conversations began of more recent theological conversations to see whether Lutheran and Anglican Runcie suggested that it was now time to look at these several agreements in the light and some of the Nordic and Baltic Lutheran churches. In 1988 Archbishop Robert imperative was a dominant and controlling impulse throughout the talks. Lutherans led to a number of piecemeal agreements between the Church of England Between 1909 and 1951 theological conversations between Anglicans and

churches are all enisconal churches. Most of them have bishops consecrated in the The Anglican churches of Britain and Ireland and the Nordic and Baltic Lutheran

historic episcopal succession, although the Church of Dermark was forced at the Reformation to maintain a presbyteral succession but subsequently returned to episcopal consecrations.

a portrait of the kind of visibly united church, with diversity, which Anglicans and episcopacy, apostolicity and succession. Instead there is a lengthy agreed theological statements of the World Council of Churches and the results of bilateral dialogues that in the Meissen Common Statement and rests upon the same multilateral Lutherans are committed to becoming together. The portrait of unity is very close to the report sets out a common understanding of the nature and unity of the church and shared mission in the new European context brought about by the fall of communism, and dynamic as the Meissen Common Statement. Having affirmed the imperative for episcopal succession as sign, which the text describes as "effective sign": church, the episcopal office in the service of the apostolic succession and the historic church, episkopé and episcopacy in the service of the apostolicity of the whole agreement is based upon a common understanding of the apostolicity of the whole statement on episcopacy in the service of the apostolicity of the church.2 The Porvoo document to record differences on the understandings of episkopé and bilateral and multilateral conversations. Unlike Meissen, there was no need in the Next comes a series of 12 agreements in faith, like Meissen harvesting the fruits of The report of the conversations, published in 1993, follows the same simple logic

The use of the sign of the historic episcopal succession does not by itself parametee the fidelity of a church to every supect of the appositio faith, life and missions. Nonetheless, the retention of the sign remains a permanent challenge to fidelity and to unity, a summonts to witness to, and a commission to realize more fully, the permanent characteristics of the church of the apposites (para. 51).

The theological agreements led the Anglican churches of British and Ireland and the Nordic and Balio Lutheran churches (except Latvia, which has not yet voted, and Demants, which has a bestained from signing the Agreement) to ratify a Common Decharation. Because this agreement includes the establishment of a reconciled ministry in the historic episcopal succession, it has implications for the concilia the of the Anglican communion. The Perpovo Common Statement was thus sent to the Meeting of Anglican primates, who invited comments from all of the provinces of the Anglican communion. The responses received were presented to the General Synod of the Church of England when it came to take its decision.

The churches signing the Pervox Declaration acknowledge each other as belonging to the one boly, carbolic and apostolic church, as churches in which the word of God as authentically preached and the sacraments duly administered. There is an acknowledgment that each other's ministries are given by God and that in them the opiscopal office is valued and manimized as a visible sign expressing the church is must an expensive and continuity. On the basis of these acknowledgments a number of commitments are made: to slate a common title and mission, to regard buptized members of all the churches as members of each church, to vedcome ministers episcopully ordained to serve by invitation, to intribe bishops to take part in the ordination of bishops and to set up forms of collegial and conciliar consultation.

The coming into being of the Porvoo Communion of churches was celebrated in Norway, Estonia and London in 1996. A contact group was established to oversee the

challenges. The primates of the participating churches met in Norway, and the Archbishop of Canterbury invited them to attend the Lambeth Conference.

The Porvoó Agreement has brought these churches into a communion of faith, sucaments, ministry and bonded life in the service of the winess and mission of the church in northern Europe. A regular ministry of oversight is integral to the new relationship, as is the establishment of collegial and communal forms of the exercise of oversight.

Reflections

The Porvoo Agreement raises the following issues regarding the ministry of

oversight:

- What effect does the new Porvoo Communion have upon relations with and

between the two world communions involved?

- What are the implications of Porvoo for the relation between the Nordic and Baltic

Lutheran churches and other Lutheran churches in Europe and elsewhere?

Similarly what are its implications for other churches of the Anglican communion?

Similarly, what are its implications for other churches of the Anglican communion?
What does the agreement on episkopé, apostolicity and succession imply for other agreements?

Will such agreements, while strengthening regional unity and a regional oxercise of episkopé and episcopary, lead to the establishment of a communion "byconf the Anglican communion" boes the strengthening of a ministry of episkopé at the regional level in the service of komonia damage the kolmonia of the church at world level?

What does the Porvoo Agreement reveal about the bonds of episkopé which exist in the Anglican communion or the Lutheran World Federation?

d. The Leuenberg Agreement, Europe

The Leuenberg Agreement of 1973 established full church fellowship among Lutheram and Reformed churches in Europe, the United churches which grow out them, and the related pre-Reformation churches (the Waldersian Church and the Church of the Czech Brethren), on the basis of an elaboration of a common understanding of the gospet in February 1997 the Fellowship was extended include the Methodist churches of Europe.

A key statement in the Learnberg Agreement is that "in the view of the Reformation it follows that agreement in the right teaching of the gospel and in the right administration of the storaments is the necessary and sufficient prerequisite for the true unity of the church" (para. 2). In consequence, "the practice stand from of the church should be determined on by the commission to deliver this testimory to the world, and the Word of God remains sovereign over every human ordering of the Christian community" (para. 4).

White advanvelaging that considerable differences remain in forms of worship.

While acknowledging that considerable differences remain in forms of worship, types of spirituality and church order, "the signatory churches accord each other the types of spirituality and church order," the signatory churches accord each other the freezelehration to promote interectebration (are Emmoglichung der Interezelehration)" (gran. 28). Thus mutual recognition does not exhaust the matter. It opens the way for but does not of itself compidee the provision for intercelehration and revision of "the tules in force in the participating churches for induction to a pastoral charge, the exercise of the pastoral ministry or the ordering of congregational life" (para. 43). The

operates between any two of the signatory churches or any group of churches. rules remain and need to be deliberately changed before full exchange of ministries

least one occasion, the Executive Committee has commented on a potentially have been regularly held, and an Executive Committee meets every two years. On at (para. 29). In pursuit of this common witness, plenary assemblies of the churches "to strive for the fullest possible cooperation in witness and service to the world" binding common episkopé or a common ordering of episkopé, it does commit them While the Leuenberg Agreement does not commit its signatory churches to a

statements on episkopé in the Tampere Theses on The Discussion of the Ministry Christ, accepted by its general assembly in Vienna in May 1994. This text repeats the in the Leuenberg Church Fellowship is summarized in the text, The Church of Jesus problematic action by a member church and convinced it to modify its course Much of the reflection on ecclesiology, ministry and episkopé which has gone on

and also at a level (regional and beyond that, national) going beyond the congregation. and episkopé as belonging to the ordained ministry both in the individual congregation down as a prior condition for fellowship and for mutual recognition historically arisen form of church leadership and ministerial structure can or may be laid can and should learn from other non-Reformation churches, but they hold that no single and altar fellowship... They also recognize that in the wider ecumenical discussion they differences in church structures do not impede a "church fellowship" in the sense of pulpit differing structures of church leadership, they are nevertheless agreed that such Leuenberg Agreement have come from their historically conditioned traditions with not only fall to the ordained ministry... Although the churches participating in the and the leadership of the congregation is also exercised through other ministries and does Nevertheless..., proclamation of the gospel is the obligation for the whole congregation The Lutheran as well as the Reformed and the United churches recognize pastoral care

# e. Consultation on Church Union (COCU), United States of America

of the church's life hope is that "one may be able to exercise ministry on behalf of all" at different levels sacraments, ministry and mission. Through recognized and reconciled ministries, the Reformed and truly Evangelical" which finds unity in "sacred things" - faith, in the USA, has worked since 1960 to establish a church "truly Catholic, truly The Consultation on Church Union, an ecumenical effort among nine communions

communions is honoured and lived into. Council to ensure that the covenant of agreement with and among the nine and in local, regional and national Covenanting Councils. The task of a Covenanting the ordinary structures (presbyteries, conferences, dioceses, etc.) of each communion, The ministry of episkopé in the Church of Christ Uniting is to be located within

Episkopé is identified in the covenant as being but one manifestation of an ordained baptized is primary, and from this primary ministry a few are called and set apart for vocation. It grows out of a theology of baptism in which the ministry of all the persons, both women and men, who will exercise episkopé in concert with others In the covenantal agreement each communion promises to identify and put forward

It is always arounded in the ministry of the whole noonle of Cod and never to be Within the Covenant, episkopé is identified as personal, collegial and communal

> ministers of oversight - bishops - to ordain. communions are overseen by regional Covenanting Councils, which also deploy shepherd the work of local Covenanting Councils. Ordinations in the various some within the COCU communion, gather in regional Covenanting Councils to exercised monarchically or exclusively. Ministers of oversight, called bishops by

of oversight is to be (1) a liturgical leader, (2) ordinarily presiding in sacramental servant of unity, and (9) a participant in governance mission, (6) a minister in the act of ordination, (7) an administrative leader, (8) a liturgies, (3) a teacher of the apostolic faith, (4) a pastoral overseer, (5) a leader in The ministry of oversight is marked by nine "episcopal" characteristics. A minister

Within the liturgies ministers and ministries are reconciled through the laying-on of takes place in a series of covenanting liturgies, nationally, regionally and locally. hands, in silence, an act which is intended to convey reconciliation and blessing, but Recognition and reconciliation of ministries within one Church of Christ Uniting

not re-ordination. may also enable the Episcopal Church to embrace more fully the COCU proposal. given Episcopal ecumenical leaders a new perspective on the COCU proposal. No More recently, however, developments in the process towards a Concordat of point of the Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral - the historic episcopate, locally adapted. unable finally to affirm that the COCU model of episkopé is consistent with the final drafting of The COCU Consensus and Church in Covenant Communion, has been from two sources. First, the Episcopal Church, while having participated in the suspending the Ordinal in the Book of Common Prayer (as in the Concordat process) placing episcopal leadership (episkopé) on the level of the gospel and temporarily Agreement between the Evangelical Lutheran Church and the Episcopal Church have The proposal for a Church of Christ Uniting has encountered significant resistance Second, a proposal before the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in

a commission to represent a presbytery when a minister of oversight was required received. Some Presbyterians feared that an episcopal office, or indeed the word called Presbytery Bishop - in conjunction with an ordained elder was not well which episkopé would be exercised by a minister of Word and Sacrament - to be for a vote, and approval looks unlikely. this report is being drafted, the modified proposal is before the Presbyterian Church modified proposal was put forward, suggesting that the Presbyterian Church create possibility of the "creeping power of a monarchical episcopate". After debate, a "bishop", would undermine the integrity of the Presbyterian system. Others feared the The commission would be composed of equal numbers of ministers and elders. As

included in any next steps; and (2) that the most helpful way forward might be found conversation: (1) that COCU should ensure that all nine member communions were to predict the outcome of their work, two themes emerged from their initial be taken at the next Plenary of the Consultation. While it is impossible at this writing assess the responses of the nine churches and to recommend any action which could Commission composed of theologians from the nine churches. Their charge is to in identifying, claiming and affirming the parts of the proposal upon which all can To find a way forward, the COCU Executive Committee has called together a

f. The Concordat of Agreement, United States of America

Episcopalians and Lutherans in the United States began their most recent series of dialogues in the late 1960s. These dialogues quickly identified large areas of consensus and targed their sponsoring churches to move towards a relationship of full communion. In 1982 the Lutheran-Episcopal Agreement, approved by three Lutheran churches and the Episcopal Churche, stated that the goal of Lutheran-Episcopal dialogue was full communion. The churches involved in the dialogue recognized each other as churches in which the gospel is proclaimed, encouraged joint mission and study and entered into an "interim sharing of the cucharist".

other as clutteries in wincut are gisple is proceaution, encouraged point insession amount of the cultural of the cultural st.

A third series of dialogues was established in order to provide greater assumance that the sponsoring churches indeed proclaimed the same gespel and had a common undestanding and means to arrive at a common ministry. The final series of dialogues produced two reports: Implications of the Gospel addressed the initial part of the mandate, and The Connectad of Agreement took up questions of mustry, especially priscopal ministry, which has long been a sumbling block in Anglican-Lutheran activities.

This latter document must be considered in the total context of the dialogue and its specific mandate. Based on a consensus on the gospel (cf. Implications of the Gospel) and agreement in faith, the Concordat was designed to challenge the churches to move beyond the agreement of 1982 and mo a relationship of full communion with full recognition and exchangeability of ordained mainstries. Some described this text is an examenical headstrough. For the sake of full communion, Episcopalians would agree for the fast time to a temporary suspension of the requirement of episcopal ordination contained in the preface to the 17th-century Ordinal in the Book of Common Proyer. To the same end, Luthernas would accept the climination of required subscription to the Lutherna confession from a non-Lutherna church.

The Concorded provided a basis for both churches to take unparalleled actions so that each could accept the claims of the other. Both were freed from questions of validity and certain aspects of conditionality, and could thus enter into a process placing them in full communion. A major motivation for this agreement with its specific characteristic was the possibility of enhancing the mission of both churches. The Concordat offered the possibility for an episcopally ordered church and a non-term of the control o

### Some concluding reflections

Overall, this survey of developments in the exercise of the ministry of episkapé at local, national and regional levels in different parts of the world raises some issues and questions which need to be addressed in the interest of coherence and consistency in the development of oversight:

- What are the implications of these developments for our understanding of the structures of oversight in the church, on the personal, collegial and communal
- What are the features of the shared oversight described in some of these situations and developments? How does this relate to the ongoing exercise of oversight in the

- participating churches? What are its implications for developing a common understanding of the structures of oversight that would hold us in full visible unity?
- To what explicit or implicit portrait of visible unity are these developments leading? How do the developing forms of oversight relate to the forms of oversight that would serve visible communion?
- Is a common understanding emerging on the relationship between the ministry of oversight in the church and the common faith and the sacramental life of the church?
- Do the developments described involve anomalies (for example, in the suspension
  of church discipline) which may be tolerated for the sake of movement towards
  visible unity) Are these tolerable not only for the partners involved but also for
  other sister churches and/or ecumentical partners?
- What do these developments say about the functions of episkope! leadership in mission, teaching of the faith, the care for unity and focus of unity, the care for continuity and focus of continuity?
- missini, leading to the memory of continuity and focus of continuity of each world communion for maintaining and muturing what is the responsibility of each world communion? How are co-ordination and developments in different attraction and accountability to be ensured between the developments in different world accountability to be ensured between the developments in different world
- communions?

  How are technical terms such as "full communion", "organic union",

  "recognition", "reconciliation" and "acceptance" being used in these particular developments? How can we be helped to move towards a common understanding of these terms?
- This developing experience of a ministry of oversight, both within and between churches, sheds light on the paragraphs in BEM about the personal, collegia and command forms of oversight at the different levels of the church's life. A ministry of oversight belongs to the life of the whole church, in which those set apart for an oversight belongs to the life of the whole church, in which those set apart for an ordered ministry work in, with and among the Loos, the whole people of Gold, and in a proper and inter-related sense are together the Loos. With the help of a ministry of a proper and inter-related sense are together in its fidelity to the spossible faith and strengthened for mission and service.

  The emergence of a ministry of shared oversight is an important stage on the way.
- the consistence of memory of oversight which belongs to to visible unity, a foretaste of that single ministry of oversight which belongs to to visible unity.
- It is in the context of a recognition of the ministry of oversight which exists in all churches, episcopal and non-episcopal, and the developing experience of shared oversight that the theological issues raised by the present consultations on *episkopé* and episcopacy are addressed.

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> Visible Unity and the Ministry of Oversight, Report of the Second Theological Conference held under the Meissen Agreement, London, Church House Publishing, 1997.
- under the Meissen Agicultus, Admini, Parent of the House of Bishops of the Church of C.f. Apostolicity and Succession, Occasional Paper of the House of Bishops of the Church of Fandand, London, 1994.

### REFLECTIONS PRELIMINARY THEOLOGICAL

Report of Group II

#### Introduction

oversight conceptions and practices of oversight, contemporary opportunities for a way arise. This group reflected on the historical legacy which has led to current it is useful to set out the context, both past and present, out of which these issues forward and the matter of power - its uses and abuses - in ecclesiastical Before addressing the theological issues surrounding the exercise of episkopé

which may be described - all too briefly - as follows do not come to this discussion with a tabula rasa but out of historical contexts or groups of persons. The issue is, what form or forms shall episkopé take? We churches provide in some form or other for this task, to be undertaken by persons understood to be care for unity, truth, nurture and growth (cf. Eph. 4). All our All our churches agree on the need for episkopé, the content of which is

### Historical perspectives

As was already expressed and widely agreed in BEM

from this early variety were further developed and became settled into a more universa blueprint or continuing norm for all future ministry in the church. In the New Testament the New Testament does not describe a single pattern of ministry which might serve as a pattern of ministry (Ministry, para. 19). Holy Spirit continued to lead the church in life, worship and mission, certain elements there appears rather a variety of forms which existed at different places and times. As the

Scripture and tradition together are the basis for this pattern. For example, St Ignatius

church (Smyrn. 8, i-ii; cf. also Trall. 3, i; Magn. 6, i). appear, there let the people be; just as wherever Christ Jesus may be, there is the catholic the Apostles; respect the deacons as the ordinance of God... Wherever the bishop shall All of you follow the bishop, as Jesus Christ followed the Father, and the presbytery as

Although BEM spoke of this as a "threefold pattern", in the Western church at least that phrase is an over-simplification of the historical development.

and sacramental presence of Christ; he presides over the one baptism and one early centuries. According to an interpretation of this pattern, the bishop is the ikon exercised by the bishop emerged and prevailed in most areas of the church within the continued, despite uncertainty in some churches regarding a clear and final distinction safeguards its apostolicity. The bishop represents the church and serves its unity, both he passes on the ministries of the church as an expression of its episkopé and eucharist of the church; he exercises a charisma veritatis and is the guardian of truth of St Jerome). However, a clear and normative pattern of sacramental episkope between bishop and presbyter (for example in Alexandria, as evident in the writings Following the era of the Apostolic Fathers, the interdependence of ministries

any loss of continuity with episcopal order; in part they chose to revert to an order in to seek renewal by a return to what they believed to be the primitive pattern of church and strains in church life, the leaders of some Christian communities felt constrained synchronically and diachronically. a conciliar leadership. which "presbyteroilepiskopoi" - in the manner of the Pauline churches - exercised life and ministry. In part they did this as a deliberately emergency action, regretting At the Reformation, which is here seen as the outcome of a long period of stresses

community, as well as denominational conflicts which scandalized new Christians world, both the traditional ministerial pattern and those forms developed at the Christ can be obedient in ministry, "personal, collegial and communal juridical questions about outward forms and order but ask how the church of Jesus to new situations. The questions about ministry before this consultation are not this renewal have come both from ancient tradition and from contemporary responses seek a renewal of ministry under the guidance of the Holy Spirit. Valued elements in A vital part of the modern ecumenical responsibility of the churches has thus been to Reformation and subsequently produced new and fruitful expressions of Christian After the Reformation and in the modern period of missionary outreach around the

## Present realities and opportunities

The renewed willingness today to engage this question for the sake of the mission,

- unity and wholeness of the church is characterized by
- a letting go of the excluding judgments of the past,
- an openness to the guidance of the Holy Spirit for the future
- a capacity to acknowledge deformations and bad examples from the past and in the a willingness to share inheritances;
- "common life/koinonia" through the redeeming blood of Christ (1 John 1); new insights into the corporate reality of the life of the church, constituting a
- an understanding of the church as an eschatological sign of the kingdom of God.

We further agree that it is helpful to understand the exercise of episkopé as personal, collegial (or in solidarity) and communal, as affirmed in the Ministry section of B2M (one, 20). This structure helps us to see both the commonatily in the practice of episkopé and those points at which practice is open to transformation

(reform and renewal).

We understand that there may well be differences in the balance between these ways of exercising episkope<sup>t</sup>, which may correspond to differences of historical ways of exercising episkope<sup>t</sup>, which may correspond to differences of historical ways of exercising episkope<sup>t</sup>, which may be it is legitlated to ask to the unity, experience and social and political culture. But it is legitlated to be the other process.

truth and nurture of the whole church is served by these differences.

Clarification is also needed in relation to the "personal" dimension of episkopė. According to the BEM paragraph cited above, the exercise of ordained ministry

should be personal because the presence of Christ among his people can most effectively be pointed to by the person ordained to proclaim the gospel and to call the community to serve the Lord in unity of life and witness.

We note the term "pointed to". There is indeed only one Chief Shepherd, Jesus Christ. The "elders" of the community are shepherds together with him and exercise their role of oversight in relation to him (1 Pet. 5).

BEM sets its entire treatment of the ordained ministry within the context of the acling of the whole people of Cod, upon whom the Holy Spirit bestows "diverse and complementary gifts" (Ministry, para s). "Through hoptism Christians are brought into union with Christ, with each other and with the church of every time and place" (Baptism, para 6). Baptism involves a consecration to mission and service as a living that the church of th

member of the body of Curist.

The calling of an ordaned minister, both a priest/presbyter and an epishopos, is a calling to service and responsibility within the body of Curist. In ordination the church prays for and the Holy Spirit bestows a gift from God for the specific offices and work of ministry, mough the laying on of hands (2 Tim. 1-6). It should never be a cause for spiritual pride or a feeling of superiority. In the kingdom of God it is the least who is the greatest (1.ake 9-48). Ordained ministers are "bound to the faithful in interdependence and reciprocity of the gifts of the Spirit which make the life of the Body of Christ is a specific gift related to a specific way of exercising ministry (diokorais) for the god of the whole kontonia as such.

This gift is exercised in differing but not necessarily matually incompatible ways in different churches. Some clumbes distribute grajkenpé among a variety of people or groups. They do so in a deliberate and ordered way, intending to make clear the unique headship of Christ over his church and to avoid the templaticus to which the personal office of grajkenpos is open. Other churches directly identify ishdops as such the yordination. They invoke the assistance of the Holy Sprirt for discharging the responsibilities and resisting the templations of the office in all cases bishops are charged to work co-operatively with fellow ministers and the people (Ministry, para

There is here a marked coincidence of intent in teaching. In the theology of the psylskopos, bishops are said to be ilvors or images of Christ, acting in person Christ. They are images not only of the glotfield Lood, but of Christ the servant, who set his disciples an example by washing their feet (John 13:14-15). As sinful human

beings they are dependent upon the grace of Christ, through the power of the Holy Spirth. Bishops receive the anotiting of the same Spirit who animans the life of all believers and are inseparably bound to them. The innert of this teaching is that belishops should not be exalted above the community and should point to the unique mediatorial work of Christ, not to themselves. The templation to self-exalitation is classically acknowledged (for example by \$1.0 hm Chrysostom and by Gregory the Great). It is admirted with surrow that the office has been seriously distorted by confusion with political power or social status, and by the actions of those who have exercised it despotically. It should be possible to remove from office bishops who become scandials to their calling.

advantages to the church when specific persons commit themselves to a lifelong and the dispute has not been resolved to the satisfaction of all. It is said that there are century and in the historical-critical movement these claims have been challenged; and it is claimed that it was so intended by Christ and his apostles. Both in the 16th individual or by a variable group. The former is the tradition of episcopal churches, the personal office of episkopé to be held by a publicly named and identified office as a gift, not a burden, and of those who, also on biblical grounds, have warned persisted with the tradition of named bishops and on biblical grounds receive the and temptations. This would affirm and value the testimonies of both those who have the Holy Spirit, and that they were from the very first days attended by disadvantages development of all named ordained ministries occurred under the general guidance of and remote from their people). But perhaps all could acknowledge that the early undermined by contrary experience (for example, of bishops who have grown proud Such claims are of their nature contingent and historical, and lend themselves to being "identity-constituting" role, and grow in confidence and competence in their tasks. It has proved more difficult to reach a common mind on whether it is preferable for

against its dangers.

Among the principal tasks of the episkopoi is to ordain and send priests to share with them in Chiraf's mission through the ministry of word and sacrament in a specific locality. These tasks together with that of dissapline, are a central way in which they exercise their care for the unity, faithfulness in truth and nurture of the people of God in churchs which do not have named bishops these tasks are likewise carried out by pessons bearing responsibility for episkop's with serionsness and care. In all cases the nitention is reverently to esteem and to continue the office given by In all cases the principal or the control of the configurations are explicitly involved and invited to consent to the act and to join in grayer for the candidates.

### Some remarks about power

## We study this subject for two main reasons:

- It figures prominently in the conflessional documents of some of the churches of the Reformation, especially in relation to the person of the bishop (for example, Article 28 of the Augsburg Conflession).
- There is intense consciousness of the abuse of power by 20th-century political
  dictators, and consequently a concern about checks and balances on and
  accountability for the exercise of power within the church

- 1- Junio la sindar

and in its relation to civil power? What power or powers properly belong to the church both in its own government

power the witness of the church takes place with boldness and conviction. The apostle God for everyone who has faith (Rom. 1:15). The disciples wait to be armed with at work in Christ's resurrection (Eph. 1:19-20). The gospel is the saving power of power are open to us who have faith, and this power is the same as that which was exercise) which is wholly positive and constructive. The vast resources of God's congregation (2 Cor. 13). powerfully and wants to use his authority constructively to build up his quarrelling Paul, though acutely feeling his own weakness, nevertheless speaks the word of truth "power from above", the gift of the Holy Spirit (Luke 24:39; Acts 1:8). Through this sometimes interchangeable, sometimes stressing the power itself, or the mode of its We are clear that there is a sense of the word "power" (Greek, dynamis, exousia

of every form of divine power in the church, takes the way of the cross and lives under every name (Phil. 2:5-11). The power of the transcendent God, and therefore the work raising up the humble (Luke 1:52). It is a power by which Jesus Christ, because he It is a power which reverses the order of the world, putting down the mighty and 10:18), which persists until the great work of redemption is finished (John 19:30). sword and legions of angels (Matt. 26:52). It is a power to lay down one's life (John proclaiming the kingdom (Luke 4:18). It is vulnerable power, which refuses the is for announcing good news to the poor, for releasing prisoners, for healing, for humbled himself and was obedient to death, was exalted and given the name above But this is power of a very particular kind. Like the power of the Lord himself, it

intrude upon its performance of the tasks of leadership (1 Pet. 5:1-4). interrogate its own uses of power, lest the love of money and strategies of domination his disciples' feet (John 13:2-17) permanently challenge the church in every age to statement, "I am with you like a servant" (Luke 22:27), and his example of washing dispute arose over which of them should be considered greatest (Luke 22:24). Jesus difficult to implement. At the Last Supper itself, according to Luke's account, a From the beginning the disciples of Jesus found this teaching bewildering and

may on occasion involve coercion, that is, be enforceable (for example, in relation to daily life of the church. The first step towards a scrutiny of how power is used is an justice need to be followed, including the right of appeal. qualification for office and in relation to discipline). In all these cases rules of natural honest recognition that this is so. Law is part of the ordering of all our churches. It We agree that the exercise of power of various kinds is literally unavoidable in the

pull down the body of Christ. Although baptism confers a unique and equal status in God's sight on every baptized person, inequality of access to the capacity to influence should themselves be committed to an open process of teaching and learning by which It is part of the essential work of episkopé that those with greater opportunities and in the fear of God, this inequality must be recognized, not concealed or denied to exercise power in the church than others. For power to be exercised responsibly appointment to a decision-making assembly gives some persons a greater opportunity the life of the church is a feature of all our churches. For example, election or But each Christian has at his or her disposal power of various kinds to build up or

> making process, but who are an integral part of the right judging of matters of faith an empowering of the discernment of those who have less access to the decision-

protect them from temptation. ministries of oversight, praying that God will enlighten them, give them insight and The church recognizes gifts of wisdom in certain people, and sets them apart for power, arising from an understanding of and concern for the whole people of God. There is a practical wisdom or skill, a "sanctified common sense", in the use of

in the Christian context includes a prior and proper accountability to God, who is the openly about how they perceive these powers are being exercised. "Accountability" - where an account is given of the stewardship of these powers and people speak should be opportunities - such as pastoral conferences, synods and other assemblies member of the community to the good of the whole, which is shared particularly by sharing, admonition, teaching and learning; and the common accountability of each author and giver of every good gift; accountability to fellow Christians for mutual Much experience in the life of the church suggests that it is prudent that there

koinonia any exercise of authority is undergirded and judged by the presence of this a sense of the common mind of the people of God (sensus fidelium). In Christian those whose ministry entails responsibility for the koinonia as a whole. episkopé what is or is not a real service of the common good of the church. not in harmony with the truth of the gospel, in the decisions of those exercising authentic echo of the voice of Christ, in the teaching of the community what is or is believers are enabled to recognize in the voice of the episkopé what is or is not an discernment is the fruit of the indwelling of the Holy Spirit. Through it, baptized sensus fidelium in all the members of the community. This spiritual perception, sense, The wise and constructive use of such occasions for communication may build up

a legally competent body, affording time for due reflection. Especially in the context disunity, strategies and occasions for mutual listening, encouragement and correction church completely from the occurrence of errors. In the present state of ecclesial traditions and norms of any given church and to its habits and processes for managing of the global village, consideration must be given to the integrity of the cultural are needed. There is a necessary provisionality or tentativeness to decisions even of There is no absolute precision about which mode of government would protect the

#### Conclusion

conciliarity and primacy. local church, on continuity and the exercise of episkopé and episcopacy, on hoped that they will be helpful for further theological reflections on episkapé in the on issues which concern our churches as they understand and practice oversight. It is The above reflections are offered to assist in the process of discerning and working

oversight. We have devoted significant attention to the area of power because it is addressing distortions in practice of what the churches teach about the ministry of within the way of renewal of church life and practice, that is, in identifying and a fulcram noint precisely in the use and abuse of power that the gifts and distortions of oversight fine These reflections have pointed to the fact that the way forward is often found

# TOWARDS COMMUNION IN *EPISKOPÉ* AND EPISCOPACY

Report of Group III

#### Introduction

In describing as "real but imperfeer" the keinvariae experienced by those churches which, in the words of the WCC Basis, "comfess Jesus Christ as God and Saviour, to the glory of the one God, Father, Son and Holy Spirit", we point to the state of tension within which we live and work towards faller visible unity. This group has reflected on how ecumenical dialogues and relationships, both in general and in particular about issues of episkopė and episcopacy, can be carried out fruitfully within this space of tension. These reflections have focused on (1) the characteristics of visible unity and how these are served by episkopė; (2) the glist, strengths and limits to diversity within unity; (3) different levels and rates of progress in moves towards visible unity, (4) guidelines for coexistence and dialogue within this reality of tension, or "ecumenical space"; and (5) episkopė and episcopacy within cummonical space.

#### Visible unity

As questions of *episloops* and *episcopacy* are being raised within the context of the quest for full visible omity, it was considered helpful to relate our reflections to the parameters set forth in a particular portrait of visible unity. The statement of the VCC is seventh assembly (Camberra 1991) on 'The Unity of the Church: Gift and Calling' seemed the most appropriate for our purposes.

#### Pointing the way

The Canberra statement describes the unity of the church as a *koinonia* given and expressed in

- pressed in the common confession of the apostolic faith
- a common sacramental life entered by the one baptism and celebrated together in

- a common life in which members and ministries are mutually recognized and recogniled:
- a common mission witnessing to the gospel of God's grace to all people and serving the whole of creation.

## Unity, diversity and recognition

The goal of the search for full communion is realized when all the churches have been able to recognize in one another the one holy, catholic and apostolic church in its fullness, and to acknowledge that they are bound together at all levels in confessing the one faith, in worship and witness, deliberation and action.

Confessing the one faith, in worship and witness, deliberation and action.

Within this unity, diversity is essential; indeed, unity is served by diversity. The munual exchange of gifts is one way in which diversity serves rather threatens unity. Yet it is also necessary to know what are the limits of diversity, so that we may have confidence in making a common confession of Jesus Christ and of the sulvation and final destiny of humanity proclaimed in Holy Scripture.

## 3. Working with recognition and disagreement

The Canberra statement called the churches to a process which will include at least a following stems:

- the following steps:

  to recognize each other's baptism on the basis of the BEM document;
- to move towards the recognition of the apostolic faith as expressed through the
- Nicone-Constantinopolitian (reced in the life and witness of one another;

  on the basis of convergence in faith in baptism, eucharist and maistry to consider,
  wherever appropriate, forms of eucharistic bospitality (with an acknowledgment
  that some who do not observe these rites share in the spiritual experience of life in
  Christ):
- to move towards the mutual recognition of ministries:
- to endeavour in word and deed to give common witness to the gospel as a whole;
   to recommit themselves to work for justice, peace and the integrity of creation.
- linking more closely the search for the sacramental communion of the church with the struggle for justice and peace;
- to help parishes and communities express locally in appropriate ways the degree of communion that already exists.

### Issues of oversight

While not all churches can engage in this process at the same rate or in the same manner, it is important that their increasing ability to "walk together in mutual understanding, theological convergence, common suffering and common prayer, shared witness and service" be served by appropriate forms of episkope at the various levels of the church's life – local, regional, worldwide. Nor should this concern for many be merely geographical, it must also ensure the unity of the church today with the church of the apostless and throughout the ages.

Episkopé and episcopacy in their various forms are intended to express, witness to and serve the visible unity of the church.

## Plurality in expressions of unity

divided state, even as they already manifest unity, albeit imperfectly. it, then relates these points to the exercise of episkopé in the churches in their presen This section explores the given reality of diversity, its legitimacy and the limits to

towards greater fragmentation and loss. Differences between denominations are of those on the other hand whose source is "the spirit of this world" and which tend gifts of the Spirit and the God-given diversity of human culture and experience, and easy to distinguish between differences arising on the one hand from the manifold In the diversity which characterizes the life of the divided churches it is not always

with other churches and envisage the unity of the one church in time and space. church families understand their own internal unity, consider moving towards unity plurality in expressions of unity. This can be seen in the ways different churches and Among those who acknowledge Jesus Christ as God and Saviour there is a

context, what is the relationship between unity and diversity' the same church and experience the visible unity of the church in its fullness? In this How do the churches move to a position where all can see in each other one and

diversity" (Roman Catholic-Lutheran Joint Commission, Ways to Community, 1981 Christ does not exist despite and in opposition to diversity, but is given with and in such, diversity has been experienced in the church from the beginning. "Unity in but part of the God-given reality and richness of the unity to which all are called. As Diversity is legitimate in the life of the church. It is not only a practical necessity

But there are limits to diversity. According to the Canberra statement

as God and Saviour, the same yesterday, today and for ever (Heb. 13:8); and salvation and community (para. 2.2). the final destiny of humanity as proclaimed in holy scripture and preached by the apostolic diversity is illegitimate when... it makes impossible the common confession of Jesus Christ

In the words of the Porvoo Common Statement: be maintained and sustained through bonds of communion and mutual accountability agreement in faith and a counter-witness to the gospel. But appropriate diversity car The inability to worship together or to take common action is a sign of lack of Common liturgical celebration and shared life are signs of this common confession

manifested as a communion in love, implying that Christians are bound to one another ir a single ministry set apart by prayer and the laying on of hands. This unity is also in the united celebration of the eucharist which builds up the one body of Christ; and in in response to the apostolic preaching; in the common confession of the apostolic faith; communion. Communion with God and with fellow believers is manifested in one baptism obligation to share temporal resources (para. 24). a committed relationship with mutual responsibilities, common spiritual goods and the The maintenance of unity and the sustaining of diversity are served by bonds of

issues that separate them and their complex histories. Thus the plurality which speeds and by different means. This is inevitable, because of both differences in the As divided churches seek to be open to the gift of unity, they move at different

> conversion and finally communion. comparison, co-operation, convergence/consensus, complementarity, commitment, relationships between the divided churches. One possible way of characterizing these acknowledging that different sets of steps are needed because of the differing develop an appropriate methodology for a unity which proceeds by stages, characterizes expressions of unity applies also to the process. It is important to steps is as proceeding along a spectrum from conflict to competition, co-existence,

Certain developments in the ecumenical movement, even very positive ones, have

has been created by several phenomena characteristic of the present era of church life: led to asymmetrical or anomalous relationships among the churches. This asymmetry Bilateral relationships. The various paths and accents of the bilateral dialogues, dialogues have raised questions of consistency in theological articulation. Needed the different doctrinal and historical issues dealt with and the reception of these now is a forum in which underlying compatibility can be assessed and greater

of regional ecclesial characteristics, without implying the reconciliation of whole provide for the reconciliation of parts of world families of churches on the basis Regional and national agreements. Many regional and national agreements theological consistency attained. world families of churches. This creates differences of pace and varieties of levels

Internal developments. Developments within churches, such as the ordination of of reconciliation within the ecumenical movement. which the visible unity of the church can be achieved. but imperfect communion. All these factors vary the pace, context and climate in consistency have ecumenical resonance in the wider community because of our real sources of both joy and pain. Developments for reasons of internal discipline and women and evolving styles of leadership, and responses to these developments are

churches. This can lead to fragmentation, to the marginalization of some groups All this can make mutual comprehension difficult within the ecumenical movement and to a multiplicity of theological discourses the world and within nations impose strains on all communities, including the Political, economic and social imparities. Inequalities between different parts of

# Developing an appropriate milieu: "ecumenical space"

understood brings the churches into living encounter with one another. It is helpful the church in ways that are not possible in isolation one from another. Space thus new way. In turn, we have a greater opportunity to discern together Christ's will for visible unity of the church. In this space we affirm our common Christian identity. For witness to our common allegiance to Jesus Christ and cooperate to advance the being together in "ecumenical space" to enumerate the presuppositions, characteristics, opportunities and obligations of this reason, we have the possibility of a new discourse: we talk to one another in a "Ecumenical space" is the milieu in which, even in a state of division, we bear

- Presuppositions of ecumenical space
- search for theological understanding on the basis of scripture, tradition and recognition of common baptism in Christ;

experience.

### 44 Episkopė and Episcopacy

- commitment to search for unity, to seek to transcend former divisions;
- commitment to processes of dialogue, and thus to transformation and renewal in the light of the common quest,
- maintenance-of fellowship in the situation of divergent affirmations, thus demonstrating commitment to the process.

## Characteristics of ecumenical space

- frank and serious discussion, including search and discovery, questioning and
- mutual respect, so that no church is required to deny its identity or heritage;

### restraint from judgment, thus excluding a purely negative attitude on the part of one church towards another.

## Opportunities afforded by ecumenical space.

- reconciliation of memories;
- conversion and renewal;
- common witness;
- guidance into the will of the Spirit
- discernment of what will advance the visible unity of the church

- Obligations of being together in ecumenical space compatibility of attitude and behaviour within and outside this ecumenical space;
- mutual support, forbearance and accountability. avoidance of actions inconsistent with brotherly/sisterly relationships;

continues even when disagreements seem incapable of resolution. Ecumenical space function as representatives of their communities committed to dialogue. Deliberation of the reconciliation of history must occur. continues to be a place of interrogation and encouragement, a place where the process scripture, tradition and experience and according to confessional criteria. Individuals Within such space, discernment takes place through testing on the basis of

that is under the Spirit and oriented to an ultimate future belonging to the Triune God to partners must be a chief consideration in the stage-by-stage unfolding of a process partner churches should be tested before finalization. In sum, mutual accountability decision or agreement concerning church life, compatibility and consistency with region or nation will have an impact on others. For this reason, in any significant internal decisions cannot be reached in isolation from partners, and decisions in one between the global, regional and national processes of dialogue. It is clear that The process of discernment within the space will raise questions about the relation

## Episkopé and episcopacy in ecumenical space

regard to the topic of episkopé. The positive aspects of regional and bilateral concerns have been raised that regional and bilateral agreements are also leading to advanced regional and bilateral forms of Christian unity. But, as we saw above, agreements have allowed for a more focused ecumenical discussion, which has in turn The need for an awareness and practice of "ecumenical space" is urgent now with

> asymmetrical advance based on regional and bilateral models. Thus the requirement urgent if the ecumenical movement is not to fragment along new fault lines of an enhanced level of ecumenical oversight and mutual accountability is particularly

.... Jeen farmadass

Two questions inevitably arise in this situation:

ecumenical space presupposes a certain capacity for transcendence on the part of episkopé and ecumenical accountability across the churches? Simply to speak of of episkopé within the ecumenical space? sense of responsibility for others. Could this not be expressed in some structural form individuals and churches, an openness to the concerns and insights of others and a 2. Are there current institutional examples of ecumenical space? At present, 1. Is there the possibility of ecumenical space allowing for a corporate exercise of

able to question and listen to one another's experiences and reflections? more concretely? Within such space are both episcopal and non-episcopal churches Is informal episkopé already being exercised in this space, which can now be defined and joint meetings of those who exercise episkop e already provide ecumenical space. councils of churches, multilateral dialogues, ecumenical institutes and associations,

are to be coordinated in the direction of an encompassing visible unity of the one participate in the episkopé of the churches if the variety of movements of the moment Some additional forms of ecumenical space must now be developed which

#### Reflections

- space, which emerge at the moment? Are there affirmations about episkopé, viewed through the lens of ecumenical
- of episkopoi, a. a common emerging pattern of episkopé – perhaps even the emerging pattern
- b. patterns of joint episkopé, which work best through the concept of unity by
- regional and bilateral models are inadequate to confront? a. the influence of secular models of authority; 2. Viewed through the lens of ecumenical space, are there common dangers which
- the seductive forces of the media, which seek to impose on churches the need for representative persons to address issues of society authoritatively.
- concrete models of ecumenical space? a. places in which models of episkopé can be evaluated in relation to the Word 3. What is the protection against these potential dangers in the articulation of
- of God and the nature and purpose of the church;
- places in which it is possible to speak of exercising authority in an eschatological community;
- places which anchor episkopé in the whole church and in its mission beyond the horizon of regional or national bilateral arrangements

REPORTS FROM THE : CRÊT-BÉRARD CONSULTATION

5-11 SEPTEMBER 1997

## COMMUNAL, COLLEGIAL, PERSONAL

Report of Group I

(Note: Certain paragraphs in this report have been taken from the report of Group II of the Strasbourg meeting.)

#### Introduction

It is always difficult for the church to speak about itself without slipping into the hubris of making the church a self-determining body. We realize with the Apostle that "now we see in a mirror, dimly" and "in part" (I Cor. 13:12). But, though partial, what is seen in Christ is seen of God – and this is what gives us the courage to speak.

We affirm the need for oversight to preserve and maintain that unity in diversity and diversity in unity which are revealed in this "mirror" as God's desire for the reconciliation of all humankind. This is a missionary requirement. If the church wants to reflect God's design, it must find a way to be faithful to it. The ministry has developed as one of the principal means to serve this need. By episkopé, we understand means of enabling such a ministry, But episkopé raises the question of authority

There is only one correct and fruitful way to deal ecumenically with the thorny question of authority in the church, and that is to begin with a reflection on the mission and nature of the church of God on earth. This church is called to be a holinorial. Here and town this holinorial is realized in two radically inseparable realins. It is a chonoriat with the trime God which is manifested, lived out and sustained through a holinorial with other men and women, and a holinorial with obten men and women, and a holinorial with other men and women through a holinorial with God. As long as these two essential constituent elements are not in osmosis, the church of God cannot be faithful

Ministry has to be understood at this junction of the two dynamisms constituting the bosinous of the noint where the divine American of God's creece wents to

embrace not only individuals but the human community as such. As expounded in the latter to the Ephesians, God's design is to sum up all things in Christ (Eph. 1:10). We make all lews and Gentiles into one new humanity (2:13) by breaking down the dividing well (2:14) and its barriers. As with everything in the church of God, ministry is essentially related to the nature of this divine purpose and necessarily related to the complexity of human diversity. For this drivine plan concerns everyone, it must affect the destiny of everyone.

But human diversity is in constant danger either of being transformed into deadly division or (in order to resist this eventuality) of being put under the pressure of division, despotism and the absolutions which oppresses human freedom and destroys the native richness of fruitful differences. Consequently, it is not easy for the church on earth to be an authentic *kotionala*, in which unity does not threaten but promose (diversity, and diversity does not endanger but enhances unity. To grasp the meaning, three hallenge and the necessity of the church's unity, the final verses of the gospel according to Manthew. "make disciples of all mations... teaching them to obey everything that I have commanded you" (Matt. 28.19-20; cf. 24:14; 25:32; Acts 1.8)

are as necessary as the prayer of the Lord in the fourth gospel (John 17).
 Being concretely realized in local churches, from really different races, conditions, cultures, traditions, histories, often separated by real ethnic or national interests, the

church will be held together in a single koinonia by different means, among which ministries in communion have a specific role.

As was already expressed and widely agreed in BEM

the New Testament does not describe a single pattern of ministry which might serve as a blueprint or continuing norm for all future ministry in the church. In the New Testament there appears afther a variety of forms which existed at different places and times. As the they Spritt continued to lead the church in life, worship and mission, certain elements from this serly warder years further developed and became setfled into a more universal pattern of ministry (Ministry, para. 19).

Scripture and tradition together are the basis for this pattern. For example, St Ignatius of Antioch writes:

All of you follow the bistop, as Jesus Christ followed the Father, and the presbytery as the Apostles; respect the deacons as the ordinance of Goal. Wherever the bistop shall appear, there let the people be; just as wherever Christ tesus may be, there is the catholic church (Xmyrn. 8, i-ii; cf. also Trall. 3.j. Magn. 6.j.)

Although BEM spoke of this as "threefold pattern", in the Western church at least that phrase is an over-simplification of the historical development. For example, there is uncertainty in some churches regarding a clear and final distinction between bishop and presbyter (for example, in Alexandria, as evident in the writings of 8t Jeromet However, a clear and normative pattern of sacramental psyleopie exercised by the bishop emerged and prevailed in most areas of the church. According to this pattern, the bishop is the kort (remembering that korn and image are essentially symonymous) and ascramental presence of Chirst. He presides over the one baptism and one and sacramental presence of Chirst. He presides over the one baptism and one and sacramental presence of Chirst. He presides over the one baptism and one and sacramental presence of Chirst. He presides over the one baptism and one and sacramental presence of Chirst. He presides over the one baptism and one the eucharist of the church, he exercises a charisma verticatis and is called to be the guarantor of the truth, he passes on the ministries of the church as an expression of its quickops and safeguards its apostolicity. The bishop represents the church and serves its unity, both synchronically and diachronically.

Understood in this way, hierarchy is not a matter of domination and submission

At the Refermation, the outcome of a long period of stresses and strains in church life, some Christian communities felt constrained to choose between loyalty to the episcopate of their time and fidelity to aposolic truth. Some churches installed new bishops outside the recognized line of episcopal succession, sometimes designating them by different terms. Others chose different structures of oversight, regarding this as a return to the primitive pattern of church life and ministry (conciliar leadership exercised by "presbyterol/episkopor").

A vital part of the modern commenical responsibility of the churches has been to seek a communion of ministries under the guidance and renewal of the Holy Spirit. Valued elements in this renewal have come from ancient tradition, from the insights of Reformation churches and from contemporary responses to new situations. The questions about ministry which face us here are not juridical questions about drawns. Grams and order but questions about how the church of Jesus Christ can be obedient in environment.

The renewed willingness today to engage this question for the sake of the mission, unity and wholeness of the church is characterized by:

- a letting go of the excluding judgments of the past;
- an openness to the guidance of the Holy Spirit for the future
   willingness to share inheritances;
- a capacity to acknowledge deformations and bad examples from the past and in the present:
- "common life/koinonia" through the redeeming blood of Christ (1 John 1);
  an understanding of the church as an eschatological sign of the kingdom of God.

new insights into the corporate reality of the life of the church, constituting a

As is clear from the report of the Strasbourg meeting which preceded the present consultation, it is helpful to understand the exercise of *epislopé* as personal, collegial and communal.

and live under its sign.

The basis for our concept and exercise of episkopé should be Christ's words:

#### Diversity of gifts

In writing to the Confilhians (I Cor. 12-14), Paul presents the church as a unity in diversity, a diversity which implies a hierarchy. This notion of hierarchy, which cannot be bypassed in a reflection on communal, collegial and personal dimensions at all levels in the life of the church, is not well received by most people in modern society. Indeed, the churches have too often exercised hierarchy in an equivocal, worldly vay. In its use and understanding of power, the church to easily becomes of this world eather than in this world.

To understand the year Christian meaning of the term "hierarchy" we must

To understand the real Christian meaning of the term "herarchy", we must remember that the tradition speaks of an order (cards) within the Holy Trinity, we mame the Father first, as the Fountainhead of all divinity, then the Son as born from the Father, and then the Holy Spirit, as the one in whom God shines forth from all enemity. This "hierarchy" in no way means that any one of the three is less God than an any of the others. They are the perfection of communion, the perfection of unity in diversity, absolute unity in oless absolute diversity, who absolutes, paradoxical as this may be. They are the model, the prototype of our unity in diversity or diversity in unity at all levels of the life of the church. In 1 Corinthians 12 we find an interactional order in which all members of the body are nevertheless firmly invited to

It is in this light that the church should understand the nature of its own hierarchy, even if Christians more often than not tend to forget this essential clement of their existence as a community and their witness to the non-Christian world. According to existence as a community and their witness to the non-Christian world. According to this view, the church is a communion of co-responsible persons. It is evident that no function no gift no densirant, is exercised outside or above this communion. All are related in one Spirit, and through the Body.

In the New Testament, ecousia and dynamis are used interchangeably in the context of authority. It is in the exercise of creative and salvifue power that the authority of God is manifested. Christiants live under the authority of God because the resources of God's power are open to them. The eleven wait to be armed with "power form on high", the gift of the Holy Spirit (Luke 2449; cf. Acts 159, through which from on high", the gift of the Holy Spirit (Luke 2449; cf. Acts 159, through which they will receive authority together with the power to winess with boldness and conviction. There is no true authority in the church which is not energized by the power for its right exercise. For instance, the apostle Paul, though acutely feeling his open for its right exercise. For instance, the apostle Paul, though acutely feeling his own weakness, nevertheless speaks the word of fruth powerfully and wants to use his own weakness, nevertheless speaks the word of fruth powerfully and wants to use his own weakness.

authority constructively to build up his quarrelling congregation (2 Cor. 13).

This is power of a very particular kind. Like the power of the Lord himself, it is vulnerable power, which reliases the sword and legions of angels (Matt. 26:52), which is a power to lay down one's life (John 10:18) and which persists until the great work of redemption is finished (John 19:30), It is a power which reverses the order of the world, putting down the mighty and raising up the humble (Like 1:52), It is a power by which Jesus Christ, because the humbled himself and was obedient to death, received the supreme authority of Lord, being exalted and given the name above very name (Phil. 25:11). The power and authority of the transcendent God, and therefore the work of every form of power and authority in the church, take the way of the cross

The kings of the Gentiles tord it over them, and those in authority over them are called benefactors. But not so with your, rather the greatest among you must become like the youngest, and the leader like one who serves. For who is greater, the one who is at the backet in the case who serves is it not the one at the table? But I can among you as one who table or the one who serves it is not the one at the table? But I can among you as one who serves (Luke 212:5-27; see also Math. 20:25-28; Mark 9:35; 10:42-45).

Vet at the Last Supper itself, according to Luke's account, a dispute arcse over which of them should be considered greatest (Luke 2:2.4), Issu's statement, "I am among you as one who serves" (Luke 2:2.7), and his example of washing his disciples' feet you as one who serves" (Luke 2:2.7), and his example of washing his disciples' feet (John 13:2.17) permanently challenge the church in every age to interrogate its own uses of power and exercise of anthority, lest the love of money and strategies of uses of power and exercise of anthority, lest the love of money and strategies of domination intrude upon its performance of the tasks of leadership (I Pet. 5:1-4).

In this perspective, our conception of the church must be one which starts from sometiming evident from the beginning. It must consist in struggling to resist all forms of worldly domination, so that the church may tend to be that "prophetic sign" which may heal the world instead of giving way to it.

Together with the Acts of the Apostles (2:42-47; 4:32-35), the instructions of Jesus concerning relations among those who wish to be disciples represent the indisputable foundation of the community which claims to be the Body of Christ in disciplent of the Community which claims to be the Body of Christ in the Advance of the Community which there is no

room for the domination-submission relation. No one is *above* this communion but all, whatever their functions, are *within* it.

### Communal - collegial - personal

Among the fundamental New Testament texts essential for our reflection on what the church is, is 1 Peter 2.5, in which we are called as "living stones" to be "built into a spiritual house". In such a perspective, personal, communal and collegial outworkings of oversight are very closely interrelated.

#### Communa

Because the church is the Body of Christ, the service of God's design has a communal character. All baptized members must take seriously their potential to exercise the gifts they receive from the Holy Spirit – never for their own sake alone, but for the life and mission of the whole community.

Among these gifts are the gifts of diverse ministries in each sphere of the church's title. Atready in the New Testment there is evidence that this service (datocnal) of the community is diverse (including apostles, prophets, teachers, etc.; cf. 1 Cor. 12). But it is clear that, for the sake of God's design, all these services are to be exercised in communion. Moreover, they must reflect the concern of the whole community under the guidance of the Holy Spirit. For example, the outcome of the meeting (Acts 15) of the apostles and deletes (presbyreor), provided by the problems of the local communities in their Gentile and Palestinian contexts, is through a coming together of persons chosen and appointed by the churches on account of their stature and qualities of leadership (hegoumenon) and "with the consent of the whole church" (Acts 1522), In this spraches we discern a foresthadowing of synodality (conciliarity). In the church of God, ministry is communal.

#### Collegial

Tradition would inscribe what it called episcopacy as a specific ministry within this communal service in the church Although it can be and has been betrayed at dimension of leadership among those who have been chosen by their church to excress this charge of oversight. We renormber that in the New Testament it is to the groups of the apostles are a whole that Christ gave the commission to preach the gospel and lead the church Other disayles would share in this mission (Matt. 28: 10. 16-20; John 20). What Paul says of each member of the Dody of Christ — that they should care for one another (1 Con. 12.25) — is true also of ministry. This is shown, for example, in the ministry of Marry Magdalene to the disciples (John 20).

None can take account only of the interests of their own community. The practical implications of working as follow-servants have to do with the mutual dealings of all the communities in the same gospel, in ways comparable with that shown by the collection for the saints in derusalem (2 Cor. 9, Gal. 2.10).

#### 3. Persona

Like the collegial, the personal aspect is included in the communal. Regarding this dimension of episkopé, BEM stated that the exercise of ordained ministry

should be present because the presence of Christ among his people can most effectively be pointed to by the person ordained to proclaim the gospel and to call the community to serve the Lord in unity of life and witness (Ministry, para. 26).

The presence of Christ among his people is pointed to by the ministry of a person ordained to be the sacrament of the Lord's episcopacy. The term "pointed to" alludes to the twords of John the Baptis in the fourth gooped (John 1.19-30). Personal eclipses and personal service are to be held together, since the supreme *epistopos* is Christ. The calling of an ordained minister is to service and responsibility within the body of Christ. We describe this as a *personal* ministry, not an *individual* ministry. "Person" cannot be understood outside of the links with the community.

Bishops or their equivalent are said to be *libras* or images of Cirist, acting in persona Christi. As images of the glorified Lord, they are images of Cirist to servant, who get his disciples an example by washing their feet (John 13:14-15). As all human beginning the control of the contro

Within and together with the community, the episkopos or equivalent is involved in discerning vocations and in ordaning other ministers to share in Christ's mission through the ministry of Word and Scarament. These tasks, together with that of discipline, are a central way in which they exercise, in communion, care for the unity, flathfulness in ruth and nurture of the people of God. In churches which do not have named bisdops, these tests are likewise carried out by persons bearing responsibility for episkopé. In all cases the intention is reverently to esteem and to continue the foir episkopé. In all cases the intention is reverently to esteem and to continue the foir episkopé. In fands, in all cases the congregations are explicitly involved in and the laying on of hands. In all cases the congregations are explicitly involved in and the laying on of hands. In all cases the congregations are explicitly involved in and the laying on of hands. In all cases the congregations are explicitly involved in and the laying on of hands. In all cases the congregations are explicitly involved in and the laying on of hands. In all cases the congregations are explicitly involved in and the laying on of hands.

In Christian kolinonia any exercise of nathority is undergirded and judged by the presence of the sensus falcilum in all the members of the commandy. This sprintary perception, sense, discormment is the fruit of the indvelling of the Holy Spirit. Throught, it hapriced believers are enabled to recognize in the voice of the episholopos what is or is not an authentic echo of the voice of Christ, in the traching of the community what is or is not in harmony with the truth of the gespel, in the decisions of those exercising epishope what is or is not a real service of the common good of the church.

All that has been said about life in communion applies to local Christian communities, provincial, regional and national groups of churches and their worldwide manifestation.

# EPISKOPÉ, EPISCOPACY AND APOSTOLIC CONTINUITY

Report of Group II

What initiates and austains the life of the people of God incouplout the ages is God's faithfulness, God's creative Word, God's effective promise (Gen. 1:1-28, 12.1-3, Matt. 16.38; 28.20). The faithful sustaining of the people of God is brought about by outward means of grace received through inward activity of the Holy Spirit. In this way the church is kept within apostolic continuity, All churches agree that being apostolic in this sease belongs to the definition of the church. All regard themselves as being apostolic in the.

The gifts of grace by which God sustains the church are an expression of God's initiative; gospel (lergypand), sacraments, the ordained ministry which serves as an instrument of conferring them. The response from the human side is a life in faith, love and witness. God uses this response as a vehicle for handling down the means of apostolicity, if serves the apostolic continuity of the church. Yet while evoked by the Holy Spirit, the human response is susceptible to all the characteristics of the human condition.

Although all churches would agree with this basic understanding of apostolicity, the ways in which they identify the means of maintaining apostolic continuity differ. In brief, there are clear differences among the churches in how they understand (1) what are the means of maintaining apostolic continuity, (2) how they are interrelated, (3) the degree to which they participate in the continuity pomised to the church, (4) thow apostolic continuity depends on them. An overarching reason for these differences lies in the ways in which they describe the relationship between God's initiative and the response to it from the human side in the history of the church.

The otherch in via (that is between the incarnation and the parousia of Jesus Christ) interprets and embodies the gospel in history, which is the drama of the human condition in cance and time. Beain in via meanes that the channel nearificates

in the ambiguity of human perception, experience and activity. For some churches, the understanding of this ambiguity also entails sin.

During history, in different circumstances and cultural contexts, the church has developed several instruments for the handing on of apostolic truth through time, among them scriptural canon, dogma, liurgical order and institutional structures above the level of the local communities. While all these relate not only to the basic means of apostolicity but also to each other, we shall concentrate here on institutional structures above the level of the local community, that is, episkopé.

Over the course of the first centuries of the Christian church, communion between local congregations (which had existed in such informal yet significant links as visits letters and collections) became more and more institutionalized. I wo main structures that emerged were episcopacy and synods on different levels. Their purpose was to hold the local congregations in communion, to defend and hand down apostolic truth, to provide munial support, to witness together to the gospel. All these functions are usually summed up in the term episkopé.

The specific development of structures of epishopé – both symods and episcopao, The specific development of structures of epishopé – both symods and episcopao. — varied in different regions of the church. The crystallization of most of the episcopai functions in the hadren regions of one individual (epishoy was much later in some places than includes, for example, Ireland, Scotland and regions affected by the missionary work of the Celtic church. In every case, however, what is understood or evident is that epishopé and episcopacy are in the service of maintaining continuity in apostolic truth.

This interelatedness of episcopacy and apostolic truth came to be problematic at particular times for certain areas of the church. Thus, for example, the Referment such themselves as having to choose between fidelity to the *episkopol* of the time and themselves as the continuity of the event of the time and themselves are apostolic truth. Although this alternative was occasionally mitigated when a bishop accepted the Reformation, in most cases the churches of the Reformation either installed new bishops or, having experienced the break between apostolic truth and *episkopol*. For the Reformers, this choice was the way of continuity in apostolic truth, whereas those who remained this choice was the way of continuity in apostolic truth, whereas those who remained this choice was the way of continuity in apostolic truth, whereas those who remained with the existing *episkopol* considered their choice as maintaining such continuity.

Aspects of this experience of having to choose between different elements and understandings of apostotic continuity can be seen whenever the church has suffered temporary or lasting division: in disputes over the baptism of heretics, in councils of the fourth and fifth centuries, in enjurisor conclusive crisis, the conflict over epische conclusive crisis, consolitate such as the papal primary. Thus, the conflict over epische copacy and opposed succession can be seen papal aspecific expression of a more general reality, which has to do with the ambiguity mherent in the church's history in so far as it participates in the general condition of humankind.

The commented heritage of these differing experiences and theological interpretations is that churches for whom episcopacy and episcopal succession are essential to apostolic continuity live today alongside other churches who regard any specific shape of *episkopie* as variable and relative to continuity in apostolic truth. By contradicting each other at this point, both sides diministh or even deny each other's claims to be church in apostolic continuity.

While it has not yet been possible to overcome this divergence, there has been a arrowing recognition of openings which might lead to convergence:

- 56 Episkope and Episcopacy
- the recognition of the interdependence of each other's histories, polities
- new insights achieved through dialogue and the experience of living together,
- recognition of shortcomings within one's own ecclesial structure and practice, appreciation of the strengths evident in that of the others;
- the admission by each of sin in nurturing and sustaining attitudes and practices which deny the apostolic integrity of the others;
- the awareass that on all sides institutional continuity is recognized as being at the service of, and in that sense subordante to, continuity in apposible truth.
   This has led certain churches to a new appreciation of oversight in personal forms, whereas other churches have come to a new appreciation of the integration of the episcopal office into the context of the apostolicity of the whole church.

# APOSTOLICITY, CONTINUITY AND SIGN

Report of Group III

### cumpaical background

Ecumenical background

1. Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry (BEM) To assist the churches towards the goal of visible church unity, the Faith and Order To assist the churches towards the goal of visible church unity, the Faith and Order Commission has for more than fifty years directed its attention to the topics of Commission, excharist and ministry. A decisive stage in this process of faulty, consultation haptism, excharist and ministry. A decisive stage in this process of faulty consultation and collaboration between Faith and Order and the churches was the publication in

1982 of Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry.

Of immediate importance in the context of the present study on episkopé and opiskopacy is an exploration of how BEM employed the terminology of "sign" in regard to the topic of ministry. In the first two sub-sections of the Ministry portion of BEM ("The Calling of the Whole People of God" and "The Church and the Odained BEM ("The Calling of the Whole People of God" and "The Church and the Odained Ministry), the word or concept "sign" is not mentioned. But in the third sub-section ("The Forms of the Ordained Ministry). The text says about the ministry of unity ("phistopse): "Every church needs this ministry in some form in order to be the durch of God, the one body of Christ; a sign of the unity of all in the kingdom" (pan. 23). And in the fourth section ("Succession in the Apostolic Tradition"), it is said that And in the spostolic in that and communition" (purt. 3-16). The text goes on to say that of the apostolic in this hand communition" (purt. 3-16). The text goes on to say that

These considerations... enable the churches which have not retained the episcopia to approxime to approxime the episcopia succession as a sign, though not guarantee, of the continuity and unity of the church. Today duratesses, are expressing willimpares to accept episcopial succession as a sign of the apostolicity of the life of the whole church (para. 38).

In the fifth section ("Ordination"), it is stated that "the laying on of hands is the sien of the gift of the Spirit, rendering visible the fact that the ministry was instituted

initiative (paras 42 and 43). Thus ordination is a sign performed in faith acknowledgment of gifts and commitment" (para. 41). Ordination is a sign of God's same time invocation of the Holy Spirit (epiklesis); sacramental sign; ordination by the laying on of hands of those appointed to do so is at one and the in the revelation accomplished in Christ..." (para. 39). Furthermore, "the act of

to recover the sign of episcopal succession" (para. 53b). Ministries"), the text states that churches without the episcopal succession "may need Finally, in the sixth section ("Towards the Mutual Recognition of Ordamed

become the sacramental signs of Christ's body and blood in Christ. It is the living and effective sign of Christ's sacrifice. The bread and wine the eucharist is a sacramental meal which by visible signs communicates God's love actions connected with baptism, anointing and laying on of hands, are signs. Likewise Spirit. Mutual recognition of baptism is an important sign of baptismal unity. Various Baptism is described as a sign of new life, of the kingdom of God, of the gift of the "sign", its appearance in the other two parts of BEM may be mentioned briefly. While it is not the intention here to present a study of BEM's use of the word

### Responses to BEM

also that episcopal succession guarantees unity and continuity. There were also churches (e.g., Waldensian and Methodist churches in Italy, Evangelical Church of the notion of "guarantee" in this context. Others, primarily the Roman Catholic significant portion - Berlin-Brandenburg, Uniting Church in Australia, Methodist the episcopal succession as a "sign of unity and continuity". Yet among these a express a range of views. For example, several churches were pleased to acknowledge day Adventists, ask for further clarification. Those which do take up these concepts the concepts of "sign" or "guarantee" at all. Some churches, among them the Seventhentirety of the church's life it questionable or impossible to single out the apostolicity of ministry from that of the (Independent Evangelical Lutheran Church (FRG), Russian Orthodox Church) found "act of dedication to the service of the Word of God". Interestingly, some churches safeguard the unity of the body", or that ordination is "not a sacramental sign" but an the Rhineland) which indicated that no minister or ministry "could express or Church), felt that "sign" by itself is insufficient to express the reality without saying Church and some Orthodox (e.g., Inter-Orthodox Symposium, Russian Orthodox Church (UK), Evangelical Church of the Rhineland - noted that they could not apply Only a relatively small number of the responses of the churches to BEM mention

### Issues of apostolic continuity

# The apostolicity of the church

in every generation. The divine mission continues in and through the church from the the apostles Jesus sent and on their witness to him, and (b) it is "apostled", sent out described as apostolic in two senses: (a) it is historically founded by and still rests or and to bring to completion the divine purpose of salvation. The church can be people of God - to the church. Jesus Christ was sent by the Father to make known Apostolicity entails the message of God's good news. It belongs to the life of the

> church is given the gift of God's fidelity in order that it will be itself faithful. This the people of God living in fidelity to the faith, life and mission of the apostles. The always with his people, through the action of the Holy Spirit, and on the response of the apostolic succession of the church. fidelity of God which inspires the faithful response of the people of God constitutes Responsibility for maintaining the apostolicity of the church - its proclamation of

of the church's apostolicity from generation to generation is the assurance of the though its unity, fidelity and peace are constantly vulnerable. The ultimate safeguard world. The church is sustained in its perseverance in truth by Christ's promise - even the changes and needs of the world and to preserve its life in the contingencies of the in every place. In every generation the church is historically particular and has to meet the gospel, its life and mission - is shared by all the people of God in every time and

a credible and a "prophetic sign" of the kingdom of God. mission of God's suffering and vulnerable love. The vocation of the church is to be itself for the life of the world. The apostolicity of the church entails embracing the action of the Holy Spirit. The apostolicity of the church cannot be separated from its mission of offering

of its identity, as well as instruments to sustain and maintain its identity and unity. The gifts of apostolicity for the community and the world, and the service of ministry. continuous transmission of the holy scriptures, the celebration of the sacraments, the instruments of its unity and continuity in the apostolic mission and life are the place to place and from generation to generation. Among the characteristics and These distinctive signs and instruments ensure that continuity is recognized from faith confessed in the creeds and borne witness to in confessional statements, the care Like any visible community the church needs recognizable signs or characteristics

single system of identity, a single system of communication. The various gifts or generations. The churches are called to remain faithful from generation to generation. scriptures, sacraments, creeds, pastoral care and ministry link past, present and future another, as well as linking the local churches to the apostles. The gifts of the holy elements of apostolicity nurture the local church. They also link local churches to one constant turning to Christ and repentance guidance and constant re-interpretation to meet the needs of new situations. It needs Apostolicity requires openness to the Holy Spirit, correction under the Spirit's Within the total life of the church, the gifts of apostolic continuity form parts of a

### Apostolicity and ministry

mission of the church. Every member is dependent on the Holy Spirit for the gifts and of the church. Various gifts are given to share in and build up the life and apostolic for their faithful, life-long exercise in the service of the church and of the world. Every member of the church has a responsibility for maintaining the apostolicity

in the service of apostolicity. The ordained ministry is relational, entailing a apostolic life of the church. To ordained ministry belongs oversight of the community out by God, through the church, for a service of strengthening and building up the In, with and among the life of the people of God, the ordained ministry is called

ecclesiae); thus, the ordained person is called to represent both Christ and the community.

## 4. Ordination as a sign of apostolicity

In ordination the people of God affirm their belief that the presons to be ordained are indeed called by God and know themselves to be called by God. In ordination God's premise of the Holy Spirit is claimed for the exercise of the particular ministry and office in the church. When the word "sign" is used of the act of codination, it has a multi-faceted meaning. The laying on of hands, together with the prayer of the community calling upon the action of the Holy Spirit, is a sign for the church that a special gift is being bestowed by God on the one ordained for a particular service in the church, that the community relies on God's promise of the Holy Spirit to be with his people, that the one ordained trusts in the gift of the Spirit for the carrying out of a particular ministry, and that the community recognizes the call and intends to cooperate with and support the one being ordained to that particular ministry and office. Ordaniation is further a sign that the whole community – ordained and lay together —relying on the gift of the Holy Spirit, intends to be faithfull to the apostolic faith, life and mission of the church.

The use of the word "sign" in this way refers not to an "empty sign", a "more sign". It refers to the unending promise to the gift of the floty Sprint, Got 9 gromise to be always with the church and the promise to be with the person being ordained. The sign of ordination assures those ordained that they are being given the charge of uninstry. While it cannot ensure in any mechanical or quasi-magical way the fidelity of the individual so ordained, it nevertheless strengthens that person for service through the gift of the Holy Spirit. The ambiguity and frailty of the human condition in the response to that gift always remain.

Nothing the people of Cod do can take away the drivine promise of presence. In this sense, the "sign" of ordination can be said to be an "effective" sign, for it points to a reality which is a drivine, assured reality. The sign also points to the church's intention to be faithful to the apostolic teaching and mission. When the word "guarantee" is used of the sign of ordination, it emphasizes both the continuing faithfulness of the Lord of the church to be with the church to the end of time and the intention of the church to be faithful. It is an assurance of the permanent and abiding presence of Cod's gift for eastaming the one ordinated in office.

# 5. A ministry of oversight in the service of apostolicity

Among the gift of ministry is a particular function of oversight (episkope), recognized and distributed differently in different churches. The service of the apostolicity of the church entails a ministry of oversight in mission, in ministry of Word and Sacrament, in worship and praise, in guardiansitip of the faith, in declaring forgiveness of sins, in discipline and in commissioning for ministry. The ministry of reversight itself is entrusted to the whole church. Those to whom the function of oversight its entrusted by God and the community are called to excrease it in, with and among the whole community, and in a special way in solidarity with all who are crunsted with oversight. Thus the ministry of oversight is exercised in a communal, personal and collegial way.

Until the Reformation the ministry of oversight in both East and West was preeminently exercised by the bishops of local churches, who were concerned also with the care and oversight of all the churches, shared through a collegal relationship of bishops. That relationship also connected the church in one generation to another. This was demonstrated in the act of ordination by three or more bishops who had themselves been ordenied by bishops in continuity of episcopal ordinations. This represents the diachronic and synchronic unity, continuity and solidarity of the church

At the Reformation, different patterns of the ministry of coversight emerged. Cominaily was more often signified in the Reformation churches through prestyrate ordinations. Some believed that fleality to the apsorbic truth, safeguarding and maintaining the apostolicity of the church, required a break with the succession of maintaining the apostolicity of the church, required a break with the succession of maintainy. The Reformers sought to preserve the apostolicity of the church in writing ways. Some maintained the apostolic ministry of episcopal oversight, but abandoned or were mable to retain the form of a sign of episcopal carter and succession, or were mable to retain the form of a sign of spiscopal carter and succession, maintaining continuity rather through presbyteral succession. Others subsequently regained an episcopal order, though with a break in the form and sign of a continuous succession.

Some non-episcopal churches claim a historic succession in ministry. Every Presbyterian minister, for example, roceives the apostolic commission through ordination by presbytery. Likewise, Methodsis are accepted by the conference and ordaned by the president or presiding bishop and ministers setting under the authority of the conference. These churches hold that there is in them an historical succession through a corporate body.

# 6. Historic episcopal succession as a sign of apostolicity

Historic episcopal succession —ordination in intended continuity with the apostles—consisted not in a chain of those ordaining apart from the life of the whole church but in the succession in the ministry of doversight of a church which itself stands in the continuity of apostolic faith and is overseen by the bishop, whose vocation is to continuity of apostolic faith and is overseen by the bishop, whose vocation is to maintain the apostolicity of the church. Faithfulness to the apostolic calling is careful by more than one means of continuity. Apostolic succession is not the private, by the continuity of the church and the private of the priva

Apostolicity inheres not in the person of the bishop alone, but in the local dutuch as a whole, and a sposodie succession means not simply the unbroken succession of persons, but the unbroken continuity of communities. There is no true succession of persons that is not mediated through the community.

Just as the sign of ordination does not ensure the fidelity of the one being ordinated, so also the sign of historic episcopal succession does not and camont ensure the fidelity of a church to every aspect of the fath, life and mission of the apostles. Nevertheless, historic episcopal succession remains an effective sign of the presence of the idoly Spirit with the church through the ages, a permanent challenge to fidelity the and unity, a summons to witness to and a commission to realize more fully the permanent characteristics of the church of the apostles, an assurance to the highly that the church intends to preach the faith and celebrate the sucraments in continuity and in communion with the church of all places and all times.

### A challenge to the churches The challenge made in RFM need

The challenge made in BEM needs reiterating with greater urgency:

- a. Churches which have preserved the episcopal succession are asked to recognize both the apostolic content of the ordained ministry which exists in churches which have not ministanted such succession and also the existence in these churches of a ministry of enriched in versions forms.
- b. Charoles without the episcopal succession, and living in faithful continuity with the apossible faith and mission, have a missiery of word and sucrament, as is reduced from the belief, practice and life of those churches. These churches are asked to realize that continuity with the durant of the apartiest finds produced expression in the successive laying on of hands by bishops and that though they may not lack the continuity of the apossible tradition, this igni will strengthen and deepen that continuity. They may need to recover the sign of episcopal succession (Arhistry, prant. 53).

A single ministry of oversight within the life of the visibly united clunch would enable and help the church to live together a life of finith and sacraments, to take counsel together, to take common decisions, to teach together with conviction and to engage together in service and in mission so that the world may believe.

Churches which understand themselves to have retained the historic misconate.

Churches which understand themselves to have retained the historic episcopate have explained the retention of episcopasy and commended it to those churches which do not have bishops in the historic episcopal succession. Those churches which do not have bishops have in their turn explained why they have not retained this ministry. As the report of Group I of the Strasbourg meeting shows, episcopal churches have began to treat more critically some of the weaknesses in their theologies and practices of the ministry of oversight – some of which undermined a credible apologetic for episcopacy and succession – and should continue to do so. The churches which have not retained the office of bishops and the sign of historic succession have been challenged to consider the value of episcopacy and should continue to do so.

#### HOTE

# PAPERS FROM THE STRASBOURG CONSULTATION

Kallistos Ware, in Returning Pilgrims: Insights from British and Irish Participants in the Fifth World Conference on Faith and Order, London, CCBI, 1994, pp.30f.

### About the authors

vice-moderator of the Faith and Order Commission and a member of the Joint Church and the Disciples of Christ. Commission, of the International Commission for Dialogue between the Catholic Church and the Orthodox Church, of the Anglican-Roman Catholic International International Commission for the Theological Dialogue between the Roman Catholic Faculty, Ottawa, Canada, and at the University of Fribourg, Switzerland. He is a Jean-Marie R. Tillard, O.P. is Professor of Dogmatic Theology at the Dominicar

Council of Churches of Christ in the USA Theological Consultation and the Faith and Order Commission of the Nationa New York. He is a member of the North American Orthodox-Roman Catholic for academic affairs at St Vladimir's Orthodox Theological Seminary, Crestwood John H. Erickson is professor of canon law and church history and associate dear

Beijing Council of Churches ecumenics at Nanjing Theological Seminary. She is on the executive committee of the Gao Ying is an ordained minister in the China Christian Council, and teaches

Bishops Theological Group, and was a member of the Porvoo Conversation. Durham. He chairs the Church of England's Doctrine Commission and the House of Stephen Sykes is bishop of Ely, and principal-designate of St John's College,

Milton Keynes, England. Hugh Cross is retired ecumenical moderator of the Milton Keynes Christian Council

version of this paper appears in Episcopacy: Views from the Antipodes, edited by was a member of the Eames Commission on Women and the Episcopate. Another Christian Education, Collingwood, Australia Alan Cadwallader and David Richardson, and published by the Joint Board of Penny Jamieson is the Anglican bishop of Dunedin, Aotearoa New Zealand. She

and Sixth International Consultations of United and Uniting Churches member of the Faith and Order Standing Commission and was moderator of the Fifth Martin H. Cressey, retired principal of Westminster College, Cambridge, is a

### A GIFT OF THE SPIRIT EPISCOPACY:

J.M.R. Tillard, O.P.

not want to abolish but to reform the institution. together a habitation for God through the Spirit (2:22). The Reformation as such did gathering torn humanity together again in the eirene of God (2:13-17), building the Ephesians as making up the Body of which Christ is the Head (Eph. 1:22-23), community organized in accordance with the specific goal expressed in the letter to in a vague number of isolated individuals brought together by grace but in a Father, in Christ, is ensured. Through it, the divine plan of salvation is embodied not and thanks to which the communion of all believers among themselves and with the institution is the stable structure, remaining the same through the centuries, in which ecumenical discussions of apostolic succession. What is known as the ecclesial complex question of the nature of the episcopacy. This is linked in turn with the churches of the Reformation, the question of institution is bound up with the equally In the Catholic Church, as in the Orthodox churches and certain circles of the

corpus permixtum, let us cite these lines: the Lord or the dimensions of the Temple. From Augustine's many statements on the limits of the institution do not necessarily coincide with the extension of the Body of and the Temple of God are realities over which the Spirit alone has dominion. The To be sure - as Augustine in the West never ceases to repeat - the Body of Christ Do not be surprised by the multitude of bad Christians who fill the church, who participate at the altar... In the church of this time they may be with us, but in that

assembly of the saints which will come after the resurrection they will not be found. The

where the vacked mingle with the good, after the judgment, it will include all the good, when the judgment, it will include all the good, with more of the valced. This threaking floor holds the harvest sown by the Aposters which the presention of its contries has been only a little, bru., which has not yet been stited by the vintaosing from on high the will orde, however, the one of whom we have preclaimed in the Creek. "He will come again to judge the living and the dead". And as the system of such a strength of the system of the strength of the will clear his threating floor and gather his wheat into the granary, but the chaff he will burn with unquanchable free (Ant. 3.12).

On the other hand, Augustine explains that the Lord and his Spirit know those who outside the institution, are already in salvention. \*Nevertheless, it falls to the institution to offer, guarantee, manifest and maintain for all the set of instruments salvation in which the power of the Spirit is exerted. These are the proclaiming and teaching of the Word, the eclebration of the searments, above all the eucharist, and the "une of souls", as a beautiful Reformed expression has it. The institution has the summission of making it possible for every person who desires to find the means of sunctification in the Amontair. The Code of Canon Law of Imanuty 1983 specifies at some length the rights of the faithful (canons 211-231) and, correlatively, the strict duty of the institution to answer to those rights. This signifies an official acknowledgment of the nature of the institution.

Indeed, it is not simply a matter of each believer finding, if God wills, available and generous people who agree betweelently to add him or her in living his or her Christian vecation. The institution is a socially constituted reality, recognized as the swillability and generosity of specifically designated representatives a consistency which is protected from and transcends all the hazards of individual good will. It guarantees a subility in the service of the means of salvation. For this service as embodied in the institution, specific activities are established in a fixed way and are such as it were mobilized in accordance with the koinonia to be realized. This implies persons officially conscented for this service, formally recognized as such, organized persons officially consecurated for this service, formally recognized as such, organized no accordance with it, granted the authority that such service demands. This authority possesses power enough to be effective, which is made concrete either by legislation adapted to the common good and the good of individuals or by specific means of Let its be clear on this notine Brimine who account a few properties are action marked out by that legislation.

Let us be clear on this point. Bringing this ensemble into play requires an administrative apparatus and suggests the creation of certain "institutions" (the catechumetate, theological schools, seminaries and so on). But that is not essential to the ecclesial *Institution* as we are presenting it here. The *institution* is not to be confused with either the administration it necessitates or with the "institutions" it gives rise to. It is the setting of the life in communion, its flamework, its support, and that which ensures its growth in faith and sacramental life. We like to define it as the expression of *kohnonia* at once in its continuity, its structure and its dependence on faith and sacrament with regard to God.

Thus defined, the institution of the church rests on the episcopacy. Not without reason, the theology of the traditions known as "Catholic" connect this certainty with the rich affirmation of the letter to the Ephesians:

The gifts he gave were that some would be apostles, some prophets, some evangelists some rastors and teachers to comin the sound for the contract of the contr

be kept and transmitted, which the charism (1 Tim. 4:14; 2 Tim. 1:6) made possible

body of Christ, until all of us come to the unity of the faith and of the knowledge of the Son of God, to maturity, to the measure of their fait suture of Christ, the meant no longer be children, tossed to and fin and blown about by every wind of doctine. by popple's trickery, by their cathiness in doctinit scheming. But appealing the truth in love, we must spow up in every way into thin who is the head, into Christ, from whom the whole body, joined and laint logster by every lignment with which it is couplend, as each part is working properly, promotes the body's growth in building itself up in love (4:11-16).

God gave (ediken) Christ to the church as its Head (Eph. 1:22-33); Christ in his turn "gave" (ediken) to the church the ministers necessary for its upbuilding into the Body of Christ (4:11-12).

Just as Christ did not resurred himself, so the church does not provide itself with the needed men on its own ministive. It is endowed, it depends on the Lord and on this gracious and thus severeign, sovereign and thus generate, gift. . The appeals are clearly estimated and distinguished from prophets and followers... In conformity with a unanimous teaching, the choice of the groadest constitutes a founding infinitive which is made to the conformation of the con

The church is ceaselessly "sent back to the gospel", thanks to the ministers given by Christ. 5

depends on the Lord alone. Later functions derive from the first.\*

The nucleus of what we call the institution is there. Now, what is the specific function of these human "giffs" who are ministers? It is the perfecting, the equipping (katarismos), of the thinful as antheric adults in the faith, and their gathering into the one Body of Christ (4:12-13), lati together by knowledge of the Son of God, in the one Body of Christ (2:13), lati together by knowledge of the Son of God, in the case the state of the church. For it is in this way that the church, as Body of Christ, receives harmonious growth from this way that the church, as Body of Christ, receives harmonious growth from this way that the church, as Body of Christ, receives harmonious growth from this way that the church, as Body of Christ, received, adjusted and coordinated seconding to their nature. These human "giffs" structure it visibly.

It is this mission of the original ministry which is pursued in the episcopacy and

proclamation and defense of the gospel so that the deposit of faith (paratheke) should 4:7; 2 Tim. 2:25; 4:2). A discipline was imposed. It was this, as well as the authorizing it to ward off those who endangered it (Tit. 3:10; 1:10-14; 1 Tim. 1:3 categories (widows, youth, elders, slaves, etc.) who made up the community and for the confirmation of the faithful in faith and charity but also watching over all the were beginning to arise, something like a ministerial company was needed, allowing already an institution - with the right and power to teach and prescribe (1 Tim. 4:11-Timothy and Titus show that what was involved was a charisma of government - thus for this service by the laying on of hands (1 Tim. 4:14; 2 Tim. 1:6). The epistles to and "accompanied us" (Acts 1:21) - received the specific gift of the Spirit precisely transmissible, for there is an ephapax of the witness of those who saw the Risen One in ministry and those who would continue the apostolic mission - in so far as it is epistles it was recognized that those whom the apostle chose to be his companions eschatological realization of the Father's plan. Already at the time of the pastora of Christ -- but always starting from Christ the Head and in reference to the ful of the gracious gift that God gives to his church for its life and growth into the Body the other ministerial functions deriving from or attached to it. Here too it is a matter in order to keep the community on the right path. Indeed, with the difficulties that

We thus come to a first conclusion. We see that the very roots of the ecclesial institution—the apostolic function and its derivatives—are in the strictest sense a gift of Christ to the church of God, given, guaranteed and supported by a charisma of the Hoy Spirit. Thus, it is not an adventitious phenomenon in the olizonomia of salviation but is integral to the gift of God. On the other hand, since the primary nucleus of the charuch of God was mamifested at Pantecost as "apostolic", we can say that at the very moment of its "coming to light" the Spirit which constituted it as a koinomia gave it, along with the apostolice, the charisman that would also make of it the institution of salviation. It is not surprising that the book of Acts describes, in the stories of Ananias and Supphira (5.1-11) and of the designation of the Seven (6.4-6), the first steps towards what would become the institution and the community discipline, Acts 15 shows how everything would quickly become theologically tied together: "the Holy Spirit and us" (v.28), "Moreover, beginning with Acts 14.21-27, we see the institution taking root.

It is thus impossible to out the Spirit off from the institution, or, as is sometimes done even in Catholic theological cineties, to distinguistis sharply between what is from God (communion) and what is of human origin (the institution with all its avatars). The institution also has its roots in the divine gift in the decisions of the Decree of Jerusalem are found all the conditions of a normative decree, prelimined aloud and then communicated verbally and in writing. It is supported by the apostles and the elders in communication with the Spirit who has established them as episkopoi (gaudians, overseers) of the churches (cf. 20:28). The Spirit who guarantees the writines of the apostles (3:32) is the one who gives authority to this ministry of the edders in service to the kinnonia. Without the Spirit, disciplinary decisions could not be imposed, above all in so grave a situation.

### =

1. We may thus understand the ecclesiological and ecumenical importance of a few lines in which the Scoond Varient Connect!'s constitution Lineare foreitime reaffirms the sacramentality of the episcopacy, as well as those in which it emphasizes that the ministerial thiness, thus received, includes the charge of governing, along with the charges of smorthlying and teaching.

And first, through the mireaulous spokeamanship of the bishops, Christ addresses the word of God to all popules and constantly administers the searments of the faith to believers; thanks to their paternal solicitude (cf. 1 Cor. 4:15) he incorporates new members time his beloty by means of supernatural regeneration; and finally, through their wisdom and their purdence, he guides and prepares the people of the New Frestment in its movement toward eternal biesestieness. These shepherds, chosen to pasture the Lord's flock, are the ministers of Craist and the dispenses of the mysteries of God (cf. 1 Cor. 4:1), to them are entrusted the testimony rendered to the gasped of divino grace (cf. 2 Cor. 4:3-8-9) (para. 12).

These ministers can accomplish all this only with the Spirit, whose power and assistance ad hoc are given sacramentally with the laying on of hands:

To faifill so high a charge, the Apoulfes have been enriched by Christ with the treasurs of the Holy Spirit, which descended upon them (cf. Ast 18, 24.4, Man 2022.23). Or the Holy Spirit, which descended upon them (cf. Rott 18, 24.4) and Don't Christoph the larging on of hands, law pick demanders confer this spiritual gift on their convected to the spiritual gift on their convected consecration confers of the surface flow secretary of the order. Episcopial consecration, The holy Council teaches, on the other hand, that this episcopial consecration confers the fulness of the searment of the order. Episcopial consecration confers the fulness of the searment of the order. Episcopial consecration confers the fulness of the same of the search of the consecration confers, along with the charge of searchiffication, also that of teaching and governing, though by their nature these charges can be exercised only in interactual communion with the Hoad and the members of the College. Indeed, from Tradition, as it arises especially from the Hungisal rites and tesses of the church, as much in the Estat as in the West, it consegues clearly that, through the laying on of threat and through the words of consecution, the gase of the birdy Spirit is conferred and the secred character imprinted consecution, the gase of the birdy Spirit is conferred and the secred character imprinted

## Lumen Gentium also recalls that

the empirical mission of the historys is handed on by means of legitimate customs not revoked by the supreme and universal athority of the Church, or by means of laws or created or recognized by that same authority, or else directly by the successor of Poter himself, and if the latter reduces or denies apostolic communion, the historys cannot enter upon their charges (pane.  $\lambda \theta$ ).

# Of the government of the local churches it is said

The bishops govern the local churches entrased to them in the quality of vious and leguess of Chairs they do so by their counsed their pressures words, their example, but use to yet authoritative decisions and by secred power. They make use of this power, also by authoritative decisions and by secred power. They make the sof this power, also who can be their flock in trith and goldiness, recalling that whoever is greated must make himself like the byoungest, and the leader as one who serves (C Like 2:2-6-27). This power which they oscretise personally in the name of Crust is proper, oddinary 20.7 This power which they oscretise personally in the name of Crust is proper, oddinary and mendiate, though the coversies of it is submitted in the last react to the supreme and the character of the church and may be circumscribed within fixed limits, in consideration of the good of the Church and may be circumscribed within fixed limits, in consideration of the good of the Church and may be circumscribed within fixed limits, in consideration of the good of the Church and may be circumscribed within fixed limits, in consideration of the good of the Church and may be circumscribed within fixed limits, in consideration of the good of the Church and may be circumscribed within fixed limits, in consideration authority of the Church and may be circumscribed within fixed limits, in consideration of the good of the Church and may be circumscribed within fixed limits, in consideration and the post of the Church and may be considered to the contrast of the good of the Church and may be considered to the contrast of the good of the Church and may be considered to the contrast of the good of the Church and may be considered to the contrast of the good of the Church and may be considered to the contrast of the good of the Church and the good of the contrast of the good of the Church and the good of the church and the good of the church and the good of th

2. These last lines in particular make clear how the whole exclesial institution including the primacy of Rome, since the bishop of Rome is himself emolled in the poiscopal college of which he is the head – is built around the episcopacy, which comes from the Spirit sacramentally. This key point has not been sufficiently emphasized by the commentators on Lumen Gentium.

Indeed, the entire life of the church unfolds within the triple dynamism croked by the three functions of preaching or instruction in the faith, celebration of the sucraments and the government of the local churches. It is the persons and groups charged with this triple activity, endowed with a piridical stantas which cassues its identity in all places and its maniferance in time, who constitute the sats of the identity in all places and its maniferance in the visible exclusial body upon which and in accordance with which other "institutions" (in the derivative and secondary sense specified above) are grafied.

'This institution — and it alone — is essential to the structure of the church. Nothing can abolish it, because it comes precisely from the gift of God and is thus willed by God and destined to endure.' Through it, communion is accomplished and preserved

in its essential nature. It is entirely caught up in the gift of the Spirit, since in each local church in the provinces or regions, up to the level of catholicity as such, it is totally entrusted to the responsibility of the episcopal body, which exists only by the statemental grace of the lilying on of hands, thus by the Spirit. For the Catholic tradition, it is not under the supersons that the bishops are deserge Ecclerial up to un to so first shey are, through their ministry the structural backbone, assuring the diadonia of Word, scarament and discipline, which we call the institution. A lack of clarity on this point confuses discussions of the institution.

It is obvious that if the latter is a divine gift and thus a "spiritual" gift, it is all the same a gift incarnated in temporal realities. This gift becomes actual in a social and juridical apparatus whose elements are inesparable from all the complexity and beaviness of the human. The institution as conceived here is a divine gift that reaches porsons and communities only in realities that are in essence properly human, not spiritual. They are as earthly as laws, regulations, groups of leaders, doctrines, rites which can exist anywhere. Hence the complexity of the institution.

Let us say at once that the other "institutions" (in the derivative sense), which constitute either the esting required by circumstances of time and place or the means of sanctification in which the life of sacramental faith blossoms or manifestations of toolmonia, are not essential. Nevertheless, they can engage the church only under the watchful eye of the institution (enough 113-23), being "received" by it. The case of the monastic or religious life is typical. The founding "charism" —which very often is manifested and takes shape without the intervention of the hierarchy is not officially carliamentsed until it is "received." All these "institutions" must obviously evolve, and some must even disappear if they no longer serve the kolinonia. Otherwise they might disfigure the institution or make it sterle. What it comes down to "valican! I was an illustration — is a purification by cutting off the dead branches of these "institutions".

3. The avatars of the institution are well known. But it is clear that we must distinguish between the essence of the institution and the forms it takes or the use to which it is put. At this point the human enters. To be sure, it may happen, particularly when exercising governing prover or presenting the ruth of the faith is involved, that the forms of exercising authority become oppressive because they do not really the account of the dignity of persons. Those in responsibility may fail to adapt their directives to new situations. Out of fear or because of a misinterpretation of people's real intentions, the holders of the difficult mission of preserving ecclesial teaching and doctrine may block research when an intuition precious for the future is germinating. The "evangelical mysique of service" may slowly turn into a burearcary or suffer. These are risks and administrative sclerosis or contract the gangrene of politics. These are risks and blunders which nounted suspicion of and sometimes even repulsion towards the institution. It secretes its own ills and thus engenders its enemies.

However, the same point applies here as Augustine (later seconded by Thomas Aquinas) vigorously manutaneed during the turnoil of the Donatist crisis. The power of the minister – which obviously does not have the same role here as in the sacrament – must not be confused with the institution to the extent of leading to the negation of the relation of the latter with the Spirit. Recall Augustines is finy against "bad shelpheads". Precisely by their fault, the "grace" of the institution was veiled, commontated the accurace them of freverwinten the air that Act and more researce 1 confus

so that the ministerial institution may remain a servant of communion. It is not the institution as such that must be incriminated, but those who made it deviate from authentic faith. The structure of the institution remains, "institutions" and modes of exercise must evolve so that the community may be spared the deterioration of life-incommunition.

### =

channels of the institution and the action of the Spirit in people's hearts are, says welds together the members of the koinonia. The action of the Spirit through the of these two sacraments the visible manifestation of the church, is also the one who both in all the faithful of the community and in the institution, towards the same end all. On the other hand, and this is the essential point, the same Holy Spirit is at work God, no one can escape from the institution, precisely because it is a gift of God for the institution itself and subject to the risks that lie in wait for it. In the church of members of the former belong to the latter and thus, as such, are also beneficiaries of cut off the institution from the community in communion. On the one hand, the among themselves, through the Son, in the Spirit. Here once again it is impossible to perpetuates in time and space. It is the koinonia of the faithful with the Father and the community which the institution forms, preserves, nourishes spiritually, stabilizes, slowly disappearing, even in the media. For the church of God is also and above al custom of identifying the church with the hierarchical apparatus, its official face, is scholasticism, the two faces of the same mission of the Spirit - two faces in constant The Spirit invoked in the baptismal epiclesis and the eucharistic epiclesis, who makes 1. But the church of God is not only the institution. Moreover, since Vatican II, the

2. Here we come to the sensus fledium and its role in the health—and sometimes bealing—of the institution, drawing it out of sclerosis and a sterile attachment to large conformity. Understanding this role is essential to understanding the relation between the Spirit and the institution.

Several times Augustine rejects the granting of a monopoly on sacrdotal dignity to the body of bishops and those who constitute the institution as we define it. The the title of scere-day belongs to the whole of the Christian community, incorporated under Christ the Priest. It is moreover by virtue of this title that the whole assembly is associated with the bishop, with the preobjertim, with the entire ministral company in the celebration and offering of the eucharist. In its relation to the Spirit, despite the diversity of functions demanded by its life as the Body of Christ, the clauseh of God is not divided in what comes to it from Christ by the Spirit. It is "one in the Spirit and in Christ". All, without exclusivity and without exception, are integrated by the Spirit not a single saccrdotal Body of Christ, in which all commune in grace. Augustine goes so far as to affirm: "Once [in the Old Testamera] the priest alone was anomed, now all Christians receive unction." The entire church as such participates in the royal and saccrdotal quality of tis Head. Not only the preoposite but "the rest of the Body is the body of the Priest"

part in the lordly and sacerdotal ecclesial reality in what is most essential to it. That is why horowon those who are nart of the institution through the Spirit who makes

fundaments was advanta

them gifts of Cirist to the church, and the community as a whole, there is not merely unilateral communication but interrelation. The institution is never independent of the sersus shellium. The latter is its safeguard. That holds not only for the sacramental (sacerdotal) and prophetic register but also for the "royal" register.

The notion of the sensus fidei and sensus fideitum has been refined in recent years. "The impact of the sensus fidei and sensus fideitum has been refined in recent in)" to the register of doctrinal questions. Reflection on both the liturgical movement and liturgical participation has made it possible to deepen and give a dogmatic foundation on the one hand to the influence of the vota of the whole community importsons included, and on the other hand to the ecclestological significance of the participation of all (according to their chartens) in the single and indivisible sextremental synexis of the local church as such. Especially since the Mediator Det (20 November 1947) of Plus XII and the Congress of Assisi in 1956, the questioning of the institution by the sensus fidelt, which has its source in the Spirit, has been vident." The institution has thus gradually and prudently opened itself to a new dynamism, the bearers of which were for the most part monks and must lappracesso, Catholic action movements and scouts. There has been a "reception" (in the strong sense of the word) by the institution of those desires of the Spirit expressed in what Augustine called the saccerdoial and royal body as a whole.

in addition, what is known as "the participation of the faithful in the sacramental eat" is henceford understood in all its "sacordoral" density. Not a simple acquiescence to the minister's act and a simple "perception" of the res of the sacrament, but a true communion in all that is accomplished. Often there is grafted on to it the type of cooperation which is praised (which a warning against deviations) by the Reman Instruction of 13 August 1997 on "Several Questions Concerning the Collaboration of the Lay Faithful in the Ministry of the Priests". Preparation for buptism and marriage, readings, monitorious, music, singing, general payer and if need to the distribution of communion are falling more and more to lappeacons. By "receiving" these activities and integrating them into the full liturgy, the institution "cockwes" the desire of the Spirit for all vining church, in communion with humanity. To be convinced of this, one need only spend a Holy Week in Kinshasa when Cardinal Mallala presides over the Liturgy. There it is clear that to "collaborate" means neither to "substitute" not to efface oneself.

But this holds equally for the register of government. The exhoration Christi folders lated (1988) ungel responsible members of the institution to "recognize" the offices and functions of the non-ordained faithful, in order to promote them Furthermore, canon law (canons \$17, 250, 861, 1112) provides for "deputed lay ministries" in addition to the "instituted ministries" of acolyte and reader, for functions to be performed in the name of the institution, in persona Ecclesia. "Here we are neither in the readm of the occlesial "services" which any baptized person may be called on to reader according to the circumstances, some of which are essential to the life of the local church (catechism, liturgical assistance, missionary work, preparation for the searments, financial administration, legal assistance, canon 239, the proparation for the searments, financial administration, legal assistance, canon 239 with or in the immense field of activity of the members of religious orders who are not oleries, above all in health, education and care for the poor. In the case that concerns us, it is rather a question of charges which by their nature ought to be carried out by

ordained ministers, as the only ones responsible for them. But the shortage of the latter has led to their being entrusted to laymen deputed for the purpose.

C C . C. J .... Jee in masser

The code of 1983 is explicit (it is useful to quote it for non-Catholic readers, since it is often ignored):

If, owing to the shortage of priests, the dioceam bishop believes he must entrust to a deacon or other person not vested with ascerdond character, or else to a community of persons, a participation in the exercise of the pastoral charge of a parish, he shall appoint a priest who, provided with the powers and capacities of a curata, will be the moderator of the pastoral charge (canon 517.2.)

We are well within the domain of the institution. Moreover, it is said in reference to more precise cases:

Where the need of the Church demands it, for lack of ministers, laymen, even if they are not readers or acolytes, may also fill certain of their functions—namely, to exercise the ministry of the word, to preade over thimgical prayers, confer beptism and distributed communition, according to the dispositions of the law (earon 230.3; see canon 861).

Where there is neither priest nor deacon, the diocesan bishop, on the finovariable opinion of the conference of bishops and with the authorization of the tonly See, may delegate laymen to assist at martiages. He must choose as competent layman, capable of giving instruction to the future couple and able to perform the marriage liturgy properly giving instruction to the future couple and able to perform the marriage liturgy properly

These cases and provisions are certainly not changing the nature of institutional ministry. They are justified only by the destire not to create a pastoral void in communities without an 'ordained minister'. The laymen in question have no pastoral ordical deputation which puts them the exercise of a pastoral wide in official deputation which puts them in communou with the resolution of the topic upon whom the institution rests. For, in the ministerial team provided for by canon 1517.2, they have a satus quite different from that of the members of the "pastoral council" (canon 556). They find themselves inserted into the very dynamism of the pastoral as, the intra-ecclesial source of which can only be the ordained minister. Indeed, this source is necessarily searmmental, Indeed with Christ the Head and mister apostetic community. Only sacramental indication "consecrates and deputes" (canon 1008) for ecclesial acts performed "in persona Certst capital."

1008) for ecclesial acts performed "in persona Certst capital."

which is inscribed, without ordination, in a necessary communion with the ordinated minister—founded on this explicit, official delegation from the bishop—and by vince of which they perform authentically pasteral axts. They are in some way graded onto the institution, though without maly being members of it. On the basis of their baptismal searchecy, the institution deputes them to assume precise, delicate and important functions which would normally be incumbent on itself. As we see, on this register which is doubless most typical of the institution, the shortcomings and impovertainments of the latter are, by virtue of the common grace of the Spirit, as if "supplied", "made up fo" in the people of God by exceptional enlistments of laymen. In this case, with the sole power of the Spirit received at hoptism, the goal normal in this case, with the sole power of the Spirit received at the prison, the gend normal community come to its activation is realized, though it he in need, because laymen of the community come to its aid. Without this aid, it would waste away, breathless, without statement of the community come to its add. Without this aid, it would waste away, breathless, whose

that the lawmen thus "denutized" are not mere executors of orders coming from

Spirit, their generosity, and in certain circumstances the capacity for initiative given elsewhere. They are engaged with all their Christian responsibility of heeding the

scope of the institution and its relation to the whole community. required by the necessities of our times, help us to understand the real nature and whom they take their sensus fidei. These new canonical decisions, sometimes with their own judgment and prudentia as baptized persons guided by the Spirit from arising from the very mission of the institution, and into which laymen are integrated interpretation of canon 483:2) and professors of theology. These are all functions (canon 460), of their function as diocesan judges (canon 1421), case examiners parochial councils (canons 492, 512, 536, 537), the members of diocesan synods 1424), promoters of justice (canons 1434-36), chancellors (according to the current (canon 1428), defenders of the marriage bond (canons 1434-36), assessors (canor them by their sensus fidei. The same is true, moreover, for all the lay members of various diocesan or

apostolic Pentecostal community was filled (Acts 2:4; 4:31; 7:55) "abides" in the from this Spirit. The same Holy Spirit that dwelt in Jesus and with whom the what Augustine calls the inner Master, Christians acquire a sort of instinct that comes belong to the Body of Christ and try to live in conformity with his Spirit, following this point has been examined many times, we shall not linger over it. In so far as they of faith that this interaction of the institution and the sensus fidei is verified. Since But it is also within the complex domain of fidelity to the truth and to the doctrine

speak, as it were, in his members, inscribed in their various geographical and lives from the truth perceived through this sensus fidei. The Spirit makes Christ fidel, either personally or in the experience of a synod, thus attesting that the church certain opinion or interpretation henceforth clashes with communion in the Body of inhabited by the Spirit perceives that a certain custom, once perhaps legitimate, or a spontaneously able to discover an off-key voice in the choir, so the Christian way of doing things is a betrayal of Christ. Just as a person with a musical car is into the Body of Christ will feel as if by instinct that a certain declaration or a certain certain word or attitude is a betrayal of friendship, so the Christian truly integrated with the Truth, an evangelical scent, a spiritual ear. Just as a friend grasps that a its members. He creates in them a sort of profound accord, an instinctive harmony accordance with different situations and needs. He acts within the ecclesial Body, in the words and gestures of the Lord to be "remembered" specifying their meaning in In this the Spirit fulfills the mission of communicating the good of Christ, causing The new code of canon law provides "institutions" for the expression of this sensus

times and cultures, the word of salvation for the whole of humanity. historical contexts. While remaining the same, his voice is diversified according to

condition. For they hear in their flesh both the dramas and the love of concrete dynamisms. It is also they who enroll it in a realistic communion with the human pushing the church further ahead, urging it to espouse the evolution of cultural Christians. More often it is they more than the members of the hierarchy who keep along in the movement of history, it is owing to this sensus fidei of genuine be in communion with the aspirations, sufferings and intuitions of humanity carried Thus if the church of God is not stagnant, if in the course of time it continues to

> their presentation or interpretation of doctrine and in their decision-making. discernment of the same Spirit that puts them in his service, they may be guided in responsibility for the latter "receive" these manifestations so that, weighed with the human misery. They have thus evangelized the institution. Indeed, those who have opened the eyes of the heart of many Christians, above all laypersons, to the sting of be in the service of God's compassion, that is without doubt because the Spirit has Christ and must thus be a concern of the church. If the church has always wished to existence. The Spirit teaches them that their tears and sufferings are not foreign to What would the Second Vatican Council have been if the assembled bishops had

not let himself be domesticated and dominated by the institution. At the very heart of disturbances, the plans, the discomforts, the timid initiatives in the Body of Christ? not thus "received" what the Spirit had brought forth from the desires, the the church the Spirit is able, if necessary, to impose his authority on it through the hierarchy? They would have "quenched the Spirit" (1 Thess. 5:19). The Spirit does What would have happened if they had refused all that had been born outside the humanity that lives only in history. he makes the Body of Christ live. He makes of it at once a reality of God and of faithful themselves. Thus, by the symbiosis of the "charismatic" and the institutional,

of the instinctus, of the sensus, of the whole body joined to its Head and inhabited communion that it is formally granted. It is, in each living member, the actualization were not in communion with it, they would not have this sensus fidei. For it is to this would be unable to come to full maturity. Indeed we might say that it is the giving him an ecclesial Body, living from him and his salvation.18 In each of the as there is no head without a body, so the Spirit "plenishes" (plero ō) Christ, by Risen Lord for the sake of humankind. Since there is no Christ without the church, et dicta of Jesus Christ and the communication of the gifts with which he fills the by the Spirit. For to the mission of the Spirit belong the "remembrance" of the acta far as they participate in the profound reality of the ecclesial Body as such. If they consequence of the presence of the Spirit in the baptized. But it exists in them in so accordance with new needs and contexts, upbuilding itself in the world until he comes the Spirit thus gives expression to the consciousness of the Body of Christ, in baptized, whom faithfulness to the gospel brings existentially into union with Christ, 3. That said, we must emphasize also that without the institution the sensus fider

preserves itself and propagates itself in word, sacrament and discipline of the new Spirit, of the structure around which the koinonia constructs itself, articulates itself, the Body of Christ and to preserve it in its communion. It is the gift, through the it was precisely the function of the institution to build, with the power of the Spirit, 1:21-22), of the bond with Israel. The institution needs the sensus fidei, but this Lord Jesus went in and out among us", and "witnesses to his resurrection" (Acts (Eph. 2:20; cf. 3:4; 4:11), who are the guarantors, inseparable from "the time that the latter would not exist, constructed "upon the foundation of the apostles and prophets" for any "remembrance", for any participation in the instinctus of the Body, since the personal and community life according to the gospel. Thus there would be no matter authentic content of the revelation, no sacrament of baptism or eucharist, no law of life. Without it, there would be no constant and articulated proclamation of the But we have shown, basing ourselves above all on the letter to the Ephesians, that

sensus fidei exists and is expressed in the work of the institution. Each has need of the other.

-

connected with this interaction. (I Cor. 6:19; Eph. 2:21-22). The presence of the Spirit and his ecclesial work are between the "servants of the Spirit" and the community of the "temple of the Spirit" instrument. In the church of God there is thus an interaction in both directions, building, preservation and maintenance of which the institution is the Spirit's its failings. (3) All the same, this sensus fidei remains dependent on the institution sensus fidei to correct, heal, enlighten, adapt the institution, and even to make up for normal oikonomia of salvation. (2) Always on the basis of the gratia granted in the as a gift of the Spirit for the koinonia of the Body of Christ, a gift always at risk especially in the letter to the Ephesians and the pastoral epistles, and the Holy Spirit in its fundamental registers, since it is given only in the Body of Christ, for the faith and the sacraments of the faith, the Holy Spirit enables the faithful bearers of the because of the weakness of human agents, a gift nevertheless always inscribed in the We have distinguished three essential points. (I) The institution has appeared to us between the institution, as we have defined it, starting from indications to be found I. As a contribution to this study of episkopé, we have proposed to show the bone

It is clear, then, that the institution should not be considered a purely human element, foreign to the essence of the work of the Spirit. It belongs to the oikonomia of grace.

This place of the institution obviously does not conceal the fact that the Spirit transcends it, that institutional and canonical frontiers do not exactly cover the field of the Spirit's action. The Spirit who acted in humanity before the birth of Christ now acts in humanity outside the institution, in unsuspected ways. He is in no way the slave of the institution. He is its master.

It may also happen that the responsible members of the institution hardly vedcome this action of the Spirit even within the church, when it upsets its too-easy attainments, cornests its conformism, denounces its errors. The Dominican figures of Savouarchi, of Bartolomie de las Casas, of Père Laganage, of a whole generation of researchers who preceded and prepared for Varicien II, are known to us. Their thought and their witness passed through a long purgatory before being "received." They were resisted Sometimes this resistance ended in tragedy. Thus some of the great fractures that continue to break up the unity of the people of God have their origin in this resistance ended in tragedy. Thus some of the great fractures that continue to break up the unity of the people of God have their origin in this resistance of the institution. Here the excuss of Paul VI and John Paul II find their exclusionlogical significance.

As for the influence of the saints, it rarely coincides with the institution, unless the latter integrates them into liturgical worship. They are nineed, like all Christians, in need of the means of grace the institution provides. They cannot live without the institution, However, above all in our contemporary societies, it is they, far more than the institution, who witness to the Spirit. Their witness calls out both to orthe Christians and to unbelievers. Moreover, the mounteriets and contemplative

(Name 15 2: CC 41 n 194), "Many sheep wander outside... He alone knows by his

who takes paths other than those of the institution to give lift to the church of God. It is highly significant that in times of crisis it is usually the monasteries that are tasked to supply bishops, and that in the West the prayer of the monds has been the inspiration for the great liturgical prayer of all the people of God. The institution knows how to be a mendicant.

\*

Once again the wise old axiom is verified: not either... or but both... and. The Spirit and the institution together make the church of God, and in this togetherness the Spirit has full mastery. But all the same, God himself wants this institution

Translated from the French by Richard Pevear

### NOTES

Semme 232, P.I. 38, 1092-1093; Bd. 72, p.333; Cf. De doct. Christ 3, 22, 45; Bd. 11, p.400;
 Treat Ju-Die, 6, 2, Bd. 211, p.346; Treat Ju-Die, 7, 11, Bd. 72, p.563; Treat Ju-Die, 7, 12, Bd. 71, p.404; Tract Ju-Die, 7, 12, p.404; Tract Ju-Die, 7, p.404; Tract Ju-Die, 7,

eternal destiny of the saints... But, such as they are, we have less right to despair of the who are united with her in participation in the sacraments, but who will not join with her in the In the same way, while the City of God is on pilgrimage in this world, she has in her midst some many good Catholics, what they are today, we see; what they will be tomorrow, we do not know. 8.29 and Eph. 1.4), many of those who are openly outside and are called heretics are better than has predestined before the creation of the world to be conformed to the image of his Son (Rom reformation of some of them, when some predestined friends, as yet unknown even to In Joh., 12, 12; BA 71, p.659; cf. Contra epist. Parm., 2, 11, 25; De bapt., 5, 28, 39; Contra litt threshing floor and he knows the straw, he knows the harvest and he knows the tares" (Tract (De bapt 5, 27, 38, BA 29, p.396). "According to the foreknowledge of God, just as many sheep of those who seem to be outside are inside and many of those who seem to be inside are outside the Lord knows those who are his (2 Tim. 2:19), for in this ineffable foreknowledge of God man) or who have even fallen into heresies or into the superstitions of the pagans, and yet even there be tomorrow" (De bapt., 4, 3, 4; BA 29, p.240). "There are some who are still living in iniquity but clearly for God, before whom the future is already present, they are even now what they will 1,35; BA 33, pp.298-300). "According to the foreknowledge of him who knows those whom he interwoven and intermixed in this era, and await separation at the last judgment" (De civ. Dei themselves, are concealed among our most open enemies. In truth, those two cities are the same aspect, both are men, but both are not mansions of God, even if each is called Christian" knows the secrets of the heart"  $(En. \ln Ps., 43, PL. 36, 491)$ . "The just and the unjust have indeed between the two cities (De civ. Dei 14, 28; BA 35, p.464), and "God alone can judge, he who Petil., 3, 3, 4; BA 28, p. 335; 29, p.400; 30, pp. 590-92). It is love that makes the division knows those who are his: he knows those who will be left for the fire; he knows the wheat on his have wandered outside, so many wolves lie in ambush within; among them, however, the Lord

P. Borgomeo, L'Église de ce temps dans la prédication de saint Augustin, Paris, 1972, pp.279. 356; and M. F. Berrouard, note 9, in BA 73B, pp.430-433, which we have followed worshippers of idols into children of Abraham (Sermo 24, 2, citing Matt. 3:9; CC 41, p.527). Cf with all the optimism of its hope, to the almightiness of him who is able to transform the to religious categories that divide people into Christians, Jews and pagans, but must entrust itself, weaken and became very bad" (Sermo 46, 27, CC 41, p.553). The church thus cannot keep itself despaired was converted and became very good; he of whom we presumed so much began to by the hope of eternal inheritance" (En. In Ps. 25, en. 2, 2, PL 36, 189). "He of whom we hold every man to be our neighbour, not only by the condition of his human mortality, but also ignorant of how God knows him in his foreknowledge... We who do not know the future must neighbour, even before he becomes a Christian, for you do not know what he is to God, you are in advance" (Sermo 47, 16 and 15; ibid. p.585). "You must think that every man is you

See Michel Bouttier, L'épitre de saint Paul aux Ephésiens, Geneva, 1991, pp. 185-98. On this text we follow the interpretation of J.N. Collins, Diakonia, Re-interpreting the Ancient Sources New York, 1990

M. Bouttier, op. cit., pp.185

See R. Schnackenberg, The Epistle to the Ephesians, Edinburgh, 1991, pp.184-86

In the words of E. Haenehen, The Acts of the Apostles, London, 1971, p.453, "the highest supernatural authority and the legal earthly authority derived from it stand side by side". Compare

les Actes des Apôtres", L'Année canonique, Vol. 23, 1979, pp.25-35; D.R. Catchpole, "Paul John Rylands Library, Vol. 52, 1969-70, pp.437-60; Ch. Perrot, "La tradition apostolique dans See M. Simon, "The Apostolic Decree and its Setting in the Ancient Church", Bulletin of the James and the Apostolic Decree", NTS, Vol. 23, 1976-77, pp.428-44.

See J.M.R. Tillard, Église d'Églises, Paris, 1987, p.380.

See in particular Sermo 46, CCSL 41, 535-57.

Above all the sermon known as "Dolbeau 26", see G. Rémy, "Le Christ Médiateur et Tête de l'Eglisc, selon le Sermon Dolbeau 26 d'Augustin", Revue des Sciences Religieuses, Vol. 72. 147, Paris, 1996, pp.345-441. 1998, pp.3-19; Augustin, Vingt-six Sermons au Peuple d'Afrique, collection of Etudes August

12 Ibid., no. 50, 1211-12 (F. Dolbeau, Vingt-six Sermons au Peuple d'Afrique, p.405). This is the Parmeniani, II, VII-VIII, BA 28. dominus, non in figura, sed iam in veritate, et regis et sacerdotis." See also Contra ep Ungebatur rex, ungebatur sacerdos, ceteri non ungebantur. Utramque personam gereba sacerdotium'. [50]. Tunc unus sacerdos ungebatur, modo christiani omnes unguntur suum. Nam et apostolus Petrus ideo dicit ad ipsam ecclesiam: 'Plebs sancta, regale context "Universa tamen ecclesia corpus est illius sacerdotis. Ad sacerdotem pertinet corpus

13 Ibid., no. 53, 1295

<sup>14</sup> See J. Burkhard, "Sensus fidei: Theological Reflection since Vatican II: 1, 1965-1985, 2, 1985. locale", in Cogitatio fidei, no. 191, Paris, 1995, pp.314-33. 1989," in The Heythrop Journal, Vol. 34, 1993, pp.41-59, 123-36; J.M.R. Tillard, "L'Église

15 "On Consulting the Faithful in Matters of Doctrine", in The Rambler, 1859, pp.219-23, reprinted by J. Coulson, Kansas City, 1961.

<sup>16</sup> See A. Bugnini, "Documenta Pontificia ad Instaurationem liturgicam spectantia (1903-1953)" in Bibl. Ephem. lit., no. 6, Rome, 1953, p.47.

<sup>17</sup> See J.M.R. Tillard, L'Église locale, pp.214-19; the expression "recognized and trusted ministers does not seem to me mavies encouch On this way along to Advisora Dem. 2014, 1000.

célébration du baptême, du mariage et des funerailles confiée à des laïes" (pp.14-25); and P Valdrini, "Fonction de sanctification et charge pastorale" (pp.47-58); ef. also A. van der Helm, Un clergé parallèle, Strasbourg, CERDIC, 1994; B. Sesbotić, "Les Animateurs pastoraux lates.

<sup>18</sup> The Johannine text most often invoked as the basis of this sensus fidei (1 John 2:20-27) supports lettre de S. Jean," Revue biblique, Vol. 56, 1949, pp.364-91 126-44; and M.-E. Boismard, "La connaissance dans l'Alliance Nouvelle d'après la première this reading. See L de la Potterie, "La Vie selon l'Esprit", Una Sancta, Vol. 55, 1965, pp.85-105 Une prospective théologique", Études, no. 337, 1992, pp.253-69.

Most of these texts date from the 1980s. They are "recent" only in the sense tha

# 2. *EPISKOPÉ* AND EPISCOPACY: ORTHODOX PERSPECTIVES

John H. Erickson

The preferred way of approaching theological issues in the East has been described as circular and contemplative, in contrast to the linear and analytical approach of the West. Representative figures like Maximus the Confessor and Gregory Palamas introduce one aspect of the mystery under consideration, often in the form of pithy maxims drawn from the fathers who wave before them, then, without fally developing it, they move on to other aspects, only to return to the initial aspects at a later point, this time from a higher, broader perspective. Theology thus becomes an ascending spiral which circles around the mystery without ever exhausting it. In the observations which follows, is shall adopt this Eastern approach, though whether the figure traced

will be an ascending or a descending spiral I cannot guarantee.
In a survey of the contributions of recent Orthodox-Catholic dialogue to the subject of engls/one and enisconary the most obvious sources remitting comment include.

- of episkope and episcopacy, the most obvious sources requiring comment include

   The 1988 "Valamo Statement" of the Joint International Commission for

  Theological Dialogue between the Catholic and Orthodox Churches ("The
  Sacarment of Order in the Sacarmental Structure of the Church with Particular
  Reference to the Importance of Apostotic Saccession for the Sacardification and
  Unity of the People of God"), along with portions of the Joint International

  Commission's 1982 "Manich Statement" ("The Mystery of the Church and of the

  Bucharist in the Light of the Mystery of the Holy Tritily").
- Responses of the US Orthodox-Catholic Theological Consultation to the "Valamo Statement" and the "Valunich Statement", issued in 1989 and 1983 respectively. Other statements of the US Orthodox-Catholic Consultation, including "The Pastoral Office" (1976); "An Agreed Statement on the Lima Document. Baptism, Exchanges and Ministry" (1989); "Apostolicity us God's Gaffin the Life of the Church" (1986); "An Agreed Statement on Conciliarity and Primacy in the Church" (1986). "An Agreed Statement on Conciliarity and Primacy in the

Orthodox-Catholic dialogue has countinued very little to the subject of episkapse since then. After meeting in Valanen in 1988, the Joint International Commission that been expected to meet next in Munich in 1990 to prepare a statement on "Exclesiological and Camonical Consequences of the Sacramental Structures of the Charch: Conciliantly and Authority in the Charch: By then, however, in the wake of the collapse of communism in Eastern Europe, this dialogue faced new challenges. Discussion turned from relatively abstract issues of ecclesiology to practical issues places from the collapse of communism in Eastern Europe, this dialogue faced new challenges. Discussion turned from relatively abstract issues of ecclesiology to practical issues relating to "uniatism" and proselytism.

is simply the most recent - if most brilliant - of the Orthodox exponents of the episcopacy.5 While it would be no exaggeration to say that Zizioulas has provided the Orthodox contributor both to these "Reflections" and to the work of the Joint basic structure, are taken from the "Orthodox-Roman Catholic Reflections on 46-48 quote Munich II.4 and III.4). Even greater portions of the text, as well as its Some sections consist simply of quotations from the 1982 Munich Statement (paras most "recent" Orthodox-Catholic statements on episkopé and episcopacy leads us fathers, above all to the ante-Nicene fathers. Inevitably, therefore, discussion of the his heirs Zizioulas appeals repeatedly in support of his position to the witness of the "cucharistic ecclesiology" pioneered by Nicholas Afanasiev; and like Afanasiev and most recent Orthodox contribution to the discussion of episkopé and episcopacy, he whose published articles from this period also touch on many aspects of episkopé and International Commission, as well as to BEM, was Metropolitan John Zizioulas, Ministries" published in 1977 on the basis of still earlier discussions. The main back to the ecclesiology of the first centuries of the church But to describe even the 1988 Valamo Statement as "recent" may be misleading

There are two reasons why modern Orthodox presentations relating to episkopsi and episcopacy have concentrated on the period between the New Testament and the Council of Nieca. First, it is argued that this period provides an important, indeed normative, point of reference for ecclesiology and theological reflection. As Zirioutas normative for the Orthodox doctrine." Second, the pattern of episcopacy in this period – subsequent to the unique and unreproducible apostotic age but prior to the establishment of Christianity as a state religion under Constantinier—may be of particulair relevance in our own post-apostotic but also post-Constantinian age. Among other things, it may be more acceptable to non-episcopal communities than the Constantinian pattern which determined the course of the 16th-century debates between Protestants and Catholics."

Within this period, three main aspects of episcopal ministry have been discerned. Each can conveniently be associated with a particular church father. Ignatus of Antioch, Irenaeus of Lyons and Cyprian of Carthage.

For Ignatius, the bishop is showed all the president of the local eucharistic assembly. It is he who gathers the diverse gifts of the local community into unity as they become one body of Christ through participation in the one eucharistic loaf. He is an alter Christus, a living icon who constitutes "the focus and visible centre of unity within the church," who expresses "the fullness, unity and multiplicity of the eschafological community in each place".

- For Iraneaus the bishop is above all an authoritative teacher and winess to the apostolic faith, an alther apostolic. His ourward continuity in succession back to the apostles through his predecessors in the same see "serves as the sign and guarantee of inward continuity in apostolic faith". Thus he is a living link between his local church and the apostles. He expresses "the historical continuity of the church in time". a continuity not merely of structures but of apostolic faith.
- Fire Cypriam, the bishop is part of a worldwide episcopal college, co-responsible with his bother bishops for maintaining the unity and good estate of all the churches. An alter Petrus, he expresses "the communion and unity of the church in space," <sup>18</sup> He is therefore a conciliar being, He possesses the fullness of episcopal grace not in isolation but in union with all the other bishops, and he serves as the bond of unity between his own local churche and all the other local churches

How can these three images of episkope to held together in a coherent synthesis, so that the Ireasen ministry of the word is organically joined to the Ignatian ministry of secrament and not simply parallel to it, so that the Cypnanic ministry of wider oversight is organically joined to both and not simply an external administrative office? This question has been important for the internal life of the churches as several points in their history, especially at times of division and conflict. Today it is significant as the churches strive for reconciliation and mutual recognition of ministries. Whether tacility or explicitly, the churches and the major Christian traditions they represent have abconvoletged the importance of all three perspectives, but drby have brought them together in different ways, sometimes employing differing organizing principles, sometimes emphasizing elements that other traditions have broad characterizations of how the Ignatian, Ieranean and Cyprianic images of episkope have been employed by Orthodox, Protestants and Catholics.

If one were to correlate these early filtness with modern confessional emphases, one might argue that magistrail Protessensiatin has tended to look to Irenease for its inspiration and orientation. Though not preoccupied with certain aspects of historical continuity, such as the maintenance of institutional forms, it has strongly emphasized the role of the ordinated ministry in winness to and proclamation of the apostolic fairly from the postolic acripture. While perhaps willing to admowledge continuity in the apostolic succession of bishops as an important sign of continuity in apostolic fairly. Protestants have generally been unwilling to see it as a guarantee of fairly in Indeed, at the time of the Reformation they were willing to abandon the "instoric episcopate" to the extent that this was experienced as an obstacle to apostolic preaching and faith. Certainly they would reject the tendency in some older presentations of Catholic sacramental theology to look on apostolic succession above all as mechanism for ensuring the preservation of "whill" sacraments, to the point of evaluating it from broader aspects of the life and faith of the church community.

Here we may note a certain affinity with the Orthodox. Often to the bewilderment and amorpance of their countenical partners, the Orthodox have insisted that mutual recognition of sacraments and ministry is inseparable from mutual recognition of faith. Recognition depends not just on agreement about the subject immediately under faith. Recognition depends not just on agreement about the subject immediately under

totality. Thus it is not so surprising that the Bari Statement (1987) of the Catholic-Orthodox Joint International Commission stopped well short of the mutual recognition of baptism that some had been expecting, or that its Valamo Statement (1988) stopped short of mutual recognition of ministry, even though there would appear to be no substantial differences between the churches on either subject.

Roman Catholic presentations of ecclesiology have historically taken their cue from Cyprian's dictum episcopates unit est. Their point of departure has been the unity of episcopate as a collective body, a single college having as its single head the vertitable successor of Peter. While Catholic theologians like Tillacd, Legrand and Konmonchak have adopted many elements of the Ignatian perspective, relating the ministry of the hisbody to the life and faith of the local church, they have done so in the face of an ecclesiological tradition which has tended to value universality over

chressity and particularity.

The continuing strength of the Cyprianic perspective is evident, for example, in the Communionis notio of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, which argues for the pirotity of the universal over the local, as well as the Codex Iuris Carnontic (1983) and the Codex Carnonna Exclesiorum Obernetalium (1990), which are lat more concerned with issues of episcopal colleguility (especially the relationship between primacy and episcopacy) than with the place of the bisbop within the communion of his local church. Archibishop Rembert G. Weakland, Catholic co-communion of his local church. Archibishop Rembert G. Weakland, Catholic co-chairman of the US Catholic-Orthodox Theological Consultation, has observed:

We Roman Catholics use the phrase "Universal Chutch" more often than we realize. It is a phrase that most channelizes or uncelsationized position. Especially since vident for the first threat of the process of the phrase can be with the universal church is greent in the local chutch and realized there. We Roman Catholics almost above begin with the local chutch and the proceed to local manifestations... The Orthodox begin with the universalism and their proceed to local chutch and the eclebration of the exclamits on the local level. The local exhantic community – rather than the concept of a universal chutch – is their string point."

While this characterization of Roman Catholicism scens to me to be on target, I

believe the characterization of Orthodoxy requires some qualification. Certain there have been periods in Orthodox church history when a universal, "Cyptiania" perspective has been present in significant ways. While episcopal conciliarity has perspective has been present in significant ways. While episcopal conciliarity has usually been emphasized more than primacy, some rather papal-sounding statements can be found which call attention to the role of the examenical patienth as hed of a universal episcopate, responsible for shepherding the church understood as a universal organism. Towards the end of the Byzantine period, for example, Partiarch Philothers Coccinus spoke of himself is "leader of all Christians found anywhere in the oiknumene", "protector and guardian of their souls," "the father and teacher of them all", white, because the cannot physically be present everywhere, "chooses the best among men, the nost enument in virtue, establishes and ordains them as pastors, teachers and high priests, and sends them to the end of the universe... so that each, in the country and place appointed him, enjoys territorial rights, and episcopal see, and all the rights of Our Humility".

Over the centuries, Irenaean and Cyprianic elements have never been entirely absent from Orthodox practice and reflection. But they certainly have been overshadowed in modern Orthodox presentations of ecclesiology by the Ignatian

eucharist as revealed in the letter of Ignatius, the Didaché and other early Christian universal". 17 Still, as this statement suggests, his point of departure remains the priority of the local church but in that of the simultaneity of both local and Cyprian, insisting that "the nature of the eucharist points not in the direction of the in contrast to Afanasiev, he tries to hold together the approaches of Ignatius and approach of Ignatius and the historical approach of I Clement and Irenaeus. 16 So also for example, sees in Hippolytus' Apostolic Tradition a synthesis between the iconic to the "universal ecclesiology" of Cyprian. Others have been more nuanced. Zizioulas oppose the "eucharistic ecclesiology" of Ignatius to other approaches, most notably

certain inherent limitations which make their application to modern church life Orthodox (or for that matter Catholic or Protestant) appropriations of Ignatius have Zizioulas. Blame for this should not be laid solely on ponderous officialdom. Modern more influenced by textbook scholasticism than by the works of Afanasiev or for example, one could easily conclude that official church agencies are still much life of the Orthodox churches is more difficult. From the Orthodox responses to BEM ecumenical discussions, reading the impact of eucharistic ecclesiology on the interna-While modern eucharistic ecclesiology has made some significant contributions to

eucharistic ecclesiology caused by the problem 'parish-diocese' will be healed' day the bishop will find his proper place, which is the eucharist, and the rupture in own eucharistic ecclesiology". At this point, he laments, one can only hope that "one Zizioulas, "the Orthodox Church has unconsciously brought about a rupture in its redundant. But by opting for the diocese as the fundamental ecclesial organism, says constitutive elements", ultimately making both deacon and bishop and even laity the image of the church as a community in which all orders are necessary as not the parish". In his view, the emergence of the presbyter-centred parish "destroyed local church as an entity with full ecclesiological status is the episcopal diocese and community. Zizioulas does acknowledge this, insisting against Afanasiev that "the century, the presbyter-centred parish has been the most common locus of Christian example, Afanasiev altogether fails to address the fact that, since the third or fourth New Testament texts or the many developments since the days of Ignatius. For have not taken seriously enough either the ecclesiological diversity one finds in the have been inclined to ignore or dismiss evidence not conforming to that model. They century church order as normative in every detail for all ages and situations, and they Exponents of eucharistic ecclesiology have tended to uphold an idealized second-

them to function primarily as presidents of the eucharist, which is their ministry pa reduce the load of administration which the bishops have at present, thus enabling thus "automatically improve the pastoral quality of the episcopacy", which "would which "would enable bishops really to know their flocks and be known by them" and simple is the remedy Zizioulas proposes: "creation of small episcopal dioceses" hope for restoration of proper wholeness. It is even more surprising to discover how structures so serious that for most of its historical existence it has only been able to It is alarming to learn that the church suffers from a disruption in its most vita

Can re-creation of the Ignatian local church really advance the church's mission

of the local church could and did powerfully proclaim and manifest Christ's victory community. Without a significant infusion of "Irenaean" and "Cyprianic" elements, today's "global village" might well perpetuate and exacerbate these divisions, by over the divisions of this fallen world. But simply replicating those structures in changed so dramatically? In the context of the ancient polis, the eucharistic structures into "smaller dioceses"). At the very least, it would result in wasteful duplication of - for surely a megalopolis like Mexico City or Athens would have to be broken up witness and action on broader levels (the world, the nation, the region, even the city replication of "Ignatian" structures would inevitably lead to an absence of common identifying the church with the special interests of this or that natural, purely human

examining the relevant texts in greater detail, it is important to note the broader role, particularly in the work of the Joint International Commission. But before that eucharistic ecclesiology, especially as articulated by Zizioulas, plays a prominent integrating the perspectives of Ignatius, Irenaeus and Cyprian? It is hardly surprising programmes. theological context into which these texts situate their discussion of ministry and How has "recent" Orthodox-Catholic dialogue responded to the challenge of

episcopacy.

Both the 1982 Munich Statement and the 1988 Valamo Statement, echoing points episcopal ministry. In contrast to "Christomonistic" approaches which see the church conditioned Christology for a proper understanding of ecclesiology and therefore of made elsewhere by Zizioulas, draw attention to the importance of a pneumatologically ecclesial ministry is necessarily charismatic. For the same reason, it is relational for Unity, p. 132). Because Christ's ministry is present to us only through the Spirit, in a past which is increasingly distant from us. He "is present through the Spirit, in situation. As the anointed one of God, Christ is not an isolated individual who lived attention to the Spirit's role in constituting the church in each new moment and chiefly in terms of the structures instituted by Christ long ago, the statements call eschatological dimension. By the power of the Spirit it builds up the church so as to community that in his new state after ordination he cannot be conceived in himself at communion, ordination binds the ordained person so deeply and existentially with the nature. As Zizioulas writes, "In the light of love and in the context of the notion of to debate whether ordained ministry in the church is functional or ontological in transforms both the one ordained and those for whom he is ordained, making it futile The nexus of relationships established by the Spirit creates a new way of being, which the church, his body, from which he cannot be separated" (Valamo, sec. 9, The Quest final realities, the foretaste of God's kingdom" (Valamo, sec. 22, The Quest for reveal in it the space and time of this world as "the anticipated manifestation of the all - he has become a relational entity." Finally, ecclesial ministry has an

help to correct distortions possible in the Ignatian, Irenaean and Cyprianic approaches A proper understanding of the relationship of pneumatology to Christology can

 Without a pneumatological perspective, the "episcopo-centrism" of Ignatius can in the local church are suppressed, supplanted or simply ignored, so that the too easily become "episcopo-monism", in which the diverse other gifts of the Spirit

ar and course of all eniritual gifts

rather than the one who discerns their presence and authorizes their use for the upbuilding of the community.

- Without a pneumatological perspective, the Irenaean apostolic succession can too easily be reduced to the historical transmission of authority through hands on heads, ignoring the importance of ecclesial context or even the apostolic faint healf. Similarly, the Irenaean insistence on apostolic faith can too easily be reduced to the maintenance and mechanical transmission of the faith, as though it were an mert deposit rather than a living confession.
- Without a preumatological perspective, the Cypriantic emphasis on the unity of the episcopate can too easily detach the episcopate from the whole body of the aithful, placing it over and above the church rather than in its midst, and can degenerate into an insistence on institutional uniformity, to the detriment of true conciliarity. I consider the great metric of Cyndoocx-Catholic dadlogue to be this recognition of the importance of pneumatology for ecclesiology. Among other things, it has made possible a bulanced and coherent understanding of the relationship of the one and the many (Ignatius), the local and the universal (Cyprian), the historical and the sechatological (frenaeus). One of the fluits of this approach is the 1982, Munich Statement, especially Sections II and III (The Quest for Unity, pp 57-64), a text which metrits repeated reading.

the Spirit and the Word... put into practice the vocation and the mission received if koinonia is also the response of men and women who, "in the faith which comes from each one according to his rank, is leitourgos". While being the gift of the triune God the Spirit" (II.2, The Quest for Unity, p.58). Of this koinonia, "the entire assembly 'announces' the event of the mystery but also because it actually realizes it today ir and reconciliation. It is also kerygmatic, "not only because the celebration newness of the last times through continuing repentance and confession, conversion with him as one body. This koinonia is eschatological, in that it anticipates the human community. It is a koinonia which most fully realizes itself in the eucharistic assembly of the local church, gathered around the bishop or the priest in communion is a "local" reality, "placed" in the midst of the world to be the prototype of renewed Quest for Unity, p.58). At the same time, the church is not an abstraction. Rather, it its origin and its purpose in the mystery of God, one in three Persons" (II.1, The sociological categories. It is above all a sacramental reality which "finds its model The Quest for Unity, p.59). baptism: to become living members, in one's proper rank, of the body of Christ" (II.2 The church, the Munich Statement argues, cannot be understood simply in

Within this context of communion, the bishop exercises a ministry which is "not merely a taticat or pragmatic function (because a president is necessary) but an organic function" which is "closely bound to the excharistic assembly over which he presides" (I.3, The Quest for Unity, p. 39). Within the communion of the local church, the bishop "cleivers the word of salvation and the excharistic gifts", but he also "receives" from his church, which is faitfulful to tradition, the word he transmits". The bishop also "smads at the heart of the local church as minister of the Spirit to dissern the charisms and take care that they are exercised in harmony, for the good of all, in faitfulmess to the apostolic tradition. He puts limself at the service of the initiatives of the Spirit so that nobling may prevent them from contributing to

After thus developing the Ignatian aspect of the bishop's ministry, the Munich Statement considers the Iranaean question of apostolic succession. The communion of the bishop and his community "ites within the community" (II.4, The Quest for Unity, p.60).

In the ancient tradition, the bishop elected by the people—who guarantee his spotable links, in condomity with what the local dourned confessors receives the ministerial guess of Charles by the Sparit in the proper of the assembly and by the laying on of lands (\*Autronamo) of the neighbourney bishops, witnesses of the faith of their own churches. His charman, coming desord from the Sparit of Good, is given him in the apposolicity of his church (finiced to the faith of the apostolic community) and in that of the other churches represented by their bishops.

Thus apostolic succession means more than a mere transmission of powers. "It is a succession in a church which witnesses to the apostolic faith, in communion with the other churches which witness to the same apostolic faith."

celebration of the local church and the communion of all the local churches as the one of the Holy Spirit for the episkopé of the entire church." Thus, "the episkopé for the church. When, by the sacrament of ordination, he receives the charism of the Spirit each bishop cannot separate the care for his own church from that of the universal Unity, p.63). "Because the one and only church is made present in his local church, episkopé of the local churches to the college of the apostles" (III.4, The Quest for "Attachment to the apostolic communion binds all the bishops together, linking the churches are related in their common responsibility for episkopé in the church is a communion of local churches flows the understanding of how the bishops of the baptism" (III.1, The Quest for Unity, p.61). From this understanding that the church the same unique body of Christ into which they have been integrated by the same from the fact that all by eating the same bread and sharing in the same cup become body of Christ (cf. Cyprian). The ontological identity of the local churches "comes in communion with one another". universal church is seen to be entrusted, by the Spirit, to the totality of local bishops for the episkapé of one local church, his own, by that very fact he receives the charism The Munich Statement then turns to the relationship between the eucharistic This selection of quotations from the Munich Statement suggests its theological

nchness It challenges not only Orthodox and Catholics but also others engaged in the cumenical enterprise to go beyond familiar institutional and juridical conceptions, beyond a "Christomonistic" approach to ecclesiology. At the same time, the careful reader may well ask how closely the situation described in the text entually corresponds to the life of either the Orthodox or the Catholic Church. For example, while "in the ancient tradition" the bishop may have been "elected by the people—while "in the ancient tradition" the bishop may have been "elected by the people—who guarantee his apostolic faith, in conformity with what the local clurch who guarantee his apostolic faith, in conformity with what the local clurch confesses," is this in fact the case today?

Similarly, one may ask whether the pneumatological perspective professed by the

Similarly, one may saw whether the plantament of the superior of its work. An Joint International Commission has fully pretrated all aspects of its work. An extended example from its Valamo Statement may illustrate this point.

After discussing "Christ and the Holy Spirit" (section I) and "The Priesthood in the Divine Economy of Salvation" (section II), the statement goes on to "The Ministry of the Bishop, Presbyter and Deacon" (section III). The statement emphasism in the

lacking "apostolic succession" as it has been experienced in those two churches. On

cacharistic synaxis" (pana. 24, The Quest for Unity, p. 153). But notwithstanding the subtile of this section of the statement, presbyters and deacons are traued only very briefly (in paras 41-43, The Quest for Unity, pp. 138f.). Reference is made to other charisans in the church only once, when the optic mortance of the "particular charisans" of women "for the building up of the body of Christ" is mentioned (pana. 2, Arrivator of vomen "for the building up of the body of Christ" is mentioned (pana. 2). The chartest remain faithful to the historical and theological tradition according to which they ordain only men to the priestly munistry".

The Vallamo Statement is centainly "episcopo-centric", though it would be ungracious to call if "episcopo-monistic." The problem is that it fails to situate episcopacy within a broader and more comprehensive understanding of ministry. Symptomatic of this failure is its inconsistent use of the term minister/ministry. As the US Orthodox-Confolioi Consultation points out in its critique of the Valamo Statement at some points" all the haptized faithful are seen as exactising diverse ministries. At other points a distinction is implied between this general ministry of all the faithful and that of the ordained. In other cases, ministry/minister can mean only the ordained, and in at least one instance... it can mean only the one who assembles the community and presides in the celebration of the scarements" (pan a.) the Quest for Vollay, p. 145). "By relying so theavily on encharistic ecclesiology, with its essentially cultic view of ministry, the Valamo Statement missed an opportunity to develop more fully the pineumatological perspective which it seterities in its

community and not only an isolated individual, ordained bishop" (para. 45, The Statement, the Commission affirms that "the apostolic tradition concerns the and episcopal ordination. In the Valamo Statement, as in the earlier Munich it be regarded as inconsequential, or does it represent the "bottom line" of the Join Reflections on Ministry". Why was this sentence interpolated at this point? Should the text had been following practically verbatim the 1977 "Orthodox-Roman Catholic of the imposition of hands" (para. 27, The Quest for Unity, p. 136). Up to this point it is accomplished by the glorified Lord in the power of the Holy Spirit at the momen for the incorporation of the newly elected person in the episcopal communion is that of the Valamo Statement's discussion of episcopal ordination: "What is fundamental heads understanding of apostolic succession. Also striking is the concluding sentence apostles" (para. 3, The Quest for Unity, p.59). This appears to revert to a hands-onexistence of an uninterrupted series of episcopal ordinations, beginning from the holy consecration effected by bishops who themselves have received this gift, thanks to the bishop receives the gift of episcopal grace (1 Tim. 4:14) in the sacrament of Equally infelicitous is a sentence not yet quoted from the Munich Statement: "The (para. 49, The Quest for Unity, p.140; cf. para. 40, The Quest for Unity, p.138). told that "through his ordination each bishop becomes successor of the apostles' a less-nuanced conception, or at least to a now-dated vocabulary. For example, we are Quest for Unity, p.139). But sometimes the Valamo Statement appears to revert to Ignatius to its appropriation of Irenaeus, to its understanding of apostolic succession Let us now turn from the Joint International Commission's appropriation of

International Commission's thinking on "valid orders"?

This question has considerable coumenical importance, since its implications go

the one hand the US Orthodox-Catholic consultation stated in its own 1986 study of "Apostolicity as God's Gift in the Life of the Church" that "apostolicity scene to consist more in fidelity to the apostles' proclamation and mission than in any one form of handing on community office" (para 10, The Quest for Unity, p. 138; cf. the US critique of the Valamo Statement, para 2.5, The Quest for Unity, p. 149). This statement would appear to leave room for further discussion of how the community office can be handed on without compromising apostolicity. The Joint International Commission on the other hand, seems more inclined to pit the Orthodox-Catholic understanding and experience of apostolic succession against that of other traditions. Consider these two passages from the Joint Commission's § 1993 Balamand Statement on "unabstam":

on embedding the properties of the control of th

Everyone should be informed of the apostolic succession of the other church and the authenticity of its sacramental life (para. 30, *The Quest for Unity*, p.181).

While these passages were written as part of an effort to resolve an exceptional crisis in Orthodox-Carhoic relations and while the statement in which they occur was not part of the Commission's original agenda, they do suggest that Carholics and Orthodox may have difficulty maintaining their professed pneumatological perspective on ecclesiology when they leave the rarefied realm of pure theological discussion for the real world of interchurch relations.

While the work of the US Orthodox-Catholic Theological Consultation has been

opening paragraphs.

less voluminous than that of the Joint International Commission and has not directly addressed the subject of episcopacy, it may have implications for the broader issues

naised in this paper.

raised in this paper.

At many points the statements of the US Consultation reveal the same lignatiar
At many points the statements of the Joint International Commission
perspective as we have seen in those of the Joint International Commission
Sometimes however they sound a more trenatem note, especially in the 1986 agreect
Sometimes however they sound a more trenatem note, especially in the 1986 agreect

representative" (para. 5, The Quest for Unity, p.126). But in addition to this to be authorized by the risen Lord, through its continuing structures, as his legitimate freedom to act authentically on behalf of the one who sent them'] in history, continues mission [namely, that of the apostles, who were 'endowed with the authority and "we call the church apostolic first of all because the church continues to share this statement on "Apostolicity as God's Gift in the Life of the Church". It affirms that Sometimes however they sound a more Irenaean note, especially in the 1986 agreed fidei is not "an inert object, relayed in purely mechanical fashion from generation to not mean simply that its content has been received from the apostles. The deposition Quest for Unity, pp.126f.). So also, when we speak of our faith as apostolic, we do has been received from the past and by what is awaited at the last day" (para. 7, The discipline or in the eucharist itself - is being molded, corrected and governed by what the life of the church - whether expressed in authoritative teaching, in judgment and historical aspect, apostolicity has an eschatological dimension, so that "here and now Rather, it remains a living confession, both content and act. In the life of the church generation by duly authorized ministers" (para. 8, The Quest for Unity, p.127).

confession we too become bearers of the church's apostolicity" (para. 9, The Quesi share by baptism in the royal and prophetic priesthood, so also by this baptismal no means unique to or limited to the realm of hierarchical ministry. For just as we receiving and giving back the church's apostolic faith. "Apostolicity therefore is by

resolved by the Orthodox-Catholic dialogue. or recognized pre-eminence of one bishop among his episcopal colleagues" (para. 6, dependent and mutually limiting, which have exercised the strongest influence or with one another". The text goes on to add that "the two institutions, mutually Cyprianic conception of episcopal ministry remains the greatest problem still to be The Quest for Unity, pp.153f.). Holding together these two important aspects of the the gathering of bishops and other appointed local leaders in synods, and the primacy maintaining the ordered communion of the churches since apostolic times, have been the institutions which keep local communities in an ordered and loving communion but the same Spirit who sets in order the local church also "manifests his presence in love and a safeguard of unity in faith and life" (para. 5, The Quest for Unity, p.153); community "permanent offices of leadership have been established... as a service of locally and universally, is the locus of ordered charisms. Within the local eucharistic agreed statement on "Conciliarity and Primacy in the Church". The church, both and assure the unity of the churches in their apostolic confession" (para. 11, The Quest for Unity, p.128)? The US Consultation returned to this question in its 1989 Within this broader context, what can be said of "those structures which attest to

as well as in the critical observations by the US Consultation on the ministry section Their agreement is evident in the common affirmations set forth in those documents bishops of Rome" ("Conciliarity and Primacy", para. 7, The Quest for Unity, p.154) in dispute is "the particular form of primacy among the churches exercised by the understanding and practice of ministry, including episcopal ministry. The chief point Catholic dialogue suggests, the two churches for the most part share a common As this perusal of documents resulting from international and US Orthodox-

with the episkopé of the community; and the presidency of the eucharist exclusively by holders in the episcopal ministry; the exclusive conferral of ordination by those entrusted aspects of ordained ministry that we consider normative for the church's life and structure In general, the document BEM presents as possible, even laudable opinions, certain an ordained minister.. These normative aspects include the threefold ministry; the historical succession of office

church (Quest for Unity, p.74) church, we affirm that episcopal office is a constitutive element of the structure of the In addition to the document's emphasis on episkopé as necessary ministry in the

engaged in the quest for Christian unity and may enrich future discussion of thi Orthodox-Catholic dialogue may provide some new perspectives for all who are wealth of theological reflection on ministry offered in the principal documents of aspects of ministry as normative, may indeed be difficult to achieve. Nevertheless, the that wider reconciliation, encompassing those groups which do not regard such central ecumenical issue The fact that Catholics and Orthodox can make such statements together suggests

- Conference, 1996, pp.131-42 and 53-64 respectively. Crestwood NY, St Vladimir's Seminary Press, and Washington DC, United States Catholic and John H. Erickson, eds, The Quest for Unity: Orthodox and Catholics in Dialogue, Most conveniently available in English, with further bibliographical orientation, in John Borelli
- Ibid,. pp.152-55 and 65-88 respectively
- Ibid., pp.120-24, 125-30 and 152-55 respectively
- "Reflexions de théologiens Orthodoxes et Catholiques sur les ministères", La Documentation Aristis and Olivier Clement. These discussions appear to have been undertaken in order to "jump-(now of Switzerland), Ion Bria, John Zizioulas and, in the earlier meetings, Bishop Vassilios of Catholique, no. 1738, 9 March 1978, pp.262-65 (Engl. tr. in Origins, pp.702-704). Catholic start" official international Orthodox-Catholic dialogue. Martelet and Jean-Marie Tillard; Orthodox included Metropolitan Damaskinos of Tranoupolis participants in these discussions included Charles Moeller, Pierre Duprey, Louis Bouyer, Gustave
- These include "La continuité aves les origines apostoliques dans la conscience théologique des A Brief Survey of the Evidence", in Episkopé and Episcopate in Ecumenical Perspective, Vienna, 1985, pp.23-38. pp.484-501; "The Bishop in the Theological Doctrine of the Orthodox Church", Kanon, Vol. 7, Geneva, WCC, 1979; "Episkopé et Episkopos dans l'Eglise primitive", Irénikon, Vol. 56, 1983. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1985, pp.171-208), "Episkopé and Episkopos in the Early Church Quarterly, Vol. 19, 1975, pp.75-108; repr. in Being as Communion, Crestwood, NY, SI Orthodox Theology: Towards a Synthesis of Two Perspectives", St Vladimir's Theological Eglises orthodoxes", Istina. Vol. 19, 1974, pp.65-94 (Eng. tr. "Apostolic Continuity and
- "The Bishop in the Theological Doctrine of the Orthodox Church", p.26
- pp.1-2. Cf. Kallistos Ware, "Patterns of Episcopacy in the Early Church and Today, An Orthodox View", in Peter Moore, ed., Bishops, But What Kind? Reflections on Episcopacy, London, SPCK, 1982,
- Ibid., p.2
- 9 Zizioulas, "The Bishop in the Theological Doctrine of the Orthodox Church", p.35
- 11 Zizioulas, loc. cit., p.35 10 Ware, loc. cit., p.12.
- <sup>13</sup> On this subject see my paper "The Code of Canons of the Oriental Churches (1990): A Development Favoring Relations between the Churches?", Salamanca, 1996; forthcoming in The Jurist and elsewhere in translation.
- 14 "Roman Catholic and Orthodox Dialogue: The Larger Picture", Ecumenism, Vol. 107, 1992
- <sup>15</sup> Letter to the Russian princes, in Miklosich and Mueller, Acta et diplomata, Vienna, 1860, p.521 On "Eastern papism", see A. Pavlov, "Teoriia vostochnogo papisma v novieshei russkoi literature kanonicheskogo prava", Pravoslavnoe Obozrenite, 1879.
- In "Episkopé and Episkopos in the Early Church", loc. cit
- 17 "The Local Church in a Perspective of Communion", in Being as Communion, p.133; cf. his more fully articulated statement of the same point in "Apostolic Continuity and Orthodox Theology", loc. cit.
- 18 "Ordination and Communion". Study Encounter, Vol. 6, no. 4, p.190

### 92 Episkopé and Episcopacy

19 Cf. also the US Consultation's comments about the earlier Munich Statement: "The text should The relation of the bishop and the presbyter is not sufficiently addressed" (para. 10, The Quest between the bishop's ministerial priesthood and that of all the faithful is not adequately explored reference to the priesthood proper of all the faithful would have been in order. The relation have discussed the diversity of ministries within the one body (cf. II.1, para. 4); likewise, some

## 3. THE POST-DENOMINATIONALISM OF THE CHINESE CHURCH

Gao Ying

society in general early 20th century; (2) the emphasis on national unity in the early 1950s in Chinese from two main factors: (1) the ecumenical ideal among the Protestants of China in the The "post-denominationalism" which characterizes the church in China derives

### Historical factors in China to begin to emphasize the need for interdenominational cooperation and about apostolic succession or historic episcopacy. This made it easier for Christians particular denomination. Very few Christians, even among the clergy, had ever heard Protestants no question ever arose regarding the validity of the ministry of any it relatively easy to give up denominationalism. For instance, among Chinese 1840. Consequently, denominational loyalty was weak, and Chinese Christians found Denominational history in China was short, beginning after the Opium War ir

church unity. substantiated by the testimonies of a number of missionaries, including Bishop Logan and undermined visible Christian unity in a non-Christian country such as China communities as something which detracted from the missionary witness of the church much of the 20th century. Already in 1907 the declaration on church unity from the John 17:20-21. This text shaped ecumenical thinking among Chinese Protestants for That the desire for unity was taking shape among the Christians in China is Centenary Conference in Shanghai questioned the proliferation of separate Christian The theological point of departure was Jesus' prayer for his disciples recorded in

Roots, who linked the question of Christian mission to that of patriotism:

The leading Christians of China undoubtedly believe that one reason why they should be

greatest service to their country, and therefore Christian zeal has become to many a matter of patriotic obligation.

The idea of Christian unity was thus very much on the minds of the Chinese delegates who gathered in Edinburgh three years later to attend the first World Missionary Conference. Dr Cheng Jing-yi, already one of the outstanding commenical leaders of this generation, addressed the question of unity as something which should be seen as essential in the Christian defeation movement in order to strengthen the church in the service of the Chinese nation and more effectively to propagate the Christian gaspel. He spoke from the floor about the importance of Christian unity in his famous seven-minute speech:

Since the Chinese Christians have eployed the sweetness of such a unity, we long for more, and look forward for yet greater things. Speaking plainly, we hope to see, in the near future, a united Christian church without any decommandoral distinctions. This may seem somewhat poculiar to some of you, but, friends, do not freget to view us from our standpoint, and if you fail to do thin, the Chinese will remain always as a mysterious restandpoint, and if you fail to do they he chinese will remain always as a mysterious to

Both Roots and Cheng recognized that Christian unity was important in China for practical as well as theological reasons, but that this unity was not one founded on Western terms. Neither the Western powers nor the denominational structures could determine the pattern of national or Christian unity in China, as developments over the next forty years would clearly inclinate. The increasing examental activity during those decades did bear fruit in this regard, the formation of the Holy Catholic Church of China (Anglican). The National Christian Council and the Church of Christ in August 1997.

The Protestant missionary movement of the 19th century paved the way for the ecumenical movement which came to fruition in the 20th century.<sup>4</sup>

### Social impact

Ecumenical unity as such was never articulated as a goal of the Three-Self Patriotic Movement, the Christian mass movement launched in the early 1950s in search of Chinese Christians's selfhood and independence. No meeting was held to discuss doctrinal differences or to promote organic union. Yet there was increasing practical cooperation among Christians working together, due to the concrete needs of the situation.'

After the formation of the Poople's Republic of China in 1949, a fervent desire emerged among the people all over the country for national unity, especially since China had been so divided by both Western colonial powers and civil lords, and the people had suffered under "semi-colonial" and "semi-feudalistic" rule. The idea of motional unity became the slogan occupywhere. The call "With one heart and concerted efforts, build up a new united China" was head thoroughout China in those days. Because national unity was not only emphasized by the government but was also

very much in the people's minds, questions arose among Protestant Christians as to why similar Christian groups were not united. Under these circumstances, the church groups found it difficult to justify their separateness before the world. The disumly of the churches also posed serious practical problems for the Protestant churches of the churches also posed serious practical problems for the Protestant churches

that shared common concerns. This was reinforced by the foreign identity of Chinese churches, whose divisions seemed to recall the competition among the various powers in "semi-colonain" China. It was from this point of view that was interpreted in terms of "Love-Country-Love-Church".

Chinese Protestants thus came to criticize their own disunity theologically, arguing that an unwillingness to practise tolerance and forgiveness was an expression of narrow self-centredness and arrogant individualism.

In the meantime some form of cooperation between denominations was becoming absolutely necessary. As Bishop K.H. Ting pointed out, "The most important thing at present is not unity but whole-hearded cooperation and close relations plus mutual love. Everyone must first put aside their prejudices so that we may have something of mutual folerance." The assumption was that Protestants could not come together all at once, but they could at least practice tolerance, forgeneuss and mutual respect all at once, but they could at least practice tolerance, for the unity at that time was based on the principle of "mutual respect in matters of faith". On one level mutual respect could be understood as the ecumenical counterpart of "seeking the common ground while reserving differences."

The practical concerns facing the institutional churches and the long-standing desire for a united Protestantism interacted, and Chinese Protestants became increasingly unified in the 1950s. Although separate denominational structures would be maintained at least through 1958, the necessity of Christian cooperation made to maintained at least through 1958, the necessity of Christian cooperation and the maintained at least through 1958, the necessity of Christian cooperation.

mcreasingly imited in the 1900s, Chandago Septians Consequentian made be maintained at least through 1958, the necessity of Christian cooperation made them increasingly insignificant in the life of the Protestant community. Given the short history of chanountationalism, which diminished Christians Chandinational loyalty even before 1949, longether with the impact of Chanese society on the church after 1949, the feeling of disinterestedness in denominational identity grew among Chinese Christians Chinese Protestant leaders had been saying for years that there was no justification for denominationalism in China, that denominations that there was no justification for denominationalism in China, that denominations were "imports" and in no way intrinsic to Christian witness. So when the end finally came, it should not have come as a surprise to anyone.

On the whole, it was mostly non-theological and non-ecclesiastical factors which contributed to the entry of the Chinese clurch onto the post-denominational stage. Forty years later, the key principle to which Chinese Christians now adhere in remaining post-denominational is still that of "mutual respect in matters of faith and worship." This principle ensures that denominational particularities are not obliterated but respected and maintained. The pattern is that of "mity without uniformity". Under this principle, services of congregational worship are held both on Sundays and Sabbuth days, baptism is by immersion as well as by sprinkling in several historical traditions to suit the habits and lishings of different Christians. In the realm of church order, besides the two traditional Anglican bishops K.H.

Ting and Stephen Shen-yin Wang, two commercial bishops were consecrated in 1988. They did not play an administrative role as diocesan bishops. As Bishop Ting pointed out in the semon he preached on the occasion of their consecration, bishops have their authority, but this is based not on any written constitutional sipulation or executive position, but on their spiritual, moral, theological and pastoral ministry. The better they serve, the greater their authority and the people's readiness to listen. The more democratic they are, the more powerful are their appeals.

Unfortunately, the two newly consecrated bishops have passed away in recent years. I understand that at present some of the leaders do not see if to ordain new bishops. In my personal opinion, the China Christian Council, which has elected a new president and chairperson of the Three Self Patriotic Movement, and whose prestige needs to be built up, should not be overshadowed by a new bishop.

### Some concerns

## Obstacles to church unity Our unity in the China Christi

Our unity in the China Christian Council is still "post-denominational". It is not yet the unity of a United Church of Christ of China. As noted earlier, unity does not mean uniformity in the practice of Chinese Protestantism. There are still historical and theological differences that separate Chinese Christian communities from one another, although these differences are not as sharp as they once were, nor are they reinforced by separate demoninational structures. For example, Seventh-day Adventists in Otonger trisst on being called Adventists, but they do meet for worship on Saturdays Baptists continue with their practice of Euptism by immersion, and indigenous church groups continue to worship in their own way. "Such diversity is a good thing.

The main obstacle to our church unity is the absence of a common understanding of the church and of the ministry. There is a small but strong group of Christians who call themselves the Little Flock, which can be found in almost all parts of China. They do not believe in ordination or in accepting any leadership by women on the ground of their interpretation of the priesthood of all believers. They disapprove of the idan of any clergy and, ecclesiologically, recognize nothing beyond the local group of the saved as "church". They are happy to stay within the China Christian Council, but they reject the idea of going one step further to form the United Church of China with an ordained ministry and nationwide organization.

Some years ago there was a proposal from certain church leaders that the Seventhday Adventists, the Little Flock and the True Jesus Christ Church should be allowed
to re-establish their denominations. We would still be post-denominational in the
sames that Presbyeriams, Lutherams, Methodists, Episcopalians and so on would be
sonse that presbyeriams. In other words, there would be four denominations in China,
one large and three small. But most of the church leaders considered that this would
the a step backwards, and the proposal was not accepted.

Thus, post-denominational unity is as fir as we can go at present. The non-thrological and non-exclesisatical factors obliged us to move too quickly min the post-denominational stage – hence we are left with many unsolved problems. Although we are aware of the fragility of our unity, we do not want to do things that nitrue, and we wait for the guidance of the Holy Spirit to show us the next step forward.

# 2. Implementation of "mutual respect"

One has to recognize that different theological, liturgical and devotional inclinations continued to exist among Christians even when denominationalism was abandoned. Hence the need for mutual respect. However, mutual respect in matter steped in matter of faith and worship is sometimes easier said than done. Those in the mainrier and of faith and worship is sometimes easier said than done. Those in the mainrier and

minority characteristics and particularities. Mutual respect is a principle that calls for deep Christian love in its implementation.

The opening up of China to the outside world has tested the strength of our post-denominational unity. The return of more institutionalized forms of church life has also mean a nerowed interest in denominations among some Christians. So far there is no sign on the part of those of any denominational background to restore their denominations. Seventh-day Adventists abroad did try to restore Seventh-day Adventism in China, and a few years ago they went as far as the formation of a China Council, located in Hong Kong. But this venture came to nothing when it was

opposed by former Seventh-day. Adventist leaders in China.

As far as we can see in the Chinese church, those who do not feel quite at home in

As far as we can see in the Chinese church, those who do not feel quite af low

our post-denominational existence are mostly from three groups—the Little Flock,

the Seventh-day Adventists and members of the former Thrue Jesus Church. A leak of

the attention to their particular characteristics produced in them in a tendency

oxaggerate certain special points in the content of their faith to the neglect of our

commonality in the basic faith in Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour.

## 3. Theoretical formation of unity

Historically, the Chinese are known for their spirit of tolerance. In the third century B.C. E., for example, Mencius maintained that human nature is good while Nun-zi maintained that human nature is vol. Later thinkers sought to mediate these two opposite positions. All these different positions were acceptable among the classic Confucian scholars. There was no attempt to rule which one was to be the orthodoxy with the others being heresics. Among Chinese Buddhists, different interpretations of Buddhist faith were also permissible. There has been no sent of ultimate authority on matters of faith in Chinese Conticianism, Taoism or Buddhism. The question was not even raised in the intellectual listory of China.

this spirit of folerance, the China Christian Council embodies a very wide range of unity, exclasiologically and theologically. We are thankful to God for that muty which includes for all practical purposes all Protestants in the country, but we are also aware that human weakness and pride may sometimes result in a lack of creativity for that human weakness and pride may sometimes result in a lack of creativity for the sake of manifacing the unity itself. A theological articulation of Christian unity was not desirable in the beginning, because any theological controversy would have been divisive.

in China was not achieved through a lengthy process of theological discussion and planning. Beginning in 1951, it took place almost all at once, both as a result of the common Christian confession of "one Lord, one faith, one baptism" and of the practical and concrete needs of the churches.

The Christian church in China has been unusually blessed by the guidance of the Holy Spirit in the past five decades. This experience will in time find expression theologically to the emichament of truly trinitarian Christian faith. The church will also need to interpret its unity more clearly. The point is not to argue for a rigidly defined confessional orthodoxy that would go against the grain of Chinese Christian.

experience up to this point, but to articulate a theological and ecclesiological centre

many things in too short a time. church and from our early Western missionary colleagues not to attempt to do too

## Formation for ecumenical sharing

of serious study. When friends talk with us personally, they usually have a lot of good developments in China seem not yet to have been regarded as a phenomenon worthy discussions in Faith and Order over the past years. We believe that it is a pity that the churches. At the same time, the China Christian Council has been watching Churches as a full member church and not as an associate (national) council of document. Thus it was quite correct for it to be admitted to the World Council or grown, as shown by the publication in 1992 of its generally accepted Faith and Order a service agency to all Protestant Christian groups in China, its ecclesial nature has things to say about our post-denominationalism, but we do not know how many of churches because Chinese Protestantism is post-denominational. While it aims to be The China Christian Council is different from a national council of denominationa

beginning. We like to think that ours is an experiment on behalf of the worldwide an important one for world Christianity. Of course, nothing is perfectly right from its them are taking it seriously. Christians in China do think that the step which the church in China has taken is

us by channeling experiences of other churches to us understand better the post-denominationalism of the Chinese church, but also to help consultation. It is my hope that this sharing will not only help other churches to experiment, offering this opportunity to share with you at this Faith and Order Therefore, it is appreciated that the WCC now pays attention to the Chinese

- Anderson and Ferrier, 1910, Vol. 8, p.83 World Missionary Conference, Co-operation and the Promotion of Unity, Edinburgh, Oliphant
- Cf. Philip L. Wickeri, Seeking the Common Ground, Maryknoll NY, Orbis, 1988, pp.217-25.

4 Ibid., pp. 216-25

Ibid., pp. 223-42

- "On the Unity of the Church", TF, no. 218, 17 June 1950, p.271 Ibid., pp. 215-26
- 8 Wickeri, op. cit., pp.215-30.

9 Ibid., pp.216-27

- 10 Ibid., pp.216-27
- 11 Ibid., pp.233-42
- 13 Church Unity and Ecumenicity", an interview with Bishop K.H. Ting by Claudia and Gotthard 12 Ibid., pp.233-42

### 4. EPISKOPÉ AND EPISCOPACY **BILATERAL DIALOGUES** IN SOME RECENT

Stephen Sykes

befindliches Amt") (B7). A further theological conference on episkopé and gemeinsamer geistlicher Aufsicht zu schaffen")(B2), did not so fully bring into being which explicitly agreed "to establish forms of joint oversight" ("Formen churches of Finland, Iceland, Norway, Sweden, Estonia and Latvia on the basis of the to be agreed by representative church bodies, specifically involve the transcending of independently but not without wider consultation, has produced with the Evangelical developments impinged on its common life. And the Episcopal Church in the USA Fellowship invited Anglicans into their conversations, conscious that these participant at least) to make important progress. Meanwhile, the Leuenberg Church episcopacy between the Meissen partners has taken place, and seemed (to one "a reconciled, common ministry" ("ein gemeinsames, in vollem Einklang Declaration between the Church of England and the German Evangelical Churches. Porvoo Common Statement and Declaration (1993). The earlier (1991) Meissen Scotland) has recently established a communion of churches with the Lutheran old disagreements about episkopé and episcopacy. Communion" and "Concordat of Agreement". These developments, which have yet Lutheran Church in America "The Implications of the Gospel" (1988), "Towards Full The Church of England (with the episcopal churches of Ireland, Wales and

movement. In my view these developments represent no small achievement. The they were possible and on their significance for the future of the ecumenical the fact that it has not so far proved possible for the Church of Denmark and the issues are not minor ones, nor are the agreements uncontroversial - as is shown by These developments are well-known. Here I shall offer some reflections on why

outcome of the Concordat has yet to be determined. But I can personally testify to the change of relationship which has come about as a result of these developments in Northern Europe and North America, and the outcomes can be offered to other churches in fellowship and prayer for their discernment and reception.

### Reasons for success

There are three principal reasons for the success of these developments:

 The relatively light incidence of authoritative, traditional material on episkopé and episcopacy and the absence of any official condemnations.

In this area, Anglicans and Lutherans have made the furthest advances, Anglicans and United churches the least the rext best, and Anglican and Reformed churches the least other least the since the European Reformation. But as a group these churches are all churchers since the European Reformation. But as a group these churches are all churcherized by relarively uncomplicated traditions. The purncipal Anglican sources for the doctrine of episkopé and episcopacy are the scripture and the ordinal(s). Neither articles nor canon law contain more than occasional hints shout the nature and practice of episkopé and episcopacy. On the Lutheran side, although the interpretation of Articles V, VI and XXVIII of the Confessio Angustomar raise formidable questions, there is no explicitly ann-piscopal reaching. Both the interpretation of Reformed confessions are clear and emphatic about the abuse of power (domination) in the church, and affirm equality of (legitimate) power and former of the condemn episkopé and episcopacy; and writings in both traditions envisage the possibility of a positive exercise of episcopal office in accordance with the gospel.

"Towards Full Communion" contains a notably thorough piece of historical writing on "The Lutheran Churches and Episcopal Ministry" (ch. 3), which is extended and deepened in "Essays on the Church and Ministry in Northern Europe" in the Porvoo Common Statement.

in other twords, these developments have emerged from an historical background whose authoritative documentation does not present obstaicle as a formitable as these in other traditions. As an example of what is not said in any classic Anglican text we may take a clause applied to bishops in Roman Catholic teaching: "invested with fullness of the searcane of Orders" (Lument Gentilium 26). It is also not a part of any thistoric formularities of Anglicanism to insist that episcopacy belongs to the esse of the church. Moreover, the official Roman Catholic response to the Final Rostop of ARCIC (1991) insisted that "the Roman Catholic from the repair is the postop of the final step of episcopal ordination from Christ through the sportes down through the centuries to the bishops of today and an uninterrupted continuity in Christian doctrine from Christ to those today who teach in union with the College of Bishops and its head, the Successor of Peter". Though certain Anglicans may believe some or even all of these propositions, none of them is embedded in Anglicanism's authoritarive, traditional material.

Of course, it cannot be denied that what Anglicans do profess is sometimes seen as controversial. According to the preface to the 1662 ordinal, there have been three orders of ministry "from the apostles" time". The ordinal itself makes the point that

Word and Sacrament is carried out in Christ's raune and with his commission and authority (Article XXVI). In response to the papal condemnation of Anglican order (1896) the Archbishops of Camterbury and York stated: 'The intention of our fathers was to keep and continue these offices which come down from the earliest times, and 'reverently to use and esteem them'" (Saepius Officio, 17).

What is affirmed and defended in the exumenical documents we are considering is,

beam in its own. It is something of a liberation to discover that the gospel does not a church first to identify the speck in its brother's eye before recognizing the massive essences, which never escapes an element of arbitrariness, portraiture does not require bound to admit to defects in their own performance. Unlike the method of defining churches are obliged to attempt to live according to this portrait, all are similarly it is acknowledged to be both incomplete and challenging. Though the modern Scriptures offer a portrait of a church living in the light of the gospel"; para. 20), and ways. The method of "portraiture" is preferred in Porvoo Common Statement ("The definition of the "essence" of the church, but is altered and added to in a variety of follows is not treated in the bilateral documents as a comprehensive, unquestioned apostles (BEM, Ministry, para. 34). The list of "permanent" characteristics which tradition means "continuity in the permanent characteristics" of the church of the apostolic gospel handed on in the church as a whole. Succession in the apostolic way, episkopé and episcopacy can be fully contextualized "within" the meaning of the Statement, in "intended continuity from the apostles themselves" (para. 50). In this (and past) ordinations to episcopal office are, in the words of the Porvoo Common asserted that the chain of episcopal ordinations is unbroken; rather that the present in effect, the "reverent use and estimation" of offices of great antiquity. It is never require one to be defensive about an episcopal pedigree

# A high degree of agreement on scriptural hermeneutics.Many of the positions adopted by the churches on episkope and episcopacy were

formulated long before the rise of historical-critical research. Subsequently, a very different picture of the life of the early Christian communities has developed, logether with theoretical studies about the processes and pressures of institution-building. Many of the ways in which rival positions were legitimated by scriptural argument or reference in the 16th and 17th centuries simply look naive by the standards of contemporary hermeneutics.

Anglican-Lutheran Consultation on Episcopé:

Study of the life of the early Christian communities reflected in the pages of the New

Treatment should make it unthinkable for us to issular ortination at the hands of someone in linear succession to the apostles as the sole criterion of faithfulness to the apostles of commission. So many investigations have now confirmed this conclusion that the burden of proof has passed to those who would argue otherwise. Ministries of pastonal leadership, co-ordination and oversight have continuously been part of the church's whitess to the gospel. Indeed we may say that the mission of the church required the otherense of its winness in every aspect of its life, and that this otherense required supervision. But the New Testament does not entitle us to assert that such supervision was carried out by a uniform structure of government inbritted directly from or transmitted by the spostles...

Thus to meake d'visosobic successions' is to seake trainarily of characteristics of the Thus to meake d'visosobic successions' is to seake trainarily of characteristics of the spostles...

whole church; and to recognize a church as being "in the apostolic succession" is to use not one criterion of discernment, but many (cf. BEM, Ministry, para. 35).

The same report went on to cite ARCIC's Final Report on Ministry and Ordination (1973):

The New Testment shows that ministerial office played an essential part in the life of the church in the first century, and we believe that the provision of a ministry of this kind is part of God's design for his people. Normative principles governing the purpose and function of the ministry are already present in the New Testment documents (e.g. Mark 10:43–45; Acet 20:28; I Tim. 4:12.16; I Pert. 5:14.). The until viturebase may well have and considerable diversity in the structure of pastoral ministry, though it is clear that some thard considerable interestly in the structure of pastoral ministry, though it is clear that some churches were headed by ministers who were colled princepoi and preshpieron. While the first missionary churches were not a losse aggregation of autosmous communities, we have no ovidence that "vishops" and "preshpiera" were appointed exerywhere in the have to evidence that "vishops" and "preshpiera" could be applied to the same run or to man with intends of the terms "sishop" and "preshpiera" could be applied to the some of the New Testments was a process incomplete until the second half of the second century, so also the full energence of the threefold ministry of bishop, preshpier, and deason required a longer period dum the spooside age. Thereafter this threefold structure become universal

in the church (para. 6).

It is therefore a serious matter when the official Roman Catholic response objects to ARCIC's interpretation of Scripture in the following terms:

As is well known, the Catholic doctine affirms that the historical-critical method is not sufficient for the interpretation of Scripture. Such interpretation cannot be separated from the living, I radition of the Church which receives the message of Scripture.

How is this comment to be evaluated specifically with reference to episkopé and episcopacy?

In the first place, it may readily be agreed on hermenutical grounds that one should not "segrated" radiation (understood as the Holy Spirit's sative keeping of the church within the truth) from critical enquiry, which is another mode of the Holy Spirit's guidance and is also specifically concerned with truth. An incarnational faith cannot be cavalier about historical fact. It would in fact amount to separation if historical fact were held to be irrelevant to matters on which the judgment of earlier comunies had been given. The proper question to sak is, what is to be included within 'Iradiion?'

Second, it may well be said that Tradition is in practice ignored if it is insisted that the relatively unconneversial development of epishope and episcopacy in the first and second centuries was a decline from more primitive standards of church government. There are those scholars who write as though the Pastonal Epistles represent a sad failing away from Paulon standards of immediacy ad grace. There are also those, for whom Paul himself represents an unacceptable compromise with the radicalism of Jesus' own message. Scholars are of course entitled to make such judgments, but they pass on very serious theological questions for others to consider. For example, our pass on very serious theological questions for others to consider. For example, one pass hourd to ask whether the church could be enjoying the guidance of the Holy Spirit at the same time as it was seriously misunderstanding the implications of the gospel, a misunderstanding which it proceeded to embody in its structures.

The question is serious and searching, but it is not necessary to deploy it about

is it required that each major development should be regarded as incapable of boing subject to critical scrutiny or of improvement and further development. It may be admitted, for example, that there are negative costs to be paid in relation to a generally positive outcome.

This argument bears directly upon episkope and episcopacy. The development of a specific form of episcopacy cannot be regarded as supra-historical. The historical of the development is ground for its provisionality, and opens the requirement for criticism and common in the light of the gospel. And opens the requirement for indication of a elformation in the light of the gospel. The same time it gives "relative justification" to all forms which have arise in the course of history. It is a feature of the commercial documents with which we are concerned that they accord a relative historical justification to opiscopacy, but subordinate the institution to the requirements of the gospel. To cite the Porvoo again:

The church is a divine reality, holy and transcending present finite reality, at the same time, as a human institution, it shares the rokemness of human community in its ambigaity and failily. The church is advwys called to repentance, reform and renewal, and has constantly to depend on God's mercy and forgiveness (para. 20).

3. A common view on the relation of justification by grace and church

structures.

A vital consensus on this subject has been formulated in the 1994 report of the

Lutheran-Roman Catholic Joint Commission, "Church and Justification"

Above all... it is agreed that all institutional or structural elements of church continuity are and remain instements of the agreed, which alone creates and sustains the church, are the creates and sustains the church, are the church and serve that continuity of the church and serve that continuity. The effectiveness as signs and means of the continuity of the church and serve that continuity. The effectiveness as signs and means of the continuity of the church as timited and called in question when and for as long as their relatedness to mad transparency for that goopal are diministed or obscured.

The use of the terms "signs", "means" and "instruments" in this text can be correlated.

with the stress laid in the Niagara Report on the church as an integrated system of communication, and the section on the historic episcopate as sign in Porvoo, parts 50-54. Both these texts acknowledge what "Church and Justification" makes explicit when it says that "special care is needed to see to it that these instruments and signs of institutional continuity to not cause to function as servants of the gospel, not very when one seems obligated to grant them an ecclesially indispensable and binding character" (181).

The creativity of both Porvoo and the Concordat lies in the fact that, in different

ways, judgments have been made which permit the overcoming of an historic impasse. On the Lutheran side, freedom is claimed to embrace the sign of opisosoph consecration in historic succession, given unbestiating Anglican acknowledgment of the primacy of the gosped. On the Anglican side, the strict requirement of reordination has been laid aside, given Lutheran assent to the value and use of the sign of historic episcopal succession. Both sides confirm in various ways that no church-dividing obstacless exist in each other's confussional documents; and, vitally, both sides recognize that participation in each other's common lift is a necessary implication of that degree of communion established by the agreements. With the Niagara Report all these documents lay heavy stress on the apostolic, missionary durarder of an international or in the case are a hierarchies.

In this connection the issue of power is exceptionally important and needs explicit treatment. Experience suggests that churches which do not have bishops (and some which dot) view bishops negatively as authoritarians and hierarchs engaged in the relatifiest expansion of their own powers. The matter needs to be set in a rather larger context than is normally invoked. All institutions distribute and excertise powers, and do so unequally. Some persons have more of it, some have less, in addition to the formal hierarchies there are also informal ones. Bureaucratics are said to be service organizations, but bureaucrats and their secretaries have great opportunities to influence docisions by positive and negative means. It would appear that everyone recognizes these facts; but it is transfable how little theological attention they receive in the life of the church.

Moreover, after Michel Fousault we can no longer be naive about the power bestowed by knowledge, including theological knowledge. It is not credible to identify those who occupy the hierarchies of order as bearing powers, and ignore the powers of the theologically articulate cities. When our Lord opposes the dominative strategies of the powerful of his own day and warns his disciples against exercising power in such a way, his target is not merely a future hierarchical church structure.

Justification by grace is the entry into a life of humility. It is succamentally expressed in hoptism, and haptismal equality is the unique inheritance of every Christian. The powers which are necessarily and unavoidably exercised by many people in the church must be subject to the serutiny of justifying grace. The bestowal or acquisition of power, whether of orders or by bureaucratic appointment, is invariably attended by temptation. Institutional expression needs to be given to the principle that Christians are muntually accommable to one another. The tendency build walks of invulnerability around one's powers needs to be openly acknowledged, and places and times should be found where painful or critical things can be uttered in safety about how power is, or is preceived to be, exercised.

The advantage of an open episcopate is that responsibility is publicly obvious and personal, devious or manipulative behaviour is always easier in secret. Committees tend to distribute powers without personal identification. A useful way of approaching the question of episcopa; and episcopasy in so-called non-episcopal churches might be by discovering, with sociological help, who exercises power and tow. Comparisons with episcopal churches may then be developed, which may give rice to less armixty about one the supposed "authoritamism" of "hierarchical" office-holders. Attention can also be drawn to the ways in which spiscopal churches incorporate opportunities for the open discussion of how bishops discharge their responsibilities. In the Anglican case this crucially involves synods of bishops, priests and laity.

## Ecumenical significance

In this paper I have attempted to identify three reasons for the success of the developments involving Anglient, Latheran and United churches. Their significance for the future of ecumenical dialogues I consider to be great. Of course institutions endicastly reproduce the structures and ideas which validate continuing division. Though it is always difficult to overcome the historic reasons for separation, the obstacles in the Anglican-Lutheran case (as distinct from certain others) have not obstacles in the Anglican-Lutheran case.

The two factors I regard as holding the greatest promise for the future are respectively, biblical study of the ministry in the early communities (combined with an explicitly articulated theological hermeneutic), and enquiry into the theological significance of power, or rather powers, within the church.

### NOTE

Cf. Paul Hoffmann, "Priestertum und Amt im Neuen Testament: Eine kritische Bestandsaufnahme", in Priesterkirche, Düsseldorf, 1987, pp. 12-61.

# 5. THE EXPERIENCE OF SHARED OVERSIGHT

Hugh Cross

In this paper I shall describe the background to the appointment of the first ecumenical moderator and something of the experience of the exercise of that office from 1991 to 1995, then reflect on certain questions arising from this.

The setting for the experiment of having an ecumenical moderator was Milon Keynes, a "twee city" about 90 km north of London. Since the late 1964s the city has been built partly on open space and partly by moorporating 13 small villages and several towns. The projected population by the year 2020 is 250,000. From the outset, the churches in the area agreed to work together according to the Lund principle. One consequence of this was the evolution of 23 united congregations of Angelicaus and other non-Roman Catholic and non-Orthodox denominations (out of a total of 66 partishes and congregations.) Because of that early decision by the churches' leadership, the growth of ecumentical understanding and trust has put Milton Keynes at the forefront of exmencical dvance, which is recognized even beyond the boundaries of the United Kingdom.

### pastoral president

The commenced nature and commitment of church life in Milton Koynes meant that there was continuous experiment and change. As the city grew and developed, so did the church's mission to the city. In consequence, the church leaders, functioning collectively as the presidency of the Milton Koynes Christian Council, were increasingly expected to attend meeting and other functions in the city to gotfler, both cost lower buffer own church's commitment to the commencial vision and to demonstrate their approval of what was happening. Each had a much wider area of responsibility, and all found it more and more difficult to fulfill the increasing demands of the new

The camerical officer, Gedin Abraham Williams, suggested that each take a turn in serving for a two-year period as pastoral president of the Million Keynes Christian Council. One of them would give more time to the new city while the others were freed to fulfill their normal denominational duties elsewhere. The two-year appointment had the practical advantage of a short-ern assignment with demonstrating to the churches – and society in general – that one could represent all thus serving as a measure of their unity. This scheme worked very well.

Gethin Abraham-Williams had made this suggestion in the light of research and

Creum, volument with galace deswhere in England at that time, hoping that this might be a way for Milton Keynes to add its own contribution to the subject of ecumenical pastoral oversight. Two elements in this research are particularly worth mentioning,

The first was a report produced by a working party in Swindon, another developing area in the west of England. Here, out of the practical experience of personal pastoral oversight for all the churches by an Anglician assistant bishop. Bishop Forder Temple, a report was produced in 1979 entitled, A Bishop for All Churches in Thamesdown (Thamesdown was the wider area, similar to the Borough of Milton Kepnes). This report an augusted that the counciend bishop should exercise personal oversight in council. He would share his authority with a missionary council drawn from ordinated and by representatives of the congregations and traditions in the area. The report was widely read and acclaimed, but was never implemented in Thamesdown for cassons which we need not go into here.

This "Swindon Report", as it came to be known, was one of several documents considered at consultation on ecumenical oversight convened by the Consultative Committee for Local Ecumenical Projects in England in Windsor in the ently 1980s. This consultation was part of the studies then being made in preparation for the loped-for covenant between the Church of England, the Methodist Church to foped-for covenant detween the Church at Church. While the covenant was no implemented, Milton Keynes did not let the work perish, but honed it to their own needs.

So, as the 1980s came to an end and the five church leader presidents had each filled a term as pastoral president of the Milton Keynes Christian Council, it was agreed to appoint a pastoral president who would live in the city and function as the ecumenical bishop of Milton Keynes.

## The ecumenical moderator

Detailed planning preceded this appointment. Each denomination agreed to contribute an equal stare to the budget, which meant that the person appointed would not be financially bound to any one denomination. Each church leader agreed that the ceamenical moderator would be his representative, and gave the appointee such authority as he was legally able to give. It will be recognized that this effectively meant that the enumerical moderator would have no legal authority at all. Nevertheless, the ecumenical moderator was to hold the status of a church leader and would preside over meetings of the presidency of the Milton Keynes Christian Council.

The title "bishop" was not used because for some it would raise positive expeciations of authority and jurisdiction which could not be fulfilled, while for other expeciations of authority and jurisdiction which could not be fulfilled, while for other expeciations of authority is the site.

ecumenical circles to provide inclusive language for an office of responsibility used by one of the churches for its leadership, it was not felt this would raise the wrong expectations; moreover, the title has come increasingly to be used in

According to the carefully worked-out job description, the ecumenical moderator

- exercise visionary and pastoral leadership be a local focus of unity;
- visit, encourage and stir up the churches ecumenically,
- challenge the denominational structures;
- denominational leaders develop new styles of ecumenical leadership in relation to local and regional

# The practice of ecumenical oversight

chief pastor for the diocese, sharing pastoral care with clergy and ministers. moderator would properly fulfill the personal and collegial functions of the bishop as When I was appointed the first commenical moderator of Milton Keynes at the beginning of 1991, it was clear to me that the primary task of the commenical lay, as well as the congregations and people of the parishes covered. The ecumenical moderator was one of pastoral care for the servants of the churches, both ordained and

were needed quickly without having to await denominational approvals. The lack of a given authority, on the other hand, could be a handicap when decisions concerned requested me to take it elsewhere. Discipline remained with the churches with the assurance that whatever was confided remained with me unless the person was not a "line manager" for clergy and ministers, I could receive their confidences with the families of the clergy and ministers. Second, I had no authority and no jurisdiction. This had both advantages and disadvantages. On the one hand, since l administrative responsibilities, I had the time and space to develop a pastoral role a neglected area of pastoral care in the church. By being freed from wider families to know them intimately as a pastor. Pastors' families in particular are often demands made of them, could not hope to get close enough to clergy and their the size of their geographical area of responsibility and the multiplicity of the have long been aware that modern bishops or equivalent church leaders, because of This aspect of the job was of special importance to me for two reasons. First, I

ecumenical moderator. in the practice of personal, collegial and communal episkopé in my ministry as Report and the Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry report very greatly influenced me moderator with others. The idea of the "bishop in council" envisaged by the Swindon to take advantage of every possible way of sharing the ministry of ecumenica in the churches. Having long advocated collaborative ministry, I was more than happy of people, both ordained and lay, with whom it was possible to share in leadership happening and advise and suggest courses of action. There were also other gatherings clergy, each representing one of the denominations, who could both tell me what was had the benefit of the Denominational Relations Group, an advisory group of senior happening in the congregations and parishes committed to his or her care. For this I Expectations of the role of the ecumenical moderator varied according to The role of chief pastor requires the church leader to know and care about what is

> minister, and some consulted me as they would their general superintendent. For chairman of the district, but the legal power still lay in the hands of the circuit pastoral staff when I was giving the blessing at events at which they were present. For Anglican diocesan and area bishops made a particular point of handing me their Council and the Diocesan Ecumenical Commission was not only welcomed, but welcomed to participate in many events, and my presence on the Deanery Pastora to recognize my position and make of it what they could. In practice I was warmly handing on to me any of his authority, but he did appeal to Catholics in the Deanery Roman Catholics my office was an enigma. There was no possibility of the bishop induct two ministers to pastorates in the city. Baptists accepted me as a senior Baptist seriously enough to regard me as their moderator-in-residence and to invite me to superintendent. The United Reformed Church District Council took my position Methodists I was a circuit minister with responsibilities usually exercised by the

appointment of ordained and lay people to parochial and other salaried appointments to preach. Though not permitted to induct ministers, except by the United Reformed represent the whole Christian community in welcoming the new minister and offer church concerned would carry out the induction, while I was always asked to occasions. The same was true of inductions of ministers: the appropriate officer of the by the ecumenical moderator, I either presided at the eucharist or preached on these represented; and since it was not appropriate for the laying-on of hands to be given of church non-unity, it was always essential that each denomination be visibly of the denominations involved in the ecumenical parish concerned. In the present state to communicant church membership through the laying-on by hands of representatives Roman Catholic Church practised joint confirmation, whereby people were initiated Church, I was always involved in some appropriate way with the selection and In an area with such close ecumenical co-operation, the churches other than the

### Some reflections

to me to require some further thought. Out of the first five years of this pioneering post, several matters arose which seem

## Geographical area of function

 $19.3 \times 9.7$  km. (I2 x 6 miles) – an area similar to that considered in the Swindor were the borders of the secular authority. It was the area of the human community While these borders did not exactly match those of any of the churches involved, they responsibility was co-extensive with the boundaries of the Borough of Milton Keynes It is very important to recognize that geography is significant. My area of

ecumenical moderator, who had to relate to 66 congregations and their ordained and size was significant because it was manageable from the point of view of the Church district and the grouping of a number of Baptist churches in fellowship. The Roman Catholic deanery, most of a Methodist circuit, a small United Reformed lay leadership. Milton Keynes Borough incorporates two Anglican deaneries, a major part of a

As I approached my task, I realized something of how effective for leadership was

pattern the secular boundaries determine the church's area of influence, which makes enument scarse from the point of view of the missionary nature of the church. Not only was I able to relate to the congregations committed to my care, but I could be seen by them at more frequent intervals than church leaders with much greater areas of pastoral care.

For the secular authorities as well as the other faith communities; the ecumentical moderator could be identified as the leader of the Christian community – which was much easier than having to deal with several Christian leaders, each representing a different church.

At the same time, the denominational church leaders for the area were able to entrust their people into the care of the ecumenical moderator, while not losing any part of their authority or jurisdiction, which are so important.

The area of responsibility suggested in the 1997 report from Wales, Towards the Malving of an Examenical Bishop in Wales, is slightly smaller than that of either Swindon or Milton Koynes. It has the advantage of including several local economical projects (LEPs), While it is a good place to start, the danger is that it could very easily be sidelined by the participating traditions, with no possibility of anything similar being done anywhere else.

## 2. No authority, no jurisdiction

The day my appoinment was announced I received an urgent phone call from one of the church leaders, who had seen the press release announcing the appoinment, which was bradined "A bishop in all but name." "You do realize," he said, "that you have no authority and no jurisdiction." I was pleased to be able to assure my colleague that I fully understood and accepted that I had to earn any authority I might have, and that there was no question of my having jurisdiction over anyone or anything.

In the pset-aposotic period a number of models of bishop existed. One model was that of the overseer for a group of congregations meeting in a city and the surrounding commystake. Each would have its presbyter, but the symbol of unity, the president at the euchanist, was the bishop. It was in those terms that I saw the role of the cumental moderator—a symbol of unity without legal andhority, but drawing his of the rauthority from the local eucharistic community and therefore to be respected as representing the whole community. You outhority and no piractication 'meant just that without legal power and without given authority. Others saw this, too, including a Catholic prizet who said to me. "You are our bishop," meaning simply the local Christian leader whose authority to deswhere than in law or power.

Towards the Making of an Ecumenical Bishop in Wales indicates that the proposed ecumenical bishop will have certain authority vested in him by the participating churches, each giving him such authority as it can. The absence of the Roman Catholic Church as a partner in the Covenant and the fact that the Church in Wales (Anglican) is free of the legal constraints of the Church of England mean that was the case for the ecumenical bishop than was the case for the ecumenical moderator of Milton Koynes, or would have been for the ecumenical moderator of Milton Koynes, or would have been for the ecumenical moderator of Milton Koynes, or would have been for the

## For the sake of unity

The foundation documents for the Milton Keynes Christian Council in its search for what became the commental moderator reveal that considerable effort want into finding the appropriate title. By contrast, the Swindon Report decided, after careful discussion, to use the term "bishop", noting that "since some think that episcopiary is essential, the rest of us choose to accept a bishop in Thamesdown and District the salte of unity and mission".

Milton Keynes began with the term "pastoral president", then explored other possibilities - among them "prefeet" and "prespositus" (a commandet something to be preferred, but also "not absolutely good "according to Cassell's Dictionary!). In the end "expensional moderating" was chosens. While this solved the problem of the opposing expensional moderation was "not and consens. While this solved the problem was the opposing the properties was that it often had to be interpreted both within and outside church circles — and when that happened, the simplest explanation was "a bishop for all the churches", so that "bishop" might just as well have been chosen for the title.

In Swindon the non-spiscopal traditions had been prepared to accept the term bishop—"for the sake of unity and mission". We guest is that this was possible because all the raditions involved had experience of some form of personal episkope, although under a variety of names and with varying degrees of authority. Congregationally ordered traditions and those with a presbyterian order have the greatest difficulties with the concept. What is significant is that in Swindon and in Milton Keynes there was a willingness to accept the practice—with or without the term—"for the sake of unity and mission".

The report from Wales shows no hesitation about the use of the term bishop, even though several non-piscopally ordered churches are participants in the Covanat. This grows out of the work done in producing the 1986 report, Ministry in a Uniting Church: From Recognition to Reconstitation. While the report itself was not implemented, all the responses from the churches said they could live with the concept of a bishop. The subsequent ten years of reflection and conversation on covenanting reflected a recognition that they would have to come to terms with episcopacy.<sup>2</sup>

### Personal episkope

BEM pointed to the exercise of ordained ministry in a personal, collegial and communal way (Ministry, para. 26), and this includes the exercise of episcopal ministry.

The Swindon Report came down on the side of a bishop-in-council, noting that the

charches involved were quite clear about their desire to have a bishop. At the same charches involved were quite clear about their desire to have a bishop. At the same time, they recognized the communal episkope of the proposed Mission Council as being necessary to complement the personal epishope of the bishop. There was also mention of each being a check to possible excesses in the other.

The Milton Keynes experiment provided for personal and communal epistops working alongside each other and went further than Swindon on the third element named by BEM collegial epistops. The comencial moderator was a member of the Presidency of the Christian Council, and shared his oversight with the Denominational Relations Group and his ministry with the ordained clergy.

the Assembly of the Milton Keynes Christian Council, acting through its Executive. My experience was that this arrangement worked well.

The Welsh Covenanting Churches in their report propose a bishop-in-council, bringing logisther personal and communal epishope. Unlike Milton Kepnas, there is no presidency, but the ceumental bishop will enjoy cullegialily with church leaders no presidency, but the commentation who exercise some form of personal epishope. Wales goes further than Milton Kepnas could in providing for the consecration of the Welsh report refers to the formation of Mission Council, no provision is made in the draft order of service for the commissioning of members of the Mission Council and the consecration of the commentated bishop. This members of the Mission Council at the consecration of the consecration of the consecration of the commentated bishop. The ofference difference heterose have an Walesh croposed and the English coveriences in

One further difference between the Welsh proposal and the English experiences is that the Welsh report makes no specific orderence to the ecumenical bishop as a bifference of unity, although this might be construed from the description of the bifshop's role (section 10). Personal ecumenical epistopie must necessarily incorporate some idea of the focus of unity, since this is fundamental to the concept of personal epistopie as it has been practised and experienced through the centuries.

### In conclusion

While I am grateful to God for the privilege of having been allowed to serve in this unusual and pioneering post, I believe that there must be development of the idea of ecumenical episkopé. The Swindon Report was a næful surring point; the Milton Keynes epochement took the idea into a practical application; the document produced by the Covenanting Churches in Wales breaks new ground. Can this consultation provide the basis for further experimentation, and a wider application of the principle of counteniad oversight, during the transitional period in which the churches are not organically united, as in the case of the Church of South India and its fellow united churches?

### OTEC

- Cf. J. Zizioulas, "Episkopé and Episkopos in the Early Church", in Episkopé and Episcopate in Ecumenical Perspective, Geneva, WCC, 1974, pp.31-42.
- CI. Ministry in a Uniting Church, Swansea, Commission of the Covenanted Churches, 1986 esp. pp.28-31.

# 6. THE DISTAFF OF GOD: SOME REFLECTIONS ON A NEW EPISCOPATE

Penny Jamieson

When I was asked to contribute a paper to this volume, I was uneasy, Was it, I wondered, a variation on the theme of being an interesting exhibit — a consequence of my episcopacy that I have not relished? In fact, beyond being what I am, a bishop who is a woman, much of what I do is the same as my male colleagues. I answer letters, go to meeting (too many), arrange appointments, drive too far too fast, pot out fires and so on. The pitfulls are also the same: I overwork, I get tired and irritable, I forget things, including my prayers. It feels very ordinary, and I wondered what I forget things, including my prayers. It feels very ordinary, and I wondered what I had of substance to offer.

What I can offer is not graced by years of experience, nor with any depth of philosophical or theological expertise. Since I have been ordened, all my theological reflection has necessarily been so closely woven with the fabric of my ministry that it has been done, if not on the hoof or on my knose, often in long and intense discussion, generally with other women. What I offer in this paper is quite samply a somewhat tentative and provisional ramble through my reflections on these first years of my episcopate. I cannot promise anything of significant profundity or novelty, but it is the finit of a unique if brief experience. These are the reflections of a novice, and the story is of necessity a personal story.

In many ways, these have been the most difficult years of my life, but if there is one thing I have learned very clearly it is the relationship between parsec, experience and theological reflection. When, almost immediately following my ordination to the opiscopate, I found myself thrown into a maelstrom of confusion, anger and at times downright rebellion, it was these that provided, often in uneasy and imbalanced nownriens the financework for my return to both sanity and an acceptance of my

This is predominantly a rural diocese, situated at the southern end of a long thin

In part, the turmoil I experienced was due to being taken by surprise. I honestly had not expected ever to be a bishop. While I do not think that my made colleagues have been especially ambitious in this regard, it was for them within the realms of possibility. For me, it was beyond the reach of imagination. All too often, it would you vision, the exact shape and nature of vocation as we perceive it, is tempered by the available possibilities. God's vision is larger.

So I camo pretend that I was ready and waiting for this call Indeed, I was quite resistant. This was contrary to my earlier experience. When I had sought ordination as a priest, the late Edward Norman, then Bishop of Wellington, was very hesitant. It took me a long time to persuade the church of the validity of my call. When the church wanted to make me a bishop, it took the church some time to convince me. I am a reluctant prophet.

All I know about episcopacy, I know in this context his place, Actearoa New Zealand, in particular this diocese of Dunedin; and this time, when like the Anglican Church in many other parts of the world, we are experiencing very rapid and profound changes - in the shape of our ministry, in adaptation to financial constraints, in the roision of liturgy and patterns of worship, and in relations between the church that is the successor of the colonists and the church of our indigenous minority, to name the substant is, and I trust we are now entering a period of consolidation. My election and consecutation to the episcopate should be seen in this context.

At the time of my consecration – a time of unprecedented excitement, even hype in this discose, ficieled by enthusiasm and response on a worldwide scale – I was frequently asked, motophones thank into my face, "What is the difference between a male bishop and a female one?" Well, apart from the obvious, which I can only assume they knew, I really did not know, Indeed, I knew all too little of what a bishop's calling entailed. It scon became apparent that I would learn only in the place of my consecration and with the people for whom I was bishop. So I set out immediately to get to know the place, the people, their history, their hopes, all that they were proud of and wanting to tell me; and to term also to bisten to the silences, to what they could not readily share. I wanted, by the grace of God, to bind myself into their life, to graft my story and that of my family onto the story of these people; with whom I had been placed in this very particular relationship. It was the beginnings of affection, and it is an essential ingredient of all Christian ministry, I knew this grafting would take time, and so I made a resolution not to travel outside them.

The provinces of Otago and Southland (which made up the diocese of Duncdin) The provinces of Otago and Southland (which made up the diocese of Duncdin) were settled in the middle of the 19th century, largely by Soutish people of the Free Church persuasion. Anglicantsin here has always been a minority Christian denomination, squasibated theologically and politically speaking between our two major ferceely defensive of their own identity. Like the Episcopal Church in Soutland (we have a companion relationship with the diocese of Edinburgh), the church is populatly reckoned to have taken its defining identity from Anglo-Catholicism, brough as in all New Zealand dioceses this particular identity has been tempored by the broad, middle-ground of "churchmanship" that is so common in this country.

country, Geographically it is the largest; in terms of population it is one of the two smallest Our two major cities. Dunedin and Invercargall, with a population of 90,000 and 50,000 respectively, are small by comparison with other cities. The population of the area has been slowly but steadily declining since the days of the gold rash at the end of the 19th century, when Dunedin was the largest city in New Zashand. We have many very small country churches which have never had a resident priest. Many of our parishes consist of four, five, or six churches, and the priest has to develop skill is in low-dying in order to meet their Sunday needs. In effect, the definition of parish with which we operate (though this is about to change) is the number of churches which together can raise sufficient funds to meet the stipend, or part-stipend, of a priest. We have 34 priests in parish ministry and 86 churches, a few of which do not really know whether they are still Open or not.

Episcopally, the diocose had a very seach history until after the second world war. Samuel Tarrant Nevill's initial episcopacy of 40 years established this sense of steadiness. The fifth bishop, Walter Robinson (1955-74), espoused the cause of church union, then a very live debate within the country, but there were deep divisions within the diocese, and when he died studenly and prematurely in office, the sense of guilt was unberable. His successor (and my predecessor) Peter Mann was bishop for 14 years and did much to re-establish that sense of steady stability that has been the hallmark of this diocese.

— and always since the 1930s—selected its bishops from beyond its own borders. In that respect I was no different from my protecossus: I am also, in my personal historical and piecy though not by party persuasion, more than loosely identified with historical Anglo-Catholicism. In these respects my election was not the sudden departure with tradition that the obvious change in gender led many people to believe.
I also learned very early on that one of the unarticulated agendas in electing a bishon from one of the northern diocessed selvers from a few or of isolation and bishon from one of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and bishon from one of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and an income of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and one of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and one of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of isolation and or of the northern diocesses devives from a few or of the norther

bishop from one of the northern discosess derives from a fear of isolation and a longing to retain and redevelop connections with the rest of our rapidly changing charles. The relatively "high" doctrine of episcopacy that is common here makes it natural to look to the bishop to give effect to this. This uneasy quest for change, balanced against a very search, targely radificand Anglicanism and a measure of insecurity, makes this a difficult place in which to both justify and effect change.

There were thus two dimensions to episcopacy that challenged me, the univestic and the local. The first I sensed as a call both to help this discose find and cherish is

place in our climical and to hold out a vision of church and of God which could, it place in our climical and to hold out a vision of church and of God which could, it graced, help to expand the hearts of God's people. The second challenges me to develop pastoral skills that would enable me to care for and daulange the church in this diocess, to find the link between pastoral practice and spiritual reality, and to work creatively and co-operatively on the mission of the church. Poised in the middle, so to speak, I searched for the connections.

so to speak, I searched for the connections.

I have always and increasingly had a strong affection for a theology of church that talks of the Body of Christ, with distinct parts fulfilling different functions. This speaks to me of both our interdependency, our need for each other, and of the gift of communion (toinonia). As Christ daily, weekly, links his resurrection life with ours

whom he is also linked by the same sucrament. "We are the body of Christ", and Christ is the head of the body. The spiritual life of each one of us as individuals is enormously important, but if finds its authenticity, its way to God, through the lived and linked life with other Christians, sacramentally and scripturally, John Donne wrote that "No 'man' is an island", and that is as true of the spiritual life as of any other part of human life.

But Christian communities can easily and quite intentionally become turned in on themselves. I have come to see that one of the gifts of episcopacy to the church is that the vision of a life beyond the community's own congregational life is embodied in a person. Through the flesh and blood person of the bishop, who connects them with each other and with the wider church, there is a glimpse, however fragmented, of what it means to be part of the church miversal. And this call regards beyond: a further aspect of a bishop's ministry is to lift the church into the heart of God.

I have been attonisted by how much of this happens simply because the bishop is the bishop. Is this what is meant by the grace of orders? I wonder. But as in all exercise of priscationd, the dangerous opportunity is ever present of attracting the focus onto ourselves rather than onto the God wa serve. This is especially an issue for women priests and bishops, particularly when the novelty of our appointment draws attention to ourselves. A kenotic, self-emptying spirituality both engages and informs my desire to know when to stand satide.

have found that it is continually necessary to point to the vision beyond; to be as transparent as I can about my own faith and my own relation with God – not only from the shelter of the pulpit, but at all levels of my functioning and relating episcopally. This is not always easy for an essentially private person, but with integrity and prayer, some modest approximation can be made.

So when we are talking about how we might change, adapt to or live with the practices of the chunch at large, I endeavour to articulate, constantly and repeatedly, the reasons for this in the terms of the faith by which we all live. We are not just changing for the sake of change nor are we changing because things have changed "up north", but just as each person, each parish, does not stand alone, neither does this discusse. We will as appropriate and necessary be prepared to make changes.

In no issue has this been more clearly required of us than in consenting and adapting to the new constitution of the church. This gives equal partnership to all sections of the church: Packet (immigrant and of jimmigrant descend), Maori (the indigenous people of these islands) and Polynesian (the Diocese of Polynesia, the islands of the South Pacific situated to the north of New Zealand). Located as we are at the southern end of the country, the Diocese of Dunchin does not have a very large implications of the church's commitment to a partnership with the Maori. For instance we are required to share our financial resources (all too little at the best of times) with a minority we can hardly see, and with whom it is not easy to form real orelations; but this must be done.

The church also reaches beyond this country, and the people of this diocese have enjoyed this. Within the wider Anglican communion I have had some role in the development of understanding of women's spiscopal vocation and maintry. I rejoic that there are now more of us to share this role, for it could easily become a major

distraction both to the practical working out of what I understand about this ministry and to simply doing the job God has called me to do.

Locally, I have also found the theological base for my ministry within the theology.

of the whole and the parts of the Pauline doctrine of the Body of Christ. The traditional roles of the bishop as shepherd of the flock, teacher of the faith and focus of unity tend to coalesce in the light of experience and of prayer and find focus in growing swareness of the bishop as "spiritual director" for the diocese; that is through prayer, and making rigorous links between prayer and practice, lifting the church into the heart of God. There are many ways this can happen, here I can mention only a few which relate to some of the significant issues I have faced.

These are the days of increasing congregational isolation in all discusses and across all denominations in this country. In part, this reflects our churches' struggle within an increasingly individualistic and anti-institutional society. And there is constantly the pressure of not enough money. It is no wonder that parishes resist attempts by a cantral body to shape their life, and because at times they are figile and untrusting, they resist attempts to help them. I have found that I must articulate a sense of discosan muly that does not seek to compel our parishes or to distort the particularity of the later. These are discosan muly that does not seek to compel our parishes or to distort the particularity of the place and their piety, but constantly gives practical respect to both their individuality and their place within the family of the discoses.

This is not always easy, A recent example is our approach to Christian giving. For some time now, this discoses has been living in some far about fixing up to the reality not only of our parlous financial situation our also of the basic Christian call to generously. Our God is a generous God, how can we but respond, if we are to live an authentic Christian life?

A small working group found that almost every parish realized that it was slipping

up in this regard, but none knew how to tackle the problem. Such is the general resistance to diocesan directives that it would have been pointless to have initiated a diocesan programme. Yet it was a clearly acknowledged diocesan problem. So the working group set about trying to hold these opposites in tension (something I have always thought Anglicans are naturally guite good at).

What the working group came up with was a surprising resonase to the serminoly

What the working group came up with was a surprising response to the seemingly opposite requirements of the situation. The group produced an enormous folder of secures of all types, serious, sermonic, practical and humorous — far more than any partish could take on at one go. So, if a parish was going to take part in the venture — and participation was not "required"— it had to choose, to consider carefully in participation estimated taking responsibility — not, as with so many diocesan ventures, to providing partishes with someone to blame. The other side of the paradox, the claric participation of the best way, but the only way we have) that in the particle detween Easter and Accession parishs would, if they vashed, make one Sunday the focus of less simultaneous activity within the parishes would create a sense of mutual solidarity and support, a sense of inot being alone, of being part of the Body.

In these days there is much talk about inclusiveness, especially about inclusive language. While our new New Zealand Prayer Book has made significant advances

the move towards inclusiveness is sound, God is utterly undiscriminating, and although our prejudices always get in our way and limit our response, we can do no less than be constantly ready and willing to stretch ourselves to include all of God's people. Our linguages should both enable and reflect this. But from where I stand, the primary issue is that of an inclusivity of the heart, an enthrace that seeks to be wider, that is constantly willing to be stretched, that does not hide behind dogma, new or old, but which knows the joy and the demand of love. Inclusivity of language, yes, but also of thurgy and the.

In practical and human terms this is far more than any of us can accomplish; but no matter, for it is a dimension of the church, of the Body of Christ, and in this respect we are not alone. For my part, as bishop, I have been both surprised and humbled at how my office has given me a profile from which I can widen and expand the vision of what the church could be and who might find a place within it. Boundaries have expanded, and the embrace has widened. This is not a personal attribute; it is a function of what I am, at this time and in this place.

It is my perception that when I was elected, the diocese was seeking out only novelly or a new kind of link to the rest of the church, but also to give expression to a desire for a new style of leadership. Essemially and explicitly, the people were seeking a more consultative style of leadership. As institutions go out of fashion, so do the interarchies that keep the common life of those institutions on course. The church is no different from other areas of Yew Zealand life in this respect. In recent years, the consultative style of leadership has come to be associated with women's years of working. Many women's organizations and groups have indeed experimented with and refined concensus models of decision-making—some with notable success, when you thing probable lack of success.

Beyond a general desire for the development of a consultative style of leadership, I am not sure just what the expectations were I am equally sure that no one person in this diocese had the same idea as any other. So, although I do have—and have had through my ordained life – a commitment to such a style, I also had a problem. Several of my male colleagues also blod these ideals—how could it be otherwise I they were both to respond and relate to the aspirations of the contemporary church? And some of them are very good at it. Because I am a woman, it is both expected that I should operate consultatively and noticed when I do not, when I get into the "bosts" mode. My made colleagues do not have the same high level of visibility and critique in this regard.

One significant lesson I have had to grasp here is patience. It takes far more time to operate consultatively in a diocese than in a parish, because a diocese is much larger and more diffuse in both people and area. Communications in a diocese become continuously important, for there is a constant need to open things up and to avoid operating in little groups that seem inaccessible and threatming to other people. But I have also learned that there is a need to repeat continually, on every possible. It have also learned that there is a need to repeat continually, on every possible of the message I wish to get across, for no amount of communication is enough and I can lavous expect to fall short in this regard.

Apart from our annual diocessar synod, we have no formal method of decision-making by consultation. And synod is itself a bewildering and even an altenating experience for most neonle. So although formul convent might be achieved the level

at which decisions are owned by the people, the priests and the parishes of our dioces is vary low indeed. Hence there is motivation to develop less formal lines of consultation. This we have done by making each of our three archdeacouries into area dearners, so that greater contact is achieved between clergy and laily in groups that are manageable both by size and egoegraphy. By meeting regularly with archdeacous, the area deams, we have gained greater contact and ability to share information and resources sure the whole diocess. It is important, I have discovered, that the contact be not only with myself, in a one-to-one form, but also a shared opportunity for people to listen to each other. In that process we can all begin, by the grace of the Holy Spriit of God working among us, to discern the direction we are called to follow together. It is also necessary if we are to give some practical, I whithe caypression to the thoological dictum that oversight belongs to the whole church which the bishop holds on the best-of-

There are some snags, When consultation begins to take root, I have found that anxiety levels can rise I think there are several reasons for this, in the first place, it appears that some measure of responsibility is being handed over. Indeed, it is, for if people are listened to both by me and by their colleagues they led the need to become more responsibile in what they say. The process is necessarily somewhat circular: as ideas shape my. I feed them back to get more insight. Anxiety mises if people do not know where the final responsibility for the decision-making lies. This dynamic is especially strong when it is clear that there is no common mind. I have manned that it is necessary for me, where appropriate, to take this responsibility mannifuguously, while linary receives blame. I must not get into the routine of blam and counter-blame that frequently follows such action. This means of course that I must be able to hold my ground writhout resorting to self-defence. I try to maintain a teady, non-maxious presence, which is not always easy.

some real paradoxes have emerged; for one, it has not been uncommon for me to power. We clearly do, as is shown by the rise in the level of anxiety when people very similar. But on the other hand it is nonsense to say that as bishops we have no community and we just do what they ask us to do." In my experience neither is true, such pressure to act out of dogma: "Of course bishops have power; that is what we difficult issue for bishops in Aotearoa New Zealand to address. Issues of power insist on consultation. The ironies do not go unnoticed. its use and of being open to the point of transparency about how we use it. Sometimes is rather one of being honest about what power we do have, of being responsible in think we are going to back off or when a diocese is between episcopates. The issue take root, just as bad decisions by a synod do not take root. In fact, the dynamic is and both are dangerous. Power exercised de haut en bas, unrelationally, will never opposite end of the scale: "Bishops do not have any power; the real power lies in the are here for; and if people do not like it, they had better move out!" Or, at the the call for more consultative styles of leadership is central. It is all too easy under control and authority are at the heart of the current critique of episcopacy, to which This leads into what I want to say about authority, which is probably the most

I have found that it is essential for me to remember that any authority, any power I have has been entrusted to me by the church for the benefit of the church. The basis of any effective use of any power, in my experience, is establishing and maintaining good sound relationshine. If takes a long time is hard on true and that truet can.

never be presumed upon. Every time I visit Anglican dioceses here or abroad which are larger than this one, I thank God that if I had to be a bishop, it is here. In a diocese of this size it is possible to build those relationships and to keep them intact. This is essential, even as priorities change over time.

When I reflect on what I do, using the opportunities that my experience as a woman offers to me, it seems to me that I am seeking to make space - "wombspace", if you like - m which others can grow and experience the life-giving power of the Spirtt Offen I feel that, as in pregnancy, I am "holding the space", by my attitude and responses and not least by my prayer; I am, in a non-anxious mode, holding the boundary, defining that space as unambivalently Christian and as intentionally within the church. This is particularly significant in times of potential controversy, as when one of our priests died from an AIDS-related liness, or when a promising ordinand went away and there were calls for instant cancellation of the status of ordinand. The one needed safe space to discern the meaning of vocation. In both instances, it seemed to me that providing such space was uniquely both the function and the privilege of the bishop. For "wombspace" is like "sheepfolds"; each is an image of both enclosure and room for growth. An ancient image of episcopucy is reborn.

These issues and others have preoccupied me during these years. They have undowhedly had an impact on me spiritually. I think that ordination always changes people—that is what the Holy Spirit is about—but for me it has been a sea-change. My consecration precipitated me into a realm of activity, publicity and spiritual turnoil for which, despite my best efforts beforehand, I was totally unprepared. The ordinal itself is quite terrifying in the expectations that it gives rise to, but these pale mot insignificance against the expectations that people had of me. I coincide the world "toonized" for that experience; I felt that I was being made into an icon, a symbol or careful to hold the hopes, the dreams, the fiens and the finistrations of the people.

My very humanity lelt threatened; and I began a long and painful struggle – always, to my amazement, from the inside of faith – to find the match between the expectations of the church, people and ascarament, and myself. What was the real shape of episcopacy for me? There were some moments of severe doubt, but God knew what God was getting, even if, as it sometime seemed, no one else did I searched long and hand for the key to matchine my interior life with my exterior

I searched long and hard for the key to matching my interior life with my exterior life; specifically to matching the interior life of my spirit, all that I was and all that I longed to be for the God I had been called to serve, with the exterior life, in which all my relationships with friends, family, passing acquaintances and unknown strangers had shifted It may seem fisclic to say in this day and age, but when the straggle was over and I had ruly accepted my vocation, I saw that I had found that match through the somewhat dated, but encomously powerful mechanism of obedience. I cannot recommend it too highly.

I have often reflected on biblical analogies for all this, and there are many. One which is particularly resonant for me is the Book of Job. Like most such analogies, is has the ring of too much ease, but there are some points of contact. There were times when it seemed to dead somewhat playful wager between God and Satan, there were times when it seemed to be doing no good to anyone around me; there were times when it cursed the day of my consecration; there

I was wrong (they, like Job's friends, were of course mambiguously "right"); there were times when I was very angry. I found that my perception of the plight of others in similar circumstances was despended, and I grow through this to a strong sense of appreciation of the utterly unassailable "God" of God, and I did put most trust unreservedly in my God.

It is a truth of the switzing life that our experiences even our rain and extraorles.

It is a truth of the spiritual life that our experiences, even our pain and struggles, are not given to us for ourselves alone. There was for me a darkness, a profound sense of the absence of God, this is not an uncommon feeling, but I have found that radical insecurity is a place where God is to be found.

The finadmental spiritual issue became the conversion of the faithful. Both within the clutch and on the boundaries are many who seek, from within faith, to regrasp the significance and intensity of a commitment already made. For these people, lay and ordained, it is the question of the call within the call. Such questions arise especially slamply at times of transition.

The quest for a spirituality of transition arises when people enter the ordained ministry, a time of profound transition. With ordination come immediate external changes – in dress, in the way people function in the liturgy, in their relations with the community, in their public life and also within their family. The task for all the newly-ordained is to seek that match between their exterior life and their interior life. The intensity of this task diminishes as time passes, but not its imperative.

And the Christian community is also in profound and confusing transition. The soul of the church cries out for leaders who will secked with unphalistm, listen to be reality of the questions and the pain, and journey alongside the people in the darkness that is the gift of God. Is all this new, or is it as old as the call of God to humankind and what does it anticipate?

The early reports of the Emmes Commission, the Archbishop of Camerbury's Commission on Communition and Women in the Episcopnet, used the work "provisional" of women's orders. Many women and not a few men found this term thoroughly offensive, implying as it does that women's orders are of a temporary nature. I would like to conclude by offering an alternative understanding of "you visional". As is well known, women's orders, whether to the priesthood or the episcopate, are not universally acknowledged throughout the Anglien communion. It is both my belief and my experience that this fragility and insecurity, far from being an affront, are quite precious. We women cannot presume on the institutional church as our male colleagues can, and we therefore remain steadily "off-centre" and correspondingly closer to those on the boundary. We are also spared, if we have the grace to grasp the copportunity, some of the spiritual and personal traps of excessive institutionalization.

But more than that, I believe women's orders are truly provisionary in the sense of anticipatory, even prophetic, calling and re-calling both us and the whole church of God to a lived and experienced faithfulness, calling us all to accept radical insecurity us gift and not to presume on our orders, for the gift of the Spirit is just that, a gift and never a right. So I thank God for the gift of "pro-visionality"; it is indeed a gift from women to the whole of church of God.

# 7. THREE GAMES IN A LONG ECUMENICAL SET

Leuenberg, Meissen and Porvoo on Ministry and Episkopé

### Martin H. Cressey

This paper was written in Northern Ireland while on voluntary service with the Carrymeela community. That context has affected its style. For one thing, I did not have with me my set of bilateral and multilateral reports, so I wrote out of my basic mipressions rather than detailed re-serutiny of texts. More important, I was in a place where commersion is obth more necessity and more suspect than in my home base in Cambridge; so only the essentials of the hierarchy of truths press upon the

My title, drawing an analogy with tennis, reflects both a sense that the issues surrounding ministry and episkoph have often been treated as a theological "sport", and the fact that although the ecumenical discussion has been in process for a long time, the end of the set, much more the end of the match, still seems distant.

I was personally modived in the Leuenberg conversations as one of the Reformed participants, struggling with my first experience of ecumenical work in a language not my own and later, with others, at the task of translating the text into fragisls. It was sulturary to realize that the issues which had divided Lutheran and Reformed in Germans-speaking Europe and made the path of church union in Germany a difficult one were not easy even to state in Hagishs. I used to sit next to a Firmish Lutheran bishop Coming as it were, from the opposite margins of the European Reformation, we were often at one in bewilderment at the intensity of discussion around battle slogans like "mandacatio impiorum!".

Ye on missay and epickopie there was fairly rapid consensus, since all agreed that the constitutional form of church life was secondary to the doctrines of justification, sanctification, Word and sacraments. I was frequently rearniaded of how the foundars of the London Missionary Society affirmed that the purpose of "scading the glorious gospel of the blessed God" was consonant with a variety of exclessiological and ministerial structures, whose formation could be left to the newly emergent churches

expressed by representatives of the Scandinavian Lutheran churches at the time of emering into the Leuenberg agreement were in terms of the difficulty of securing the necessary parliamentary permission in their countries rather than in terms of episcopal order.

Thus the Locardweg agreement and the deepened fellowship to which it has led for such a vide range of Reformation churches in Europe and beyond represents for me a pragmatic approach to ministry and episkope. Whatever the origins of the Ignation of piscopate, the development of diocessm bishops as the leaders and pastors of the clurch in Europe can be seen as the expression in church terms of a leadership pattern produced by the adaptation of Roman political order to the needs of the church, first in post-imperial chose and then in the new pattern of national states. At the Reformation the episcopal order was continued, modified or radically changed. To find a way back to visible unity certainly requires constructive thought and action, but it should be based on response to the needs of evangatism and service.

In the context of the search in the 1970s for an inter-church covenant in England, it was possible for my own church, the United Reformed Church, to commiplate an extension into its regional structure of the pattern fiamiliar locally, just as the local church had a church meeting of all the members, a corporate teadership of elders, of whom the minister was one, and a personal and pastoral role for the minister, so there could be roles for a symod of lay and ministerial members, appropriate committees and a ministerial moderator in each of twelve provinces. These moderators would in the long term have become bishops, reconciled in order with the bishops of the Church of England, but the URC strongly insisted that the covenant should begin with a partnership of regional oversight ministries with different historical origins. This proposal, which met some resistance even in the URC, was for the Church of England one of the major obstacles to covenanting.

of ordination in Germany. distinguishing the episcopal succession of Anglican orders from the varied patterns restrictions still placed on sharing in ordinations, which have the effect of - in particular the exchanges of episcopal visits and functions - but I also observe the handled. I am very glad to see and hear the working together of the Meissen partners carefully at the small print and footnotes to see how this complication had been oversight in the various Landeskirchen. As an English Free Church minister, I looked the conversation with the EKD was complicated by the variety in forms of regional ordination of ministers would be necessary to enable the two churches to unite. Thus meaning of the episcopate was acceptable, an unvarying practice of episcopal comprehensiveness. When the Anglican-Methodist unity scheme was discussed in the episcopate in historical succession is a key factor in the possibility of that is between two comprehensive national churches. For the Church of England an 1960s and 1970s, it was made clear that, while difference of theological views on the Church in Germany (EKD) marks an important advance. Here the bilateral dialogue The Meissen agreement between the Church of England and the Evangelical

What then made the difference when the Anglican churches of Britain and Ireland together entered into conversation with Nordic and Baltic Lutheran churches? To a Reformed observer two factors seem to have been important. One was the fact that three of the four Anglican churches involved were not sensitioned showsham.—the...th.

from the difference between the South India and North India plans of union. In South

Church of England. Since the Anglican-Persbycrian talks of the 1950s and 1960s, the first bilaterals of which I was theologically wave (as a Presbycrian ordinand) it has been clear to me that the Church of England and the Church of Scotland have always to striggle to distinguish their basic ecclesiologies from their social and political situations. The Episcopal Church of Scotland and the Presbycrian Church of England, the two minority and in that sense "free church" bodies, were often frustrated by their senior partners and at one point seriously considered "going it alone". The other and more important factor in the Porvoo process has been the frish approach to understanding apostolic succession, in terms of a corporate succession of the whole church, expressed and focused, but neither guaranteed not exhausted, by

It is the seconston in the episcopal sees.

It is the second factor which overcame the difficulty presented to Anglicians by the differences in historic circumstance between the continuity of the Swedish episcopate and the new beginning that had to be made in leadership of the Danish church, with consequences for Norway and Iceland also. Tactual succession of consecration is no longer seen in isolation as the sign of continuity; it is recognized that the intention in the presbyteral consecrations at the Reformation was to preserve the ordered continuity of the local churches, in the sense of the churches gathered round the bishops of the ancient Scandinavian diocesses.

Mary famor's presentation on the Porvoo agreement at the Sixth International Consultation of United and Uniting Churches (Orbo Rites, Jamaica, 1995) was welcomed, as would be expected from a consultation of churches of which some are episcopally ordered and all are in continuing conversations with episcopally ordered partner churches. Yet there remained an mease about how questions regarding episcops are beard again and again in the quest for visible unity. The welcome and the unease come together in the recommendation of the Ocho Rios consultation to Faith and Order that it give:

in its wark on "ministry and authority"... special attention to the apostolicity of the whole church and its relation to the ministry of oversight expressed personally, collectively and communally, as well as the various means of safeguarding continuity in the life of the church.

Let me try to spell out what I think is the meaning of that debate among united churches, taking up points already noted in relation to Leuenberg, Meissen and Porvoo.

"Special attention to the apostolicity of the whole church' is sought by Ocho Rios because it is the key to the progress achieved in the Pervoo agreement it is also the key to the understanding of the church of the Second Vatican Council, which, without departing from concepts of hierarchy and Perline ministry, grounds its exposition of exclessobigy in the sense of the people of Cod as body of Christ and ellowship of the Spirit. As a Redomed teacher of systematic theology, I have found it a joy to be able to teach from the exet of Liment Geritium with a deep sense of shared understanding to the theory in the sense of the people of the church was an uneven exposition of the Liment Geritium approach precisely because it gave little attention to hierarchy. There is a tension between seeing apostolicity as primarily expressed in the wholeness of the church and seeing apostolicity as primarily expressed in the Knessien a particular sensine or fimister.

India the existing ministries of the uniting churches were accepted—in the phrase called from Wesley's bymm by Bishop Stephen Nell—"with undistinguishing regard". In North India a careful and complex process made it possible for the ministries to be so reconciled at the inception of the church that or only the united clurch itself but all its partner "mother" churches could recognize all the ministers of the new body from its very beginning. Yet the subsequent insistence by the Anglican communion on a "North India approach" seems to me to have been a major factor in the breakdown of schemes of muton in Africa and Canada. The careful focuncing of Musican still carries something which falls short of the South India decilaration that all the uniting ministries are "real ministries of the Word and Sacaments in Christ's church, nor can any church say that the sacaments and other ministerations or ministries which he has belsead en invalid."

So I am back at Leuenberg and the Augsburg Confession, to the basic conviction that it is concept to have agreement on the content of gospel preaching and the nature of meaning of the gospel searcements of baptism and the Lord's supper – back also to the mission policy of the London Missionary Society:

As the union of Christians of various denominations in enrying on this great work is a most destrable object, a, to present if possible any cause of future discression, it is its declared to be a fundamental principle of the Missionary Society that its design is not to send Presbyterianism, Independency, Plescopery, or any other form of church order and government (chout which there may be a difference of opinion among serious presents), but the glorious group of the blessed God to the hearter, and that it shall be left (e. sit ought to be left) to the minds of the presons whom God may call into the followship of his Son from among them to assume for themselves such a form of church government as to them shall appear most agreeable to the word of God.

That policy is more than the pragmatism of which I spoke earlier. It is a recognition of the diverse needs of peoples and cultures and of the diverse conclusions which may properly be drawn from the scriptures in meeting those needs. I declare myself entirely ready to be persuaded that in the next period and place of church life in which I serve (in my retirement) it may be seen to be good that a united durther energy with an episcopally ordered ministry. What I am not persuaded of its that there is any inevitability in that particular outcome of re-examining our traditions and searching the scriptures together.

to the Lambeth Appeal" of 1920, brought recently to my attention by a retired bishop of the Church of North India:

No particular organization of the church and of its ministry has been instituted jure divinion—not even the order and discipline recorded in the New Testament. The High Scriptures vindicate the great principle of Christian freedom, unwarriedly asserted by SP hal against all forms of legalistic religion. This great principle was applied with first strength und elements by Mertin Luther. But it was instituted by our Saviour himself, who, in dating leave of his disciples, did not regulate their future work by a priori rules or institutions but directed them to the guidance of this Paraclete.

- Cf. Bengt Sundkler, Church of South India: The Movement Towards Union, London. Lutterworth, 1954, p.209.

<sup>2</sup> Cited in George Bell, Documents on Christian Unity, London, Oxford U.P., 1958, Vol. 1, p.185

## LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

### Strasbourg, France 2 - 9 April 1997

Ms Evangelia AMOIRIDOU (Church of Greece), Aristoteleion University of Rev. Neville CALLAM (Jamaica Baptist Union), 8 Haining Crescent, Kingston 5, Thessaloniki, Theological School, Department of Theology, 540 06 Thessaloniki,

Rev. Martin CRESSEY (United Reformed Church), 147 Thornton Road, Girton Rev. Dr Donald CRAGG (Methodist Church of Southern Africa), P O Box 990508 Kibler Park 2053, South Africa

Jamaica

Rev. Hugh CROSS (Baptist Union of Great Britain), 55 Slade Close, Ottery St Mary Cambridge CB3 0NE, England

Rev. Dr Peter CROSS (Roman Catholic Church), St Francis Xavier Parish, 60 Davey Street, Frankston, Vic. 3199, Australia

EX11 1SX, England

Prof. John ERICKSON (Orthodox Church in America), St Vladimir's Seminary, 575 Scarsdale Road, Crestwood, NY 10707, USA

Prof. William FRANKLIN (Episcopal Church), General Theological Seminary, 175 Ninth Avenue, New York, NY 10010, USA

Rev. GAO Ying (China Christian Council), 2nd Area Bulg. ##1, An-hua-xi 11,

Sister Dr Donna GEERNAERT s.c. (Roman Catholic Church), 90 Parent Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario KIN 7B1, Canada Seminary, 17 Dajian Yinxiang, Shanghai Road, Nanjing 210 029, China) Chao-yang District, Beijing 100 011, China (since 1999: Nanjing Theological

Rt Rev. John HIND (Church of England), Bishop's Lodge, Church Road, Worth, Rev. Dr Daniell C. HAMBY (Episcopal Church), Consultation on Church Union, Research Park, 258 Wall Street, Princeton, NJ 08540-1514, USA

Crawley, West Sussex RH10 7RT, England

Rev. Dr Viorel IONITA (Romanian Orthodox Church), Conference of European Churches, 150, route de Ferney, 1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland

Bishop (Dr) Vassilios KARAYANINIS (Church of Cyprus), Holy Archbishopric, P

Bishop (Dr) MAXIMOS of Pittsburgh (Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of North and O Box 1130, Nicosia, Cyprus PA 15232-1421, USA South America/ Ecumenical Patriarchate), 5201 Ellsworth Avenue, Pittsburgh,

Rev. Farai D. MUZAREWA (Methodist Church), Private Bag 3002, Paulington,

Mutare Zimbabwe

Dr Elisabeth PARMENTIER (Evangelical Lutheran Church of Alsace and Lorraine), 3 rue du Herrenstein, 67330 Neuwiller-les-Saverne, France (part-time) Rt Rev. Dr Penelope JAMIESON, New Zealand Prof. Dr Eilert HERMS, Germany Rev. Prof. Stanley HARAKAS, USA

Rev. Prof. Martin PARMENTIER (Old Catholic Church), Burg. Lambooylaan 19, 1217 LB Hilversum, Netherlands Bishop Rosemary KÖHN, Norway Bishop KALLISTOS of Diokleia, England

Rev. Dr William G. RUSCH (Evangelical Lutheran Church in America) Prof. Dr Michael ROOT (Evangelical Lutheran Church in America), Institute for NCCCUSA/Faith and Order, Room 870, 475 Riverside Drive, New York, NY Ecumenical Research, 8 rue Gustave-Klotz, 67000 Strasbourg, France Rt Rev. Rowan WILLIAMS, Wales Prof. Dr Dorothea WENDEBORG, Germany Pröpstin Helga TROSKEN, Germany Rt Rev. Victoria MATTHEWS, Canada Archhbishop Dr Mesrob KRIKORIAN, Austria

Crêt-Bérard, Switzerland 5 - 11 September 1997

America/Ecumenical Patriarchate), USA/Switzerland

Dr Kyriaki FITZGERALD (Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of North and South

Sister Dr Donna GEERNAERT s.c. (Roman Catholic Church), Canad.

Prof. Nicolas LOSSKY (Russian Orthodox Church), France

Bishop (Dr) MAXIMOS of Pittsburgh (Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of North and South America/ Ecumenical Patriarchate), USA

Rev. Jean TILLARD o.p. (Roman Catholic Church), Canada Dr Mary TANNER (Church of England), England Rev. Dr Hazel SHERMAN (Baptist Union of Great Britain), England Rev. Dr William G. RUSCH (Evangelical Lutheran Church in America), USA

Rev. Prof. Dr Dorothea WENDEBOURG (Evangelical Church in Germany),

Prof. Dr Ola TJÖRHOM (Church of Norway), Faculty of Theology, School of Rev. Jean TILLARD o.p. (Roman Catholic Church), Couvent Dominicain, 96

Avenue Empress, Ottawa, Ontario K1R 7G3, Canada Camp End Road, Weybridge KT13 0NW, England) Dr Mary TANNER (Church of England), Council for Christian Unity, Church House, Rt Rev. Stephen SYKES (Church of England), Bishop of Ely, Bishop's House, Ely, Rev. Althea SPENCER-MILLER (Methodist Church), U.T.C.W.I., P O Box 136, 7 Rev. Dr Hazel SHERMAN (Baptist Union of Great Britain), Ashburn, Peppercorr Rev. Jorge SCAMPINI (Roman Catholic Church), Albertinum, Square-des-Places 2

Cambridgeshire CB7 4DW, England

Golding Avenue, Kingston 7, Jamaica

Lane, Brecon, Powys LD3 9EG, Wales, Great Britain

1700 Fribourg, Switzerland 10115-0050, USA

Great Smith Street, London SWIP 3NZ, England (since mid-1998: High Clere,

Mrs Renate SBEGHEN, Administrative Assistan Rev. Dr Alan D. FALCONER, Director Dr Peter BOUTENEFF, Executive Secretary

Rev. Dr Viorel IONITA (Romanian Orthodox Church), Switzerland Prof. William FRANKLIN (Episcopal Church), USA Rev. Neville CALLAM (Jamaica Baptist Union), Jamaica Rt Rev. Dr Samuel AMIRTHAM (Church of South India), India

Bishop BASIL of Sergievo, England Prof. Anna-Marie AAGAARD, Denmark

Drof Dr Variabi EITT/CED AI D Caritanaland Prof. David A.S. FERGUSSON, Scotland Metropolitan DEMETRIOS of Vresthena, Greece Prof. Dr Ingolf U. DALFERTH, Switzerland Prof. Sven-Erik BRODD, Sweden Dr Silke-Petra BERGJAN, Germany Those invited indicating their unability to attend and their wish to be informed of the Mrs Renate SBEGHEN, Administrative Assistant Rev. Dr Alan D. FALCONER, Director Dr Peter BOUTENEFF, Executive Secretary Mission and Theology, Misjonsveien 34, 4024 Stavanger, Norway